1	
2	NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION
3	PUBLIC LEGISLATIVE HEARING
4	
5	In the Matter of the Application of CROSSROADS VENTURES, LLC,
6	PO BOX 267 MT. TREMPER, NEW YORK 12457
7	Regarding the Project of
8	THE BELLEAYRE RESORT AT CATSKILL PARK
Ü	Application Numbers: 0-9999-00096/00001
9	0-9999-00096/00003
	0-9999-00096/00005
10	0-9999-00096/00007
	0-9999-00096/00009
11	0-9999-00096-00010
12	HELD AT: Onteora Central School Boiceville, New York
	1
13	February 19, 2004
14	HELD BEFORE: HON. RICHARD R. WISSLER,
15	Administrative Law Judge
13	APPEARANCES: NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF
16	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION
	21 South Putt Corners
17	New Paltz, New York 12561
	BY: CAROL KREBS, ESQ.,
18	Assistant Regional Attorney
·	ALEXANDER CEISLUK, ESQ.,
19	Deputy Regional Permit Administrator
<b>ن</b> م	WHITEMAN, OSTERMAN & HANNA
20;∘	Attorneys for Plaintiff
21	One Commerce Plaza
	Albany, New York 12260
22	BY: DANIEL RUZOW, ESQ., of Counsel
23	
24 :	
25	SHARON E. CHERNY, RMR, CRR
	Senior Court Reporter

FEBRUARY 29, 2004, 4:00 P.M.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

ALJ WISSLER: Good afternoon. If you could all find seats, please, I would like to get started.

Good afternoon. My name is Richard
Wissler, W-i-s-s-l-e-r, and I am the
Administrative Law Judge for the Department of
Environmental Conservation of the State of New
York, who will be presiding over today's
legislative hearing, which is going to go from
now until midnight tonight. We will be taking
appropriate breaks throughout the course of the
day. At this point I anticipate taking perhaps
about a half an hour somewhere around six
o'clock, that being a function of where we are
with respect to speakers.

The purpose of this hearing is for the

Department of Environmental Conservation staff

to hear and receive unsworn statements related

to applications made by Crossroads Ventures,

LLC for certain Department of Environmental

Conservation permits required for the proposed

development known as the Catskill resort -- or

(Introductory Remarks - ALJ Wissler)

the Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park. My task is to ensure that the statements received here today are received in an orderly fashion. The public comments received here today are extremely important and will be used by the Department of Environmental Conservation staff in their review of these permit applications.

In addition, the comments made here today will form comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement, the DEIS, which has been filed and accepted by the Department in this matter.

And finally, these comments that are received here today and have been received throughout these public hearings are extremely important to me because as the Administrative Law Judge who will preside over any subsequent issues conference in this matter or any other subsequent proceedings held in this matter, while the things that are said today are not evidence as part of those proceedings, what is said here today during these public hearings is -- allows me as the judge to ask questions and address environmental concerns that may not

(Introductory Remarks - ALJ Wissler)

otherwise be addressed by the petitioners in those subsequent hearings. So your input is critically important to this environmental review process. To that end, I encourage you to participate today.

If you would like to speak, I'm going to ask you to fill out one of the speakers cards that are located in the back table to the entrance of the auditorium here, fill that out. It will be brought up to me and folks will be called.

Now, I have prepared a list of everyone who has had an opportunity to speak at prior three public hearings in this matter, and I'm not going to put time limits on folks, but what I'm going to insist is that those people who have not had the opportunity to address any of the forums assembled on the previous hearings be given that opportunity today. So yes, if you've attended a previous hearing, please fill out a card. If you want to indicate for the record that you are here, but if we don't get to you today because there are other people ahead of you who have not had the opportunity

(Introductory Remarks - ALJ Wissler)
to speak, please understand.

25

1

2

Written comments in this matter, the written comment date has been extended until Friday, April the 23rd, 2004. Let me repeat that. Until Friday, April 23rd, 2004. you don't get the opportunity to speak at this hearing today, you will have the opportunity to file your written comments as long as they are postmarked by April the 23rd, 2004. I promise you I will read those comments prior to any subsequent, any other proceedings in this Again, they're very important to me and to the Department in its review process. that regard, we're going to go through until midnight tonight but we are going to stop at midnight. If, unfortunately, people have put in cards who have not had the opportunity to speak, are not able to speak between now and midnight, they will have the opportunity to file their written comments with the Department with Alexander Ciesluk, as a matter of fact, who is here this evening, as I indicated in the notice, at DEC headquarters in Region 3 in New Paltz, and those comments can also be filed

(Introductory Remarks - ALJ Wissler)

with me in the Office of Hearings and Mediation Services in Albany. Again, they must be filed before April 23rd, 2004.

I would note for the record that this continued hearing was noticed in the Environmental Notice Bulletin of the Department of Environmental Conservation on February the 11th, 2004. Moreover, it was published as a legal advertisement in the <u>Ulster County</u>

Townsman on February 19th, 2004 and also as legal advertisement in the <u>Catskill Mountain</u>

News on February the 19th, 2004, and I would note for the record that those publications satisfy the notification requirements of Parts 621 and 624 of the Department of Environmental Conservation's regulations.

I'm going to at this time go to calling speakers. I don't have any cards from any elected officials. If there are elected officials in the audience and they wish to speak, please make yourself noted and I will make a note and call on government officials first. I see no hands, so we're going to go right to the public comment section. If you

## (Introductory Remarks - ALJ Wissler)

wish to make a comment and you don't want to come up to speak, one of the ways is to file a written comment, take a speaker card, indicate you don't want to speak and on the back of that card, write the written comments with respect to the project and provide them to us. All those cards become part of the record in this matter.

Without further adieu, we will start. The first speaker will be Mack Lipkin. And after Mr. Lipkin, we'll hear from Elly Wininger. And I apologize in advance if I mispronounce your name.

MR. MACK LIPKIN: I'm Mack Lipkin. I'm a physician. I live in New York City. Can you hear me now?

I'll try to speak louder. I'm a physician. I'm a second homeowner here. I have a home in Chichester. I wanted to represent that perspective of second homeowners. I'll try. If this doesn't work, move down.

I'll start again. I'm a physician. I live in New York City part time and in

Chichester part time. Second homeowners are people who come here because we love this environment, because it's necessary for us to cure the problems of living in New York, and we have a problem politically because we don't vote here but we're taxed here.

Second homeowners provide two-thirds of the tax income in Shandaken. And before we consider changing the environment in a way it could be unattractive to second homeowners, I think that should be really thought through carefully.

I'm opposed to the project for several reasons. First, I see it as potentially toxic to the environment. There are pesticides proposed for use which are said to be environmental friendly. In fact, there are pesticides in the proposal that have been shown to be unsafe in pregnant women, and none of them have been shown not to enter the groundwater, and therefore they might enter wells and water supplies for local women, especially those living near the streams.

It may be toxic to the environment if it

proves that the construction or the excavation is unstable and there is loss of the fairly shallow topsoil layer in the streams.

One of the big draws here is fishing and I think that the proposal in no way reassures us that fishing won't be damaged, and that's -- could be more important economically than some boutiques that very few people go to that are proposed by the owners.

Second, I think it's going to be potentially toxic to the economy. I understand there's some grounds for controversy about this, but people are not going to continue to come here to buy homes here, to pay property taxes and to spend the way they do if what they're going to experience is construction noise initially, significant increase in traffic, increase in pollution, people who are in the towns who are not committed to this environment but are really like tourists, transients. So while there may be some money coming into the new homes that are built, I think that there may be a counter-balancing loss of income, not only from the taxes and the

drop of housing prices in the community, but through all the secondary sources of income.

Third, I think it's shown that it's been toxic to the community itself. The political environment here has been poisoned by this proposal, this project. It started probably with the Pine Hill water system and what happened there. It's clear that the last election in Shandaken was significantly influenced by advertising and outreach from groups that were not -- whose sources of funding have not yet been identified, which I think is clearly illegal and should be investigated and pursued, and there was extraordinary disinformation and there remains, continues to be.

Second homeowners, had they had a vote in the last election, would have changed the outcome of the election, without doubt. I have not met a second homeowner, and of course I don't have a -- not a representative sample, but I don't know a second homeowner that's not opposed to the project. And I think if their perspective was taken into account, which it

needs to be, because they are the economic engine currently, the election would have had a different outcome and there would be a more governmental resistance to this than we might expect now.

I also think that we need to look to our responsibility to our children in the future. This project has the potential to poison this water and depreciate its value, and what's remarkable about it, pristine streams, its trout, for us have increasingly healthy ecology, and many of us feel very strongly that we must not permit outside profiteers and exploiters to take that away from our children in order to gain short-term financial advantage. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: We have an announcement from the school. I'm told there are cars out along Route 28 in the very front of the school.

Busses can't leave and teachers can't leave.

If your car is parked out in front, you must please move it.

(There was a discussion off the record.)

ALJ WISSLER: Elly Wininger. And after

(Elly Wininger)

Ms. Wininger, we'll hear from Stewart D. Root.

MS. ELLY WININGER: Hi. I'm Elly
Wininger. I live in West Hurley. My son gets
on the school bus every morning, travels down
Route 28, the road of death, and comes to
school here right in these hollowed halls along
with -- are you all Onteora students -- along
with these great young people who by the time
the mega-resort would have been finished, would
be taxpayers and voters. So I'm really happy
to see them here today. And people have said
so many incredibly eloquent, lucid,
scientifically backed things about this
mega-resort, it's kind of getting to be a
no-brainer on that score.

So I thought that I would add a different element which happens in all great people's movements, which is one of solidarity and singing a song together. So Catherine is going to move up front with the words, probably move up front a little more so we can see it. If you don't know the words and can't see it, please clap along to show your solidarity.

(Speaker singing and playing a guitar.)

б

(Elly Wininger) 1 "They paved paradise, put up a parking 2 lot. 3 "With a big hotel, a golf course and a 4 swinging hot spa. You all know this. Don't it 5 always seem to go that you don't know what you 6 got till it's gone? The paved paradise, put up 7 a parking lot. 8 "Well, they took all the trees, put them 9 in the tree museum, and they charged all the 10 people a dollar and a half just to see them. 11 Don't it always seem to go that you don't know 12 what you got till it's gone? They paved 13 paradise, put up a parking lot. 14 One more time. "Don't it always seem to 15 go that you don't know what you got till it's 16 gone? They paved paradise, put up a parking 17 lot. They payed paradise, put up a parking 18 lot." 19 Stuart Root. After Mr. ALJ WISSLER: 20 Root, we'll hear from Marino D'Orazio. 21 MR. STUART ROOT: Good afternoon. Can you 22 That's rare for me. hear me? 23 My name is Stuart D. Root. I have lived 24 in the Catskills for over 30 years, and have 25

spent many of these years in water quality preservation activities. In my other incarnations, I have been president of the Bowery Savings Bank when it was the second largest savings bank in New York State, with five and a half billion dollars in assets, most of those in commercial real estate. And prior to that, I was counsel for the bank when it was the largest savings bank in the United States.

I was also counsel for establishing the real estate programs of the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation known now as Freddie Mac. And in 1988, I served as the last executive director of the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation where my mission was to maintain public confidence and \$1 trillion of insured deposits.

I make some of these comments

preliminarily because in the Woodstock paper

this week, it asked, who does Mr. Root think he

is in being such an expert in these matters?

My comments today are certainly influenced by

these cumulative experiences.

I believe that the development proposed by

22

23

24

1

Mr. Gitter is fundamentally flawed and environmentally dangerous for reasons not previously addressed. These reasons have to do with the lack of information about the basic financing requirements for large development activities. Usual development is financed by institutional sources in the financial marketplace. These sources use other people's money. And institutional lenders are constrained to avoid unsafe and unsound lending practices. One element of safety and soundness is to require completion bonding when embarking on a large project where lack of completion can spell ruin for the loan or the development or the landscaping. That is to say, lenders require financial assurance to a recognized source that the lenders will not be left with a hole in the ground, raw land, concrete foundations, skeletal frames for buildings or worse.

In the case of Crossroads, I have read of people having substantial resources backing this project. I have looked in vain for anything of substance to support those

assertions. Who has committed to provide financing? What are the conditions, the limitations, exceptions and constraints on those commitments, if indeed any commitments exist? Are they written and enforceable? If the project is allowed to commence but then later fails because it is so at odds with other -- if the project is allowed to commence but then later fails because it is so at odds with other well-known failures in this area, and indeed if I read the New York Times correctly, Mr. Gitter's own experiences with other large-scale developments, who will have the right to compel completion?

Suppose, for example, that Mr. Gitter obtains his approvals from the environmental authorities but does not have assurances of bonded completion financing? What then? In the normal course, if the project encountered difficulties, we would expect him to declare bankruptcy and seek reorganization under protection of the bankruptcy laws, probably under Chapter 11. Where would that leave the people of Shandaken?

For starters, it would leave them with hosts of unemployed workers who would become public charges against the town's meager resources, and as I understand it, without any state resources for assistance. And where would such an event, without verified bonded completion financing, leave the rest of us? It would leave us with a scarred and torn-up mountainside, possibly with concrete foundations dotting the landscape, and the landscape itself, which would bleed erosion and detritus into the watershed.

Frankly, I am completely underwhelmed by assertions that Mr. Gitter has the backing of some people of considerable means. This suggests to me that the project lacks the normal institutional safeguards and validation provided by the crucible of the financial marketplace.

Further, in the case of the Concord Hotel, reorganization about four years ago, the newspapers were full of stories about the Murphy group which was going to restore and rehabilitate the Concord and its golf courses.

Mr. Murphy, with normal developer bravado, claimed he had the resources for his plans to benefit the economy. However, when push came to shove in hearing after hearing in the Federal District Court in White Plains, many of which I attended, Mr. Murphy was unable to provide credible evidence that he had financing for his promises. He was long on news print but short on actual commitments. In short, his plan was dismissed as not feasible for lack of verifiable financing.

Who is there to assure that Mr. Gitter's plans are feasible? Who is to verify the existence of completion financing, credible enough to be supported by an institutional completion bond? In other words, who is to protect the Catskills against Crossroads becoming an ill-conceived, ill-financed, ill-managed construction project that is pregnant with environmental disasters?

Thank you. You ought to hear me sing.

This project has several Achilles heels. The absence of bonded, verifiable commitments for completion financing is only one of them.

(Marino D'Orazio)

Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Marino D'Orazio. Then we'll hear from Wanda Davenport.

MR. MARINO D'ORAZIO: My name is Marino D'Orazio. I'm the president of the Onteora School Board, and I want to welcome everybody to our wonderful building. I hope nobody is blocking any kid because, you know, we don't want to get sued for stuff like that. Just joking.

Anyway, the school board has asked me on its behalf to read a short statement in this process, and that is what I'm about to do.

I've handed a copy to the judge.

"Although the Onteora Central School
District Board of Trustees has not taken a
position regarding the proposed development at
Belleayre, we nevertheless want to direct your
attention to several concerns as they relate
specifically to our interests as a school
district. As a result, we are requesting that
we be granted interested party standing.
Vehicular traffic is estimated to increase on
Route 28 by 200 to 500 vehicles an hour. More

## (Marino D'Orazio)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

than 1,100 adolescent and teenage students and about 300 adults populate the Onteora high school and middle school. The Bennett Elementary School, which is right behind this building, serves about 350 students and more than 60 teachers and staff. These schools are directly accessed from state Route 28. are active from 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. and This produces an extremely high volume beyond. of vehicular traffic generated by adult and teenage drivers, many of whom are inexperienced beginners. Access and exit occurs at the beginning and at the end of each and every school day.

"In addition to auto traffic, hundreds of students are transported to the site by busses from more than 325 square mile area of the school district. Our district runs, by the way, from West Hurley, which borders Kingston, all the way up to Belleayre. So it's a pretty large geographical district.

"Virtually all of our 100 bus routes utilize Route 28 to transport students to and from all five school locations at least twice a

(Marino D'Orazio)

б

day. Heavy construction vehicles will surely be a factor for years to come. The noise and exhaust, pollution, along with spillage from construction vehicles will create a more negative and detrimental environment for the school and its vicinity. We can only predict a significantly disturbing impact on the quality of life and learning in our schools as a result of these drastically invasive conditions imposed on the school.

"Respectfully submitted by the Onteora Central School District February 19, 2004.
Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Wanda Davenport. After Ms. Davenport, we'll here from Jennifer Bloom.

MS. WANDA DAVENPORT: I'm going to use my notes extensively because I had eye surgery a couple weeks ago.

Good evening, but I guess it's really afternoon. My name is Wanda Davenport, and I am the president of the Catskill 3500 Club, an outdoors group chartered in 1963. We are men and women who hike year round in the Catskill Mountains who actively promote conservation and

ethical use of the Catskill Park. The club
partners with the Adirondack Mountain Club, the
American Hiking Society, the New York/New
Jersey Trail Conference, The Catskill Center
for Conservation and Development and New York
State DEC on behalf of the Catskill Forest
Preserve.

My comments will be brief and will touch on several issues. The first, the negative impact on views of a project of this size and scale. Second, the attendant changes to the rural character of the Central Catskills.

Third, I ask the question, why wildness? And fourth, land use ethics.

As to the viewshed, the resort as proposed would be visible from a myriad of trails and off-trail locations on Balsam, Halcott, Hunter, Westkill, Panther and other mountain peaks.

Extensive clear-cutting with the concomitant soil erosion and runoff would be a permanent scar on the terrain. A drive out Route 28 would no longer be so pleasing to the eye. The artificial scar of the resort as proposed will stand out in all seasons, but especially in

б

winter.

Minimally, the changes to the rural character of the Central Catskills would be threefold. Obviously the resort will increase traffic on Route 28 and the connecting county roads. Issues of safety will arise. Because of lighting throughout the resort, a treasure of the Central Catskills, a truly dark night sky will be negatively impacted. No longer will the sky be dressed in black with stars sparkling like precious jewels.

The sheer scale of the proposed resort is out of sync with its surroundings and the character of the Central Catskills. Small towns and hamlets where life moves at a deliberate pace, not with the frenzied rush of a city or close-in suburb. For residents, the quality of life will be negatively impacted, as will the experiences of visitors.

I ask the question, why wildness? The resort as proposed will abut the Big Indian wilderness area. The Slide Mountain wilderness area is quite nearby. In the draft revision of the Catskill Park State Land Master Plan

released in August of 2003, wilderness is defined as an area where earth and its community of life are untrammeled by man, where man himself is a visitor who does not remain.

On an outing to the wilderness, man is afforded an opportunity for both physical and spiritual renewal.

Furthermore, wilderness is defined to mean an area of state land or water having a primeval character. Wilderness management guidelines call for a natural plant and animal community where man's influence is not apparent. I quote from this document from the DEC, In wilderness, opportunity will be provided for all kinds of recreational activities that depend for their full enjoyment on the condition of solitude in an environment free of unnatural sights and sounds, end

quote. I don't see where this leaves room for golf balls, boom boxes, lawn mowers, trucks, cars and other assorted noises.

More than two generations ago in 1949,

Aldo Leopold, a forefather in the

conservation/environmental movement, wrote

1.1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

about man's place in nature. He termed us members and citizens of the land-community, not its conquerors. He summarized his thinking with this definition of a land ethic, and again I quote, this is from his book A Sand County Almanac, "Quit thinking about decent land use as solely an economic problem. Examine each question in terms of what is ethically and esthetically right. A thing is right when it tends to preserve the integrity, stability and beauty of the biotic community. It's wrong when it tends otherwise, " end quote.

In closing, the Catskill 3500 Club opposes the Belleayre Resort project as proposed because of adverse effects from a resort of this size and scale on the natural resources, quality of life and general character of the Central Catskills.

Thank you for your time.

ALL WISSLER: Jennifer Bloom. After Ms. Bloom, we'll hear from Henry Bunce.

MS. JENNIFER BLOOM: I am here today to represent the Hudson Valley Labor Federation. We're the regional arm of the AFL-CIO. We work

20

21

22

23

24

(Jennifer Bloom)

together with a hundred thousand union households across the Hudson Valley.

Can you hear me now? I sound like a television commercial.

Valley Area Labor Federation, the regional arm of the AFL-CIO. We are here to support this project and to support the economic development that it's going to bring to our area. And I want to say this from the perspective of somebody who has just bought their first home here in Ulster County. We're, my partner and I, are very excited to see this project come to Ulster County because we look at the environmental issues in a much more wholistic way. Within a one-mile radius of my home are two homeless shelters where people are looking for decent economic opportunities which aren't available in Ulster County.

In unionized facilities in other regions of New York State, these can create good, solid, middle-class jobs and afford individuals the possibility of also becoming homeowners.

We are here to support this project because we

(Jennifer Bloom)

see it as a way to bring quality jobs to our region and in an environmentally sensitive way. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Henry Bunce. After Mr. Bunce, we'll hear from Sam Fratto.

MR. HENRY BUNCE: Good evening. I am

Henry Bunce. I'm the president of the Ulster,

Sullivan, Delaware and Greene County Building

and Construction Trades. And I first want to

commend Onteora school and the young students

who have been practicing freedom of speech and

freedom of demonstration, which is very

important to us.

As president of the Ulster, Sullivan,
Delaware and Greene County Building and
Construction Trades Council, I am pleased to
announce that the New York State Building
Trades and the state AFL-CIO Coalition have
come forward in full support of the Belleayre
Resort at Catskill Park project.

Reasons. This is a privately funded project. It will not only boost local economy through employment of our members and the local members and the local people here, the initial

(Henry Bunce)

phases of the construction, but forward with good paying jobs for all families.

Some solid facts offered with this project. Crossroads Ventures has committed to us that it will sign a project labor agreement with the trades and will utilize local labor. For you, the people who don't understand project labor agreements, this means that Crossroads Ventures had entered into an agreement with us to use local labor. This means the people who live here in this community where the paychecks will stay during the construction. We believe a project of this size will help solidify the tax base for the county and the area and will stimulate the local economy.

renowned environmental architects in the world,

Robert Lamb-Hart and Emilio Ambasz -- I hope I

said that correctly -- to insure that this

project is developed and built with the utmost

concern and respect for the environment and the

community. This truly will be one of the

cleanest and most environmentally sound

Crossroads has hired two of the most

(Henry Bunce)

projects ever seen in this area.

And one of the things I know from being in this position many times is that if you can build something in New York State, you can almost build something anyplace in the world because the environmental laws in New York State are of the toughest in this country.

The most important point to remember with a project like this is that there would be opposition from obstructionists in the name of the environment. Remember that there is a huge difference between environmentalists and obstructionists that hide behind the environment to stop any growth for their own selfish interests and in the name of the environment. While the environmentalist further developed what nature has already offered, I would say that we are environmentalists. We want the project done the right way. After all, we do live here with our families and we work here and pay our taxes. And I have been here all my life in Ulster County. I used to live up the road here, and we really truly believe this is going

(Henry Bunce)

to be a great project for this area. Thank you.

MR. SAM FRATTO: Can you hear me over there? Over there? Good. I really don't have a planned speech. All right. Here's my speech. It's on this small little notebook.

I've just been listening to what the people have been saying. Sam Fratto. I'm with the Electricians Local 363, IBEW. I represent people that live in this area. I represent people who send their kids to this school. I represent people who are going to pay taxes in the area that this place is going to be built in.

VOICE FROM THE AUDIENCE: You don't represent me.

ALJ WISSLER: Mr. Fratto, continue to speak.

MR. SAM FRATTO: What we have here is a debate, and there are a few subjects in that debate. It's the economy, it's jobs, it's the environment. Can we all agree on that? Can we agree on that, environmental people? Cameraman doesn't agree. Whatever way you want to put

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

it, in any order, those three are the most important issues in relation to this project. And all three of those issues are going to be answered before any project is built here. Like one of the speakers in front of me said, New York State has got the most environmental laws of any of the states, and there's more regulations that somebody has to meet in order to even build a job here. The people sitting here and cat calling that, you know, this guy shouldn't be able to do what he wants to do with his property, it's going to hurt this and it's going to hurt that, yes, that's a subject that needs to be addressed. It does. doesn't get addressed by people sitting in a room and cat calling each other. That's not where this is going to be addressed.

The issues that you bring up will be addressed and they're addressed in all these regulations that this guy has to meet before he even starts to put a shovel in there, okay? So I think that environmental issues either will be addressed or there won't be a project.

And quess what? The unions are in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

agreement with that. We're in agreement with that. The problem we have is when the environmental issues do get addressed, you still don't agree. That's the problem we have with the other group here, okay? New York State needs jobs. Ulster County needs jobs. I know because I represent six or seven different counties in the Hudson Valley. Ulster County is one of my counties that really is lacking jobs. All right? That's one of my counties that we're really lacking the jobs in. We need to do something about that. We need to balance it with what your concern is, with the environment. Because guess what? We don't want to ruin the environment either. not what we want. We want to build what somebody can build. We want to build it and we want to build it union. That is true about us.

Let me talk a minute about the second homeowners. Nice sign. That's right. If you want prove that, you bring it to me again.

Let's talk about the second homeowners.

It's nice to own a second home, isn't it, but

most of you here don't know that because most of you don't have a second home, and there's probably a good majority here trying to hold on to your first one. I know a lot of people Let's talk sense here. Let's talk Second homeowners, the lady here talked about the wilderness, is that what's up here, a lot of second homes? Because you know what? That's a little gluttony. If you want wilderness, don't build a second home and take up the wilderness space. Why don't we wreck all the second homes and see what we're left with? We could have this project and 5,000 more.

It just bothers me when somebody stands up and says as a second homeowner I want anything because you know what? I can't relate to that. I'm a working person. I need a job. My people need jobs. People that aren't in the union yet that will be in the union because of these projects need jobs. And guess what? When it's a union job, you know what happens? Someday you actually get to stop working because you'll earn a pension. People earn a

25

pension and a retirement, a real job with a real wage. This is no baloney.

And the other thing I would like to say is, I too, I like this little idea with these guys in the signs with the dinosaur suit.

That's a good thing, but you're a little off base because you're going to graduate in a couple of years, and guess what you're going to be looking for? A job. You're a little off base on that one there.

The bottom line to this is, this is a good group, no matter what side of the line you're on, because we're all voicing our opinions.

Sometimes people's opinions get a little outrageous, though, and the facts are the facts. If these facts come back and this environmental study comes back that it's negative, we will not be here asking to build this project. We will not be here. And, it's going to be a third party that decides on whether this can be built or not environmentally. And when that third party decides that, it's over. They're going to build it.

Here is why. I would be a little mad if I was Mr. Gitter and I had land that I bought and I owned and somebody is going to tell me that I can't do it even if I pass the environmental issues. That is not America. That is not how You all bought your houses and you it works. do what you want at your house. As long as you go by the rules and you meet all the qualifications, you can do what you want. man needs to do what he wants if he meets the qualifications. There is nothing that we can do to him to make it wrong. There's nothing wrong with it. It needs to be built if it's environmentally sound.

And for people to look at us and say we're on the other side of the coin, we're not on the other side of the coin. There's two sides to our coin, though. One is the environment and one is the jobs. We have two sides to the coin. Where is your other side? Where is your other side to your coin? You say you want to cut lawns or you don't want to cut lawns, I don't know what that means. If you're saying there is not going to be grass or anything, but

you know what? We really need to grow up, everybody, and let's face what comes up. If it's not good, if the environmental study is not good, we go away. And we're in agreement with it.

Listen. Listen to me. I'm trying to be nice to you guys, okay? I got a reputation, and it's not good sometimes, all right? But all I can tell you, what's fair is fair. The unions support a project that can pass the environmental issues. We've got two sides to our coin. All right? Thank you. And if it passes, build it.

ALJ WISSLER: After Mr. Locascio, we're going to hear from Rodney VanVoorhis.

MR. VINCENT LOCASCIO: My name is Vinnie

Locascio. I'm a heavy equipment operator. I

belong to Local 85 of the operators. I've

helped build your Route 28 out here. I've

helped build the golf course behind here in

1971. I helped build a lot of things in this

part of the world. I'm proud of them all. And

when many of them were getting started, there

was a lot of people who didn't want them

б

(Vincent Locascio)

because there was going to be erosion, this was going to be this, they're going to be that. I understand the concerns.

Now they're using the road, they're using the golf course up here and I haven't heard of anyone dying from it. And a lot of other things I helped build and guys from my union helped build which were negatively charged at first, are being used by everybody and everybody is happy about it.

Now, I have seven kids. Three of them are away from here because they couldn't find jobs. One is in Florida, one is in Texas and one is in New Jersey. And for me to visit them and my grandchildren or whatever, it's a long way. Anyway, so to try to prevent that for most of you guys over here, we do need jobs over here, okay? And like the electricians just said, you kids are going to grow up someday and need jobs. I'm sure your family is not going to want to watch you move away to go get them. If this hotel is built here a proper way so it doesn't affect the pollution of the county at all and it's done the way it should

(Vincent Locascio)

be, these jobs are going to be here for you guys and you're going to be able to see your families every day and contribute to your county over here. I think you'll all be better off. So I think you guys better think about stuff like that before you just say not in my back yard.

Now, as far as the financing over here, I'm not an expert on financing, but I know people from the Concord Hotel, the past and the present one, and there's other issues involved with the funding there. The people who are trying to fund Mr. Murphy were pretty sure that Indian gaming was going to come into Sullivan County and then, of course, how it's been stalled by whatever. It hasn't come, so the people withdrew their support and that's why that hotel is not off the ground right now, which has got nothing to do with this project over here. That's all I got to say. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Rodney VanVoorhis. Rodney VanVoorhis. Victoria Langling. After Mr. VanVoorhis, he'll hear from Victoria Langling.

(Rodney VanVoorhis)

MR. RODNEY VAN VOORHIS: Nice to see everybody out here today. My name is Rodney VanVoorhis and I'm not from New York City. I'm an elected representative of Teamsters Local 445 who represents members within the Hudson Valley, several hundred members who live within Ulster County itself. It's tough being a second speaker after Sammy.

Sammy, you did a fine job.

We are here for jobs, we are here for the environment. I'm an avid outdoorsman, I'm an avid fisherman, hunter. I would rather be outdoors than in here.

I'm hearing -- what I'm hearing is that
there may be a problem with the fishing, there
may be erosion, there may be this, there may be
that. We're not building a truck stop, folks.
I wouldn't be surprised if the next thing we're
going to hear about is that the golf course is
going to bring prostitution in the area.
There's a lot of speculation here. There's a
lot of people who are just absolutely anti,
just for the sake of being anti, and that's not
the reason. We have a project that is going

## (Rodney VanVoorhis)

through the study process. The
environmentalists are -- they're allowed to
have an opinion. But when it comes down to it,
the governing bodies are going to make a
decision one way or another whether this is a
good project.

Again, we feel on behalf of the union tradesmen, that this is a good project until we are told otherwise. Because long story short, there is nobody that builds a project better than union workers.

So in closing, what I would ask is that the people that are against, there's always a not-in-my-back yard issue. It comes up every time and it will continue to come up every time. But look what's going in your back yard. This is a beautiful site. It's a beautiful resort. It's going to bring ---

VOICE FROM THE AUDIENCE: What if it were your back yard?

MR. RODNEY VAN VOORHIS: When you guys are done, I'll continue.

ALJ WISSLER: Let's give everybody the courtesy, please. Mr. VanVoorhis.

## (Rodney VanVoorhis)

MR. RODNEY VAN VOORHIS: This is an issue that has to be looked at very closely, and what I'm asking is to give every consideration regarding this project, make your decisions on the merits, not on what you're being told or what you're imagining or the possibilities of. The facts are the facts. Please go by the facts. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Ms. Langling. After Ms. Langling, we'll hear from Astrid Nordness

MS. VICTORIA LANGLING: One of the best public speaking guidelines I have ever heard, he said, Be sincere, be brief, be seated. I will try to adhere to his policy, although the passion that many of us, including me, feel towards saving our environment and our quality of life has a tendency to get us carried away.

First, I must clearly say that although I am a member of certain groups to be mentioned, I am not speaking as their representative. And may I also say that I am not under contract to be here.

I am speaking only on my and my family's behalf, four votes, including my husband who is

a building contractor. If I may please give you some of my background, you will see that I witnessed the effects of the economy on a multitude of levels, from a business, government and a non-profit perspective. In 1992 I co-founded and still act as the executive director, among other duties, of the local daily bread and soup kitchen. I also serve on the advisory board of the Food Bank of the Hudson Valley, and I work daily with colleagues who run other area soup kitchens, food pantries and shelters.

Because of my non-profit and business associations, in 2001 I was appointed by the Ulster County Legislature to the Workforce Investment Board. This parnering of business representatives and government agencies helped everyone seeking employment. The Workforce Investment Board has recognized service jobs such as construction, electric and plumbing, and emerging nanotechnology, health care and education as some of the highest demand occupations. We supply training, employment, education, seek out economic development and

livable wage employers and jobs. Livable wages translated in Ulster County mean at least \$15 an hour.

MR. HENRY BUNCE: Do they have health benefits?

MS. VICTORIA LANGLING: A developer who holds us locals in such contempt that he imports New York City and foreign nationals to act as waiters at his restaurants will not provide meaningful, livable employment.

As an active participant in the non-profit arena, I would like to make you aware of the struggle of the working poor or the food insecure. These people are the people that I see daily at my soup kitchen. They may work two jobs, they may work three jobs, but these are the people who have to choose between paying essential bills or buying food for their families. Almost 30 percent of Ulster County's school age children live below federal poverty guidelines. And every single food pantry, soup kitchen and shelter in Ulster County has experienced an increase in demand for services along with the drop in funding and available

volunteer assistance.

On the drive here, I once again heard the public service announcement on the radio requesting help in enlisting emergency services volunteers. We have a community that is struggling to find sufficient volunteers for their fire departments, emergency medical technicians and paramedics. We strive to supply blood for our blood banks, nurses for the aged, ill and infirmed in our hospitals. We search for volunteers to staff, counsel and guide those who come to our doors with their hands held out in need. In my opinion, we simply do not have the community resources for a project of this magnitude.

I sincerely hope that you have heard all of the concerns of those of us who live here year round. Our concerns that while we have always been willing to share the beauty of our Catskill home, we're worried that someone with more money or power will take it and use it unwisely. Our concerns that the DEP recognize the two failed Crossroads Ventures projects in Newburgh and , Maryland, as a warning and

~~

require unconditional commitments for financing and completion bonding before our mountain is forever changed. Our very real concerns that as guardians of the Ashokan Reservoir, you, the DEP, will use your stewardship to prevent traffic, noise, light, environmental, water and ground pollution. Our concerns and our hope that our elected officials will act with admirable character and ethics to honor the will of the everyday person. Our concerns that our quality of life will be forever affected by large-scale development unsuited to this area. And finally, our concerns that this destination resort is nothing more than drive-by commerce that offers no true value but will forever change the flavor and face of our home.

ALJ WISSLER: Astrid Nordness. Then we'll hear from Jon Griesser.

MS. ASTRID NORDNESS: Hello. My name is Astrid Nordness. I'm one of your local dog groomers and I have lived and worked in Olive for the past ten years. I'm originally from Staten Island. I moved here ten years ago because it was breaking my heart to see what

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

had become of Staten Island in the 30 years that I had lived there, ruined by development.

Anyone been to Staten Island recently? can take you half an hour to go three miles, and that's not even during rush hour. what happened when the road system wasn't designed with massive development in mind. Needless to say, I'm opposed to this project being proposed by Dean Gitter and Crossroads Ventures.

First, I would like to offer an alternative to Dean Gitter and company. area is in economic decline and that we need this huge corporate behemoth to come in and save us. As a dog groomer, I am acutely aware of the economic environment in which I exist as a business. My profession is one of those luxury services that feels an economic downturn first. Believe me, when times are tough, Fluffy does not need that haircut. I am under no illusion that when my business slows down in January and February, it's not because of the cold, but because people are paying off their Christmas bills and Fluffy can wait for that

20

21

22

23

haircut.

When IBM moved out, I felt it and I know of two dog groomers that went out of business. In the past three years, my business has doubled, and I cannot help but think that if I am doing well, those around me are doing well. This sure does not feel like a depressed economy, nor does it look like one. If you want to see a depressing economy, take a ride out to Ellenville and Kerhonkson. They have two 18-hole golf resorts and boy, are they booming. Not.

What is Dean Gitter offering that is not already out there in this area and quite obviously not giving any of the benefits being promised to us? And all of you people out there who think you are going to do well by this project, you are not paying attention.

Yes, you might have some construction jobs for five or six years, but then what happens?

We're left with a huge eyesore on that ridge.

How many people have ever been to a resort?

Did you go planning to use the resorts as a base to explore the local economy? Dean Gitter

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

is proposing to build an all-inclusive resort.

It is not in the best interest of such a business that their customers spend their money outside that resort.

How many of you have heard the story about the goose that laid a golden egg? Most myths, fables and fairy tales are written to teach moral lessons. If Dean Gitter puts that monstrosity on our ridge, we will all have lost our goose for the false promise of very improbable future riches. If we want to grow our economy, there are far better and healthier ways to do so than to allow a corporate dictatorship to move in on us. We don't need anyone to save us. If we truly want to, we have the means to do so ourselves. If you want to grow a local economy, buy local. your local businesses. They're your friends and they're your neighbors. Before you take that trip into Kingston to spend your money at Wal-Mart or Lowes or Target, ask yourself if you can't buy it local. It's not hard to do. The money that you spend locally stays local far longer than the money you spend at

Wal-Mart. The longer that money stays in local circulation, the healthier and more sustainable the local community will be.

This would not be the case if Mr. Gitter gets his way. He offers us jobs, more jobs than there is local capacity to fill, and what kind of jobs are they? Not any that I would care to do, nor wish on anyone else. Quantity at the expense of quality only ever benefits the few, usually those with money and connections and high places.

It was John Maynard Keynes who said,

Capitalism is the extraordinary belief that the
nastiest of men for the nastiest of reasons
will somehow work for the benefit of all. If

Mr. Gitter and company aren't capitalists, then
I don't know who is. And if that nastiest of
reasons isn't the lust for money, then give me
a break. And the likelihood of that money
going to grow the local economy is as likely as
George W. Bush admitting that the war in Iraq
is all about the oil.

Corporations are dictatorships run by capitalists. If you don't believe me, ask

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

someone who works for one. We do not need a corporate dictatorship holed up in a shiny gated city on our ridge where their profits are separated from the consequences of their actions.

The second and final point I would like to bring up concerns the large amount of chemicals needed to maintain a golf course, not to mention two golf courses. There seems little argument that chemical use is planned. many people are aware that there are a number of studies going on in Europe that have found links between chemical use on golf courses and Lou Gehrig's disease? I was told about this this past weekend by a retired biology teacher. He used to play golf but gave it up after he had children because of the warnings not to wear his golf shoes in the house because of the chemicals on them. He didn't want to expose his children to that.

As a dog groomer and former animal health technician, I have some first-hand experience with chemicals, especially pesticides. I stopped using flee dips on dogs and cats over

2.3

these dips kill and sicken an incredible number of animals. Anyone familiar with Biospot?

This is a flee treatment made up of concentrated pyrethrins, the supposedly natural chemicals derived from plants. This past fall, I had three clients and a friend lose their perfectly healthy cats because they had accidentally used their dog's Biospot on these animals. One cat died within two hours of having the chemical applied, and these pesticides are supposedly safe.

Aside from the fact that it's a really stupid idea to even contemplate using these chemicals, I cannot help but wonder about the terrorist implications. How strange that the DEP would shut down a bridge, the lemon squeeze here in Olive, because it is a potential terrorist target, and not see the terrorist potential in this proposed project. Large amounts of chemicals are needed to run golf courses of this size. We are talking pesticides, herbicides, fungicides and fertilizers, all derivatives of oil, mind you.

These chemicals must be transported and stored. I would imagine at a resort of this size, there would be enough fertilizer to build a bomb big enough to, say, blow up a good size federal building, not to mention a couple of bridges. A terrorist wanting to take out the New York City water supply system wouldn't have to procure a deadly dose of poison. That's already been done for him and nicely situated in close proximity to the main water source for the Ashokan Reservoir. It would be devastating to see something like this happen, and I would truly not wish to ever have to say I told you so to the DEP.

I would like to end with a quote by
Wendell Berry. For a nation to be, in the
truest sense patriotic, its citizens must love
their land with a knowing, intelligent,
sustaining and protective love. They must not
for any price destroy its health, its beauty or
its productivity, and they must not allow their
patriotism to be degraded to a mere loyalty to
symbols or any present set of officials.

One might reasonably assume, therefore,

that a policy of national security would advocate from the start various practical measures to conserve and use frugally our natural resources. Thank you very much.

ALJ WISSLER: Jon Griesser. After Mr. Griesser, we'll hear from Algernon B. Reese.

MR. JON GRIESSER: My name is Jon

Griesser. I was born in the Catskill Mountains and I'm a graduate of this high school. This year, 2004, marks the 100 year anniversary of the Catskill Park, a mosaic of public and private lands nearly three quarters of a million acres in size, much of it protected under the New York State constitution as forever wild. To celebrate this anniversary, I ask all of you gathered here today to ask yourselves what you envision for this special place for the next 100 years.

As graduates of the Onteora and
Margaretville School Districts between the
years 1987 and 2000 and as people who have
grown up here in the heart of the Catskill
Mountain region, we have some serious
reservations regarding the proposed Belleayre

Resort at Catskill Park project.

We all have one thing in common. We have strong ties to the Catskill Mountains. Whether it is because we still live here, our family and friends still live here or we returned to visit, we all agree that science and the objective data that supports it is needed to conclusively show that this project will not threaten the future of the Catskills, but rather enhance it.

Unfortunately, we believe that the science and data provided in the DEIS prepared by consultants hired by the developer does not convincingly illustrate this. We now call on experts at the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation as the lead agency to dissect and analyze all the information that has been provided. The economic future of the Catskill region and the future well-being of the Catskill Forest Preserve owned by each and every citizen of New York State depend on it.

As sons and daughters of life-long residents, more recent transplants, local business owners and decisionmakers, we are the

2

3

5

б

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

future generation of the Catskill region that must be convinced that this project will not be burdensome, not only to ourselves and our children, but to the region as a whole for generations to come. We are well-rounded and well-traveled individuals that have been educated at some of the best colleges throughout the country. We have studied and worked in a variety of interdisciplinary fields, including forestry, environmental policy, watershed management, wildlife biology and environmental sociology. We have lived in other regions facing similar challenges. opportunities have given us more than a great They have given us the ability to education. learn about and experience what irreversible consequences this type of project often brings with it and leaves behind after it is long gone. We all recognize how special the Catskill Mountains truly are and how important it is to keep that in mind as we make decisions regarding their future.

Whether we own a business here, are full or part-time residents or just visit our

families on holidays, the Catskills are still our home. They are a place familiar to us and unique to anywhere else on earth.

Why is our home so different, so special?

100 years ago, a blue line was drawn on a map around the existing state-owned land. Its purpose was to direct the state's acquisition of more land within that area because the landscape was majestic enough that actions were warranted to keep it intact. New York State chose to use the only means it had, purchasing and preserving the land.

In 1904, this initiative gave the Catskill region a huge head start on learning how to live alongside and benefit from wilderness, compared to the rest of this country. In today's society, the concepts of sustainable growth and smart growth require tremendous foresight in the planning process. The protection of the Catskills for a hundred years has uniquely positioned the region to be a forerunner in instituting forms of development that are both environmentally and economically sustainable. The managing partner of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Crossroads Ventures has stated on more than one occasion that long-time and year-round residents support the project, and those that own second homes or have moved here recently oppose it. We are the current generation of Catskill region natives he speaks of, and unfortunately for Crossroads Ventures, we cannot support this project as it is currently proposed. The scale of the project is of great concern to us. The projected visitation of the Belleayre Resort is 3.5 times the current visitation of Belleayre Ski Center. This huge but very high-risk project by default will be the focus of much of our future economic direction. To put all of our eggs in this one basket is of very questionable rationale.

Wouldn't it be more environmentally and economically sustainable to have one hotel with fewer rooms that could be full nearly all of the time? Perhaps a smaller, more efficient facility is better suited for the region. Or better yet, why not steer economic development into the hamlets themselves where infrastructure and other businesses are already

in place? We feel the impacts from this project could very likely threaten our home 25, 50 and 100 years from now in ways we have yet to imagine. The immediate impacts in all likelihood will pressure our way of life, our water quality, our open spaces, our viewshed and our night sky.

We must ask Mr. Gitter and the others involved in bringing this project to its current form, what is your childhood home like now? Is it as comforting and welcoming as you remember? Ours currently is. Are the things you valued about it still intact? Ours currently are. Do its boundaries stand up against the pressure of poorly planned development? Ours currently do. Is it still a place you strive to live in or return to? Ours is.

There is no question that tourism is the most important economic asset for the Catskill Mountains. However, the reason that tourism was and continues to be the engine for our economic growth is because of the Catskill Mountain region's biggest asset, the Catskill

Park and Forest Preserve. Without our forested mountain summits and tucked-away vistas, without our unspoiled trout streams, without our fresh air and without our mosaic of autumn leaves that surround each and every distinct village and hamlet, there would be no tourism. This project as proposed will seriously encroach upon everything the tourists have come to expect, everything the new residents learn to cherish, and everything we natives have always loved about our Catskill Mountains.

Championship golf courses, ritzy hotels and timeshares are not the reason that the majority of tourists come here or ever will.

They can go to the Poconos, the Hamptons,

Atlantic City or the Berkshires for that. The Catskill Mountain region has greatly benefitted from the development and consequent loss of open spaces, clean streams, diverse wetlands and rural character in much of northern New Jersey, Long Island and the lower Hudson Valley where the majority of our tourism dollars originate. As more and more open space is lost throughout the northeast, we will continue to

benefit economically, and the region's economy has and will continue to grow into the future.

We feel this proposed project is in the wrong location, as it is not situated in a hamlet or village, but rather on high ridges and steep terrain between 2 and 3,000 feet.

It is the wrong scale. In essence, it is creating another self -- it is creating another isolated village of over 1,700 guests and staff per day with no real justification for its enormity.

It is the wrong time. The 100th anniversary of the Catskill Park this year is something that should be celebrated, promoted and used as an economic and educational vehicle for the region. Its importance should not be downplayed and overshadowed by a project that will alter the park's image forever. Instead, we suggest that this time should be used to gather consensus regarding the future of the park for the next 100 years.

Lastly, we feel that the project will have negative impacts on New York City's unfiltered water supply. If this happens and the city is

′

forced to build a filtration plant, what does that mean for the Catskill Mountains? It means less money from the city for programs we have grown accustomed to since 1997, any low interest loans, no economic development or education grants, no free septic replacement and maintenance, no free or low cost wastewater treatment plant upgrades, storm water retrofits and much more.

Since the signing of the New York City Watershed Memorandum of Agreement seven years ago, New York City has invested over \$1.25 billion in the Catskill and Delaware watersheds, not counting property taxes. project, if approved, could very well be a giant step down a long, costly road full of uncertainty. The proposed project has bipolarized the residents of the Catskill Mountains. If you envision leaving things just the way they are now that you are here or if you envision developments of large scale or in all locations now that you stand to gain, then you may fit well into the polarized landscape currently defining the future of these Catskill

l

Mountains. We hope that this initial polarization will result in a clear, sustainable and productive middle ground for the Catskill Mountains.

If you envision a future for the Catskills that is characterized by revitalized hamlets with intelligent zoning, responsible ordinances, increased density and strong incentives for infill, restoration and robust, yet sustainable development, then you support our vision. If you envision a future for the Catskill Mountains that restores the integrity of its land ethic, that restores the logic of the city, town and farm or forest model and that continues to protect open spaces and the ecology, history and natural capital of this area, then you, once again, appreciate our vision.

Sincerely, Jon Griesser, class of 1994,
Onteora. Theodore Finkle, class of 1987,
Margaretville. Jessica Sweeney, class of 2000,
Margaretville. Gabrielle Weis, class of 1992,
Onteora. Aaron Bennett, class of 1993,
Onteora. Matthew Barrette, class of 1997,

5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10

Onteora. Jeanenne Bourke, class of 1993, Onteora. Egan Danehy, class of 1993, Onteora. Allan Mower, class of 1993, Onteora. VanEtten, class of 1993, Onteora. Jennifer Zarnoch, class of 1993, Onteora. Anderson, class of 1994, Onteora. Alyssa Babcock, class of 1994, Onteora. Ryan Bennett, class of 1994, Onteora. Jipala Kagan, class of 1994, Onteora. Jason Konefal, class of 1994, Onteora. Jeffery Weiss, class of 1994, Onteora. And finally, Patrick Burkhardt, class of 1996, Onteora.

ALJ WISSLER: Algernon Reese. After Mr. Reese, we'll hear from Judy Pavone.

MR. ALGERNON REESE: Judge Wissler, thanks for coming. Fellow citizens, my name is Jerry Reese, Algernon Reese. I live in Mt. Tremper, New York where I own a home. A couple of very specific things.

First, with regards to visibility issues,

I looked at the maps carefully that the Gitter

proponents had here at the first meeting and

they identified some of the areas where the

project would be visible, but I think they've

1

2

9

8

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

left some out. One of the most significant to me is the long view as you're coming down the hill above Bread Alone on Route 28 just where Laurel Road comes in from the east. This is near Maurice Skip Lane's place. If you look towards the Catskill peaks, you get a distant view about seven layers in depth, and the project would be prominently in that view corridor.

Also, I like to ski a lot and I spend a lot of time on the cross-country trails at Belleayre Mountain, and I have since I was a kid. And the project would be visible from almost all of those trails, and that was not identified as a visibility area prior.

Also, I did not hear any discussion, nor can I find anything in the DEIS about whether the golf courses will be lighted at night. It is my understanding that most golf courses these days are lighted at night.

As you probably know, Judge, there are six major creeks that come down off of Belleayre

Mountain and that would be impacted by this project, starting with the start, that would be

Lost Clove Creek, because some of the project would drain into the Lost Clove drainage. Then Giggle Hollow, then the creek that goes down into Pine Hill which I think some people call Birch Creek, and then there are three more full-time creeks that run down into the area below Highmount. I'm in the process of skiing across that area. I've counted eight more intermittent creeks, and my guess would be that there are at least a dozen more.

How the DEC or the DEP would intend to mitigate impacts into these waterways is beyond me.

Also, I've asked for baseline data on the purity of these creeks, and no publications seen that I have found yet has done any baseline data on the purity level of those creeks at this time so it would be difficult for us to know what negative impacts they might suffer in the future.

In addition to those water sourses, there is a spring at 2,400 feet which is just above the project development.

With regards to the Memorandum of

1 2

~

б

Agreement, I understand that the Department of Environmental Protection in New York City Department of Environmental Protection sees itself in a bit of a bind there. On one hand they're charged with protecting the waters of New York City's water supply. On the other hand, the Memorandum of Agreement speaks about not standing in the way of economic development. But it's important to understand that that language talks about economic development that would not negatively impact the environment. And as is the case with many people here, I have my doubts about how this project could not be environmentally negative given its size.

With regards to land use plan, I lived for many years after leaving New York State where I was brought up out west, and we jokingly used to say there that wealth seeks altitude. In other words, people with money seek to purchase, to perch on top of hills and capture the view. When they do that, that's wonderful for them, but it means that the rest of us have to look up at their estates. Allowing people

2

1

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to capture the view is simply not good land use planning in the opinion of a lot of experts.

This project, as you know, Judge, is located at 2,300 feet and stretches up to just above 3,000 vertical feet. The communities out west where I lived watched the slow erosion of views up the surrounding hillsides as ever wealthier people went higher and higher up on the hillsides to capture the view. Of course, it's also interesting to note that somebody such as Mr. Gitter or anyone else who builds a home up high is basically taking something that doesn't really belong to them; that is, the The views of our natural environments, particularly our parks, belong to all of us, and it probably will become the perspective of that, but over time it is not a good idea to allow people to take those view corridors and subvert them to their own use.

At the present time they don't have to pay for that, but perhaps that's something we should think about in the future.

I appreciate the difficulties of your role, Judge, and the DEC's in this process.

3 4

1

2

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

hesitate to mention this, but I feel that it's important to touch on this. As you know, your agency is the managing agency for the Belleayre ski area. The Belleayre ski area has been identified as an area that New York State wishes to promote. And I think that puts your agency in somewhat of a conflict position in choosing to be the lead agency on this project. My understanding was that the DEP, the New York City DEP sought to be the lead agency here, but that the DEC took over that role and certain willingness to be the lead I hope you will be sensitive to that agency. issue when you consider this project. certainly is of concern to me and others.

Of course, remember that the Belleayre ski area which I skied at when I was a kid, started right after World War II when we had to go up high to find snow. Now with snow making, ski areas wouldn't necessarily have to be so visible. To encourage mega-growth on either side, doubling or tripling the size of that development just doesn't make good land use sense in today's world.

And finally, I think really fundamentally, a lot of the emotion and divisiveness that this project has created in the community has to do with fundamental values. I'm very sympathetic to the union people that have talked. I, in fact, formed a union at one point. I was a president of a union. I'm very sympathetic to the people that want jobs and feel a need to earn money. We all do, but we all have those needs.

But the fundamental difference in values between somebody like Mr. Gitter who, I'm sure is a very pleasant fellow, I've never talked with him personally, and I'm sure he thinks he's doing the right thing, but he is the sort of person that would look at a view up on the rolling blue hills of the Catskills and think to himself, wow, what a great business opportunity. Let's see if we can make some money and we'll mitigate the damages and we'll all benefit, especially me.

Whereas a lot of us in this room would look at that view and say, it's just fine the way it is. It's been that way a long time and

we're comfortable with it that way.

Finally, with regards to those people in the front and elsewhere who are concerned about economic development and the future here in the Catskills, have no fear, there are many, many folks down in New York City who will sooner or later figure out that quite close by 120 miles or so, two hours plus in a fast, modern car, that there is an area of wilderness outside of America's largest city that is beautiful, that's accessible to them and they will come here for all sorts of activities.

But as somebody said before, let's not kill the goose that laid the golden egg by destroying that primary asset. Thank you

ALJ WISSLER: Drew Pavone. After Mr.

Pavone, we'll hear from Robert Pavone, Robert
or Judy.

Okay. Then moving on, Robert Aja, A-j-a.

Ron, sorry. After Mr. Aja, we'll hear

from Hera and Peter Koch.

MR. RON AJA: Hello. My name is Ron Aja.

I'm the festival director at an environmental organization called the Hudson River Clearwater

(Ron Aja)

Group. It's a non-profit environmental organization, it's member-supported and we have been in the valley protecting and restoring the Hudson River since 1966. And we are also not obstructionists, and we are not anti-union or anti-labor. In fact, a couple of years ago, Jen Bloom, who is sitting down here, and I organized a Labor Day picnic where the environmentalists and laborers and unions held

So, however, on behalf of Clearwater, I have come to express their concerns for this project. And I'll just paraphrase a letter that was written by Manna Jo Greene, the environmental director of Clearwater.

hands together in celebration of the river.

This proposed mega-resort includes 160 acres of heavily wooded mountaintop and mountainside land, mostly in Ulster County in Shandaken and extending into Delaware County and towns. The Clearwater has many concerns, ranging from the clear-cutting of 529 acres of high elevation forest, the use of pesticides, herbicides, fungicides, high demand on the limited water supply, traffic increases of huge

(Ron Aja)

proportions, damage to the viewshed and night sky, impacts on local communities who would be overwhelmed by the resort of this size, and a threat to New York City water supply which by this time has managed to avoid filtration.

So Manna Jo has asked that I just enter this letter in support, expressing our concerns of Clearwater, and then they will submit a more detailed letter later on. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Hera and Peter Koch. Then we'll hear from Becky Sellinger

MS. HERA KOCH: Hello. I'm Hera. I'm a public sculptor. I'm also on the board of the Civic Design Commission of Woodstock, and I'm going to be reading a position paper written by Peter Koch who is my hiking buddy. He's on the board of the Woodstock Land Conservancy. We're both very active with the environment and we are definitely opposed to this project.

I also want to mention that I'm a volunteer firefighter. I work with a lot of guys who are like the guys in the audience who want jobs, and I understand that.

I'm going to start by quoting Alf Evers,

20

21

22

23

24

25

who is our local historian and written up in 2 the most recent Woodstock Times as an American 3 treasure. He says, The people of our 4 generation, if they make the effort, may still 5 6 save the Catskills. It's a warning, and he's a big advocate for the Catskills, of course. 7 Peter writes, It's now time to step up and make 8 that effort. We face a huge development threat that will undermine the integrity of the 10 Catskill Park and the intent of its founders. 11 Gitter's gigantic golf resort will smash its 12 way through the forests and mountains near 13 Belleayre and change the Catskills forever. 14 Most people are familiar with the negative 15 impacts of massive developments such as this. 16 Increased traffic, severe erosion, air, water, 17 noise, light and visual pollution. 18 Here, I would like to zero in on golf 19

Here, I would like to zero in on golf courses and issue them a special condemnation from my position as one with an academic background in the environmental sciences. From an ecological standpoint, a golf course is not much better than a parking lot. Like large scale agriculture, golf courses are essentially

mono cultures. That is, sizeable areas covered by only one species of plant. Nature responds to this artificial species vacuum by trying very hard to fill it with an assemblage of other species typically found in the area. nature has her way, soon the golf course is one large rough containing many lost golf balls. So man has to fight back to maintain the mono culture against this natural flow of things. He does this by spewing tremendous loads of herbicides, pesticides and artificial fertilizers onto the fairways and greens. doesn't take much imagination to picture the witch's brew that will contaminate the soil and enter the Esopus and eventually be mixed in a cocktail at your favorite bar down in the This poisonous scenario starts when the resort is up and running.

Now consider the construction phase. Many acres of land destroyed is one thing, but think of the erosion when this vast, hilly area is scraped down to bare dirt. Mud, gullies, silt-filled streams, months of roaring bulldozers, the stench of diesel exhaust,

25

disruptions on Route 28 and God knows what else.

Now for a word on economics. We in the Catskills are sitting on top of a gold mine.

No, not thinking of the kind of wealth in concentrated form that is wrenched from the environment and typically carted off to make very few rich. This wealth is all around us, and if used gently will take care of us all in perpetuity and allow us to live decently and in dignity. It is simply the beauty inherent in the uncluttered, quiet and clean environment.

Beauty may seem abstract, but the value of the human reaction to unspoiled nature can be translated into hard economic terms in the present day.

In these terms, for example, an uncluttered view could be thought of as a commodity that can be sold. Supply and demand will determine what people will pay. It's clear that this value is substantial right now and must go up dramatically as the burgeoning population searches for relief, relief from the stink, the racket and the crowded ugliness of

fast-food alley, the hardening of the arteries of suburban America. So don't build it, and they will come.

This idea of maintaining large, unspoiled areas for low-impact recreation is based on the success of the Boundary Waters Canoe Area in Minnesota. Northern Minnesotans initially were scared that this wilderness designation would limit their economic hopes. They soon found themselves up to their stuffers with paddle sports enthusiasts, and good economic times ensued, preserving open space, and its inherent beauty ended up helping the region's economy.

Beauty sold, and the price was right.

In the Catskills, we are in an ideal position to cash in on our natural beauty.

It's abundant and the swelling megalopolis is near at hand and loaded with potential escapees. All we have to do is keep the mountains lovely and the waterways clean. The ever-increasing numbers of hikers, hunters, fishermen, kayakers, cross-country skiers, artists, et cetera, as well as those simply looking for peace and quiet, would come

knocking and bearing their pocketbooks.

Local businesses can thrive and grow but providing services for the many interested in these low-impact activities. I think most would agree that these folks are looking for a bed and breakfast with a lovely view rather than something akin to Disneyland.

Careful development with quality instead of quantity in mind will enhance what we already can offer and help to build a solid economic infrastructure for the region, an infrastructure not dependent on the whims of big business, the pushers of conventional large-scale development. Thanks.

ALJ WISSLER: Becky Sellinger. After Ms. Sellinger, we'll hear from Henrietta Wise.

MS. BECKY SELLINGER: I represent your future inhabitants of your world. This area is not going to boost just because you build an extra large golf course. All we'll do is make the rich get richer and the poor get poorer. It's not fair that you are telling us that you're doing this to benefit our community. Well, why are you doing something to benefit us

(Becky Sellinger)

that we don't want? We don't want to slave over chemicals and \$7 or \$8 an hour wages that can't even pay for our families. We don't want -- if you want a golf course, drive your SUV to Florida. The bottom line is that even though you may disagree with me and everything I say, we are your future. We are your future and we will be the ones that are paying all the consequences for this long after you're gone. After all, this country was founded by a bunch of rebels.

And now a short word from my friend Shonty Richie.

there? As you can see, we children, the children, have strong feelings on this certain problem. Now, what some of you are thinking are that we are just stupid kids, that we don't know what we're talking about, but this is our life, too. If there is something important enough for us to get out there and do something about, you know there is a problem. We're going to grow up and have our own kids one day. We would like to secure a pristine future

(Becky Sellinger)

for them so they don't have to come here to a developed wasteland. I want them to come home to the beautiful wilderness that I initially came here for, not to watch the traffic go by and inhale the sickening fumes of pollution.

We, the children of the Catskills, say no to this monstrosity and we pray that they snap back into reality and realize that this won't happen. We're not here to hold signs. We believe in this.

ALJ WISSLER: Henrietta Wise. After Ms. Wise, we'll hear from Robert J. Wilkins.

MS. HENRIETTA WISE: Judge Wissler, thank you.

Onondaga elder Leon Shenandoah, who has only recently passed away, has said, You call this land wild but this land isn't really wild. This land is free. Animals aren't wild. They are free. I believe it's the duty of the Department of Environmental Conservation and the Department of Environmental Protection to look after this kind of freedom, the freedom of what we now call wilderness.

Nowadays there are two ways of looking at

the word free. The people who created the designation Catskill Park and drew the blue line around it saw the line for what it really was. It's a living sanctuary for and of millions of trees, plants, trillions of wild creatures, and most important, streams, wetlands, even the undesignated ones, ponds and aquifers of clean, pure water. These people knew that elsewhere this kind of land is in danger. They drew this blue line to keep these living entities free, hoping that the humans who moved into this blue line would understand and respect that freedom.

Most developers look at land and believe that the word free represents profit. The reason I'm free to make a profit wherever I can. I'm afraid Mr. Gitter's fallen into that category several times already, and his new plans for five-star resort make a mockery of the reason for the blue line and for the Catskill Park.

This DEIS about which we are commenting today proves that we as residents inside the blue line are at a crossroads, and the people

who have moved into this set-aside park land, where we are changed to -- I'm sorry -- we're charged to interact in a respectful, careful way with the beauty of the land itself, are faced with the development that flies in the face of respectfulness and land stewardship. We are faced with a massive year-round development that is going to affect every one of us with the encroachment of noise, pollution, traffic, artificial light late at night, and most dreaded of all, the fouling of our waters as time passes.

The prophesies of the original instructions of the Onondaga people who live upstate say that when the water will be filthy and unfit to drink, then a great monster will rise up from the water and destroy mankind. That was the plight of the Russian scientists who visited our beautiful reservoir system in Olive about -- I think it was seven years ago. They said, the waters in Moscow are ruined, ruined, and we cannot clean the water up.

May those words never be spoken about our Ashokan Reservoir. I hope that the Department

1

of Environmental Conservation and the Department of Environmental Protection will step up and look long and hard at Dean Gitter's Crossroads Ventures' proposed deforestation of more than 500 wilderness mountain acres, the water of which impacts not only a pristine DEC-designated trout spawning stream, an uncharted underground aquifers, small wetlands and streams, but most important is directly within the watershed of the nation's largest, most beautiful municipal water system, which people from all over the world come to study. And that this massive deforestation is for the purpose of imposing on that mountainside two championship-caliber, world-class, 18-hole golf courses, one to be blasted into each side of the Belleayre Mountain Ski Center which is owned by New York State. larger-than-life golf courses will be preemptively, meaning more often than most golf courses, sprayed with proven carcinogenic and genotoxic chemicals, some of which are also persistent organic pollutants and bio-accumulative.

I hope the DEC and DEP will remember that their sworn charge and their reason for being is to protect the environment, not developers. When they say in this DEIS that the plan is to cluster around each golf course a 400-room luxury hotel, 351 timeshares, five restaurants, a large conference center, 21 luxury homes, swimming pools, which use chlorine, two spas, which use chlorine, and a tennis court for each area, I hope New York City will remember it wants to avoid building a \$6 billion filtration I hope the DEC, the DEP and New York City remembers they did not act quickly enough with the Croton Reservoir and now due to unchecked development on its tributaries are forced to increasingly up amounts of poisonous chlorine which has been proven in Maryland research studies many times on municipal waters to cause bladder and colon cancer.

I hope they note that there is trouble enough already with the pristine Ashokan and tend its watershed with the greatest of concern for now and for the next seven generations. I hope the DEC and DEP will remember all this,

2

1

4

5 6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

plus the fact that once a house or a monster resort goes in, it never goes away. It never goes away, nor do all the spills, scrapings, flushings, chemistry accidents, and mistakes that us humans are err to.

I believe that now is the time for lawyers. I hope that the State will somehow see its way, condemn this project or buy it from Mr. Gitter, Crossroads Ventures. And if he will not sell willingly for a reasonable price, which it will certainly be less than \$6 billion, I hope the city will do a taking, because they are charged with saving truly clean, unchemicalized water for generations of people. That's billions of people. And we have to start now.

Mr. Wissler, your Department's charge is a solemn one. The guarding of water in other countries is considered sacred, a sacred act, a sacred charge. There's a reason for that.

Water is the content of everything that ever was and ever will be, that ever was and ever will be, and it's the same water running through our bodies and crying through our eyes

over this project, that fill dinosaurs and went through Christ and Buddha and Muhammad and all the people, that's the same water. There's no new water from heaven. That doesn't exist. There's no fresh spring coming from heaven. What we have now is all the water we are ever going to get, and you're the keeper, sir. Thank you.

Robert J. Wilkins. After ALJ WISSLER: Mr. Wilkins, we'll hear from Jay Geiger.

MR. ROBERT WILKINS: Good afternoon. Mγ name is Robert Wilkins. Thank you, Judge Wissler, and to all my neighbors.

I've lived here about 57 years, and I've lived on 28 in Shokan and not too many feet from the road. And I can remember as a kid the amount of traffic that we had from the resorts that we had in Pine Hill, Highmount, Margaretville and all over the place. Somebody said there was a considerable amount of beds, like about 10,000 beds from somewheres around Shokan to Margaretville. We're lucky if we got 4 or 500 today. And I would just like to say that I feel a little bit like he who said he is

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I feel I am too because I've lived through a lot of things and I've seen the enormous amount of traffic that was on 28 in the 1940s, the early 1950s and into the '60s, with the resorts that were up there, between limousines and busses. And the pollution from the busses was unbelievable, okay, and the traffic was, too.

And I would just like to say this: can remember as a kid when I first learned how to ski, I looked around at Belleayre, of course there wasn't any residents there where the superintendent lived, and I can remember I was about 14 years old, envisioning a hotel or motel being built there to accommodate people so they didn't have to travel back and forth, because back in the '50s, just like today, Belleayre and the same areas are a one-day trip This is our problem with this area, with Ulster County. We are a one-day trip area. You come out of New York, you come up here, you got to go home because there's no place to stay. All the little motels that were along 28 are no longer motels. You rent them by the

month or by months. You cannot rent them by the day.

VOICE FROM THE AUDIENCE: That's not true.

MR. ROBERT WILKINS: Well, the majority of them are. Let me talk, please. Thank you.

And I feel that putting in a facility like this will take traffic off of 28 because if you have a facility that will take and accommodate a thousand to 1,200 people, okay, there's 6,000 people a day that go skiing. If a thousand of those people have a place to stay and stay, there's a thousand, 1,200 people that don't have to go back to New Jersey or New York or wherever they live. So there will be less traffic.

As far as the golf resort is concerned, if we can accommodate 6,000 people in the wintertime to ski, certainly we can accommodate a couple hundred people to go play golf.

And I understand the environment. I was, like I said, I was lucky that in my town, I was the tax assessor for four years, I was a town justice for four years and I just served five

б

years on the county legislature, and I was chairman of the Environment Consumer Affairs Committee. And a couple years ago, we had a very important thing come to us with this lawn care notification law, okay? A very sensitive thing. And between my committee and myself and the people that opposed it and the people that were for it, we got together and we came up with a better thing than the state.

The state had passed a law, okay, that said, you know, that if you opted into this law, it was 162 counties in the state, only 4 opted in. If you opted in, you could never opt out, nor could you change anything. I didn't think that was much of a law.

We looked into it and we came up with our voluntary law, and where everybody is getting along with it, you know, we made improvements to the law and we made it better and we made it so people could get along. And that's what needs to be done.

Now, I've developed a saying over the years. If you know me, you've heard me say it. Since none of us are here for a long time, we

all ought to be here for a good time, so let's quit giving everybody a hard time and let's get along. We have an issue, yes, the environment has to be, you know, answered. And nobody wants anything that's going to contaminate or pollute something. But my God, man, this is the year 2004. We can go to the moon, we can transplant eyes and hearts and lungs and everything else, and we can't build something without polluting? I can't believe it. And I think -- well, if we put our minds to it, we can. You know, where there's a will, there's a way. But first you got to be willing and you got to be willing to talk about it, and I think that's what we need to do.

I can remember a few years ago, well, 20 years ago, I was very instrumental and very involved in bobsledding. I was on the national bobsled team. I went all over the world. And in '92 they said I was too old. I was 45. I made it to USA 1 as a driver and they said they didn't want somebody 45 years old representing the United States, so I became a coach and an instructor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In 1992, the Olympics were in Albertville, France and I had an opportunity to be a coach on the U.S. bobsled olympic team. remember flying from Geneva to Zurich. know why we went from Geneva to Zurich, we were going to New York, but that's the way we had to go to get to New York. And I remember when we were approaching the airport in Zurich, I looked down and to my amazement, there was a river, and on the river, there was a refinery. And next to the refinery was a farm. said, you know, man, if they can do that in Switzerland, we can do it here. All we have to do is be willing to do it, okay? And if you go to Switzerland and you see their lands, they're very pristine. And they have things and enjoy things together. They don't try to isolate. And I feel that this project, you know, I think will help the county.

I remember in 1999, I believe it was 1999 or 2000, I was on the legislature when this came about and I was in favor of it then, providing that it met all the criteria for the environment, because I felt that we were trying

to fill the vacancy of Tech City and I felt that the only way you're going to get people from California, Silicone Valley or wherever, to come here is that they need some activity, and we have it here. We have skiing, but we don't have golf. And golf is a big, big draw to these kind of people. Now, I never played golf. I have no intentions of playing golf, okay? I was a skier. I was a ski instructor at Belleayre in the '60s. So I know the mountain. I've been there when it was nothing there. We're talking about 6,000 people a day.

Well, if that's the problem, then when 3,000 people get off the Thruway to go to Belleayre, tell them they can't come. You know, I remember the dedication in 1999, Dot Nibble, who is one of the architects at Belleayre in the initial ski school director there, and she said when she started to build the trails, they cheated a little bit. Well, we need -- this tree is a little close to the trail, somebody might hit the tree. They will. They've taken down an awful lot of trees, and

they need to take down probably more to expand the facility. And I think that having a motel or hotel or whatever it's going to be there to accommodate the people that are coming, I think is a good thing. And I think we ought to look into it and try to do what we can to make it happen because without it, I think that what's there will dry up eventually because people will get tired.

Also, I'm on the Highway Traffic Safety
Board in Ulster County. I was appointed to
that a couple years ago. The traffic count on
28 on a daily basis -- forget about the
weekends -- a daily basis is 30,000 cars a day,
okay? Now, let me tell you, in 1953, my father
built, it was a hardware store, the Onteora
Trading Post in Shokan. And I remember as a
kid, people coming to me and to my father and
saying, Harold, you know, it's a shame you
build such a beautiful place. Do you realize
that by 1955, 50 years from now, almost 50
years ago, that you're going to be on the back
road, that they're going to build a bypass
through Shokan in 1955? I don't see any

start. I've seen a lot of people do an awful lot of surveying. You could probably build a road for the amount of money we spent on surveying. But that's part of the problem. I've been fighting with the state for the last couple of years, before the troopers got killed down there, on having adequate shoulders on I finally got the shoulder done by the Mountainside. I got that done by just screaming and yelling and hollering and keeping after them. They've promised me by next year that we're supposed to have shoulders from 28 And my hope is that I can get them as to 375. wide as they are from Boiceville to Pine Hill. Part of the problem is that this road was built I remember it as a kid in 1948, they didn't consider shoulders. They were happy that they straightened the road out, and so they left it.

In 1966 when they built the road from here to Pine Hill, they realized they need shoulders, so that's why you have shoulders from here to Pine Hill and that's why you don't have them from here to 375. But the number of

3

1

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

accidents that we've had are directly related to the lack of shoulders. And if we want to have skiers and we want to have tourists and we want people to come here, I think we need to address all of these things.

And as far as the environmental people, when I was just on the Legislature, the DEC is trying to close hiking of these peaks that we have around here that everybody claims they enjoy. The Boy Scouts and Cub Scouts have come in with a petition that wanted the County Legislature to go along with to help them because they had a problem where the DEC says, we can only have eight or nine people.

Well, if you got a Cub Scout or Boy Scout troop, by the time you get a couple chaperones, it's hard to contain it to the 12 or 11 people. And there's a lot of places that they're keeping, closing down.

And to me, one other thing. When I was on the Environmental Consumer Affairs, one thing came up. We're all familiar with Frost Valley, but Frost Valley is not too far from this proposed site. I'm a cancer victim. I've had

colon cancer, 14, 12 years ago, and I'm a survivor, thank God. And do you realize that Ulster County is one of the leading counties in Ulster County -- I mean in the state, for diabetes and for cancer, okay?

They did a study up in Frost Valley where they took what we have, which we have a lot of, thanks to the lack of anybody doing irresponsible timbering, we have a dead forest. So they checked the nitrogen level in the dead forest, they checked it in the clear-cut and they checked it in a managed forest.

Well, guess what? The worst is the dead forest. The reason why our deer and all our wild animals are in our back yards, it's the only place that it's green. If you go up on the mountains, we have green cover, but there's no green on the ground. It's all rotten.

Nothing can grow. And I think that what we need to do, one of the things that I was excited about when I heard about this is that they're finally going to do something with the forest there and manage it and make it the way

it's supposed to be. Okay?

Thank you very much.

ALJ WISSLER: Chris Geiger. Jane Geiger. Chelsea Carter. Esther Frances.

MS. ESTHER FRANCES: First, I would like to say that I'm really glad that the hearings of this kind are still happening and how important it is that we listen and respect whatever anyone has to say because that's what's really so precious about the way of life that we want.

I've lived here for about 20 years, I've lived in Kingston, I now live in Kerhonkson, and I really love living here and I want to voice that I'm in harmony with those that have expressed their opposition to this project.

Aside from some of the topics already mentioned, concern about the increased volume on 28, I'm going to try and address some things that I think maybe haven't been mentioned as much, although I've heard them a bit today.

The environmental impact report says that there are no endangered species threatened.

Well, I don't agree with that. I see wilderness and the members of the human family that wish to live in respect of and in harmony with the wilderness as endangered species. The proposed site is situated in the middle of one of the few remaining wilderness sites in our community. Wilderness is not something humans can remanufacture after we infringe upon it. By definition, wilderness describes areas that humans have not violated. The preservation of wilderness is essential for the well-being of earth's fragile ecosystem and for the spiritual inspiration offered to humans now and in the future. Once gone, we cannot bring it back.

As a steward of earth, I feel the responsibility to ensure that other species be granted refuge from the greed and onslaught of human ambition. Ecology has showed us what sages of all traditions have said. We are interdependent with all of creation. The human domination of the planet has resulted in unintended consequences which we are just now discovering. Given the scarcity of wilderness, it should be regarded as precious and worthy of

protection. Even from a selfish point of view, intact wilderness serves the planet by cleansing the air and serving as a buffer to the pollution created by human endeavors. And I agree with all those people that have said that that really is the goose that lays the egg, that as these areas are vanishing and becoming more rare and precious, that's what's going to draw people into our area, and that there's plenty of room for economic development and building that employ all the skills of electricians and builders. But on a smaller scale and not consolidated, but put here and there so that it's distributed and it's done in an ecological way.

I grew up going to high school, actually, in Atlantic City, New Jersey, and this was before the casinos went in. Everybody, it was the same old song that this was going to be the salvation. I've been there since and it's the degradation. You go and you look at what I experienced as beautiful boardwalks, and it's like a Disney World with one thing after another. It's like the ocean has a backdrop.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I lived in California. I lived in That's where my son was born, and it's a very similar situation, absolutely beautiful mountains about the same scale as our Catskills, and one road that feeds into Fairfax and beyond to the ocean. Because of that, what resulted is that it's as if this one artery, which is a lot like 28, is so crowded and going back and forth from one town to another becomes such a hassle, it's crowded constantly and I can see that happening at 28, and all the beauty that we have being, becoming the backdrop. And for those of us that go into Kingston, that go into Woodstock, that go into New Paltz, if we have to, what is now a half an hour trip, becomes an hour fraught with traffic and congestion. That makes a huge impact on our way of life.

Okay. Let's see. And oh, I remember here locally when I lived in Woodstock, we had a pharmacy that was sold and at the time, there was a lot of public concern that we wanted to preserve the same kind of quality that was there before, and there was an agreement that

was signed, and before long, it was signed for, I forget, six months, a year. I don't have a good memory for that kind of thing. But what happens, eventually there was a garish sign that came up and no one challenged it. I don't know what happened. There was some bylaw, there was some provision. But once an inroad is made, particularly of this magnitude, there's all kinds of unintended consequences that we might not be able to foresee.

I also went to school in Bucks County.

And when I go back there, it brought tears to my eyes because it is so developed, it looks like a sprawl of monopoly games over and over and over what were these beautiful, beautiful farmlands. So I've seen this happen.

Now, last time I was here out in the hallway, there was -- there were different signs that were up describing the project. And I noticed that there was a designated area in the Crossroads blueprint and it was called Wildacres. From my point of view, this was an oxymoron, which means it's like a double speak, it's like that old saying, sun is so hot, rain

1 2

so hot, I froze to death, you know, Oh,
Susannah. Wildacres is what happens when we
don't let this kind of thing happen, not what
happens when there's construction in the middle
of it.

And there's also roads that we have, Pine Groves, Maple Lanes. You can barely see a vestige of the Pine Groves or the Maple Lane and we have, oh, you call it something and it's going to be something. Well, this is not wilderness in my experience of it.

I've been many places where you can no longer see the night sky. The night sky is something absolutely precious, the view of the stars. Something of this magnitude would certainly make a big impact on our ability to see the night sky.

To me, to put a golf course on top of a mountain is like putting your feet up on the dining table. The fact that we can do it doesn't make it proper or right. The mountain is compromised when large portions of clear-cut, gutted and remade for the sake of a capitalistic venture. The mountains are

б

sacred. The creatures living there were not consulted and cannot speak up in defense of their lives and homes. Some of us feel that it is our ethical responsibility to speak out in their defense.

Thomas Barry says in his book, The Dream of the Earth, most often we think of the natural world as an economic source, as a place of recreation after a worrisome period of work or as something of passing interest for its beauty on an autumn day, when the radiant colors of the oak and maple leaves give us a moment of joy. All these attitudes are quite legitimate. Yet, in them all is what might be called a certain trivializing attitude. were truly moved by the beauty of the world about us, we would honor the earth in a profound way. We would understand immediately and turn away with a certain horror from all those activities that violate the integrity of the planet.

He goes on to say, we should be clear about what happens when we destroy the living farms of this planet. The first consequence is

1

2

3

9

10

8

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that we destroy modes of divine presence.

If we have a wonderful sense of the divine, it is because we live amid such awesome magnificence. If we have refinement of emotion and sensitivity, it is because of the delicacy, the fragrance and the indescribable beauty of song and music and rhythmic movement in the world about us. To destroy virgin land, to erect this gated community would violate the integrity of the mountain.

I am a ZERI practitioner. ZERI is a cutting-edge sustainability, cutting-edge ecological organization that has as its mission creating zero waste by creating as nature creates. Gunter Pauli, founder of ZERI, says in his book <u>Upsizing</u>, The survival of species in nature depends on interdependence and collaboration. It is a cooperative endeavor, decentralized and each making decisions guided by principles that ultimately have more than self-interest and self-survival in mind. He goes on to say, Survival of the fittest should be replaced by a new maxim, evolution through interdependence in cooperation.

1.0

Currently, those with the most money and power dominate what's in this sphere of human affairs. Those of us who are opposed to the Crossroads Ventures resort are speaking up for the well-being of the majority, including the children of the future and the children of the other creatures whose homes and habitats are not being taken into consideration. The developers try to convince us that local revenues will be enhanced, but why would those arriving leave their destination when the entire resort would be there at their fingertips to cater to their needs?

And additionally, for those that might be involved in creating it and building it, it's short term, it's not sustainable over the long term. You build it, your job would be over and it would be there to leave its effects for long after you're gone. We as humans are at a critical crossroads. The very survival of life as we know it is at stake.

On January 8th, 2004, the following headline appeared in The Guardian in the UK.

"An Unnatural Disaster, Global Warming to Kill

Off 1 Million Species. Scientists shocked by the results of research. 1 in 10 animals and plants extinct by 2050."

Now, we know that we're living in a time where extinction is at a really enormous level, but this is a shock even to scientists who have been studying it. 2050 is less than 50 years from now. The article goes on to state, The risk of extinction increases as global warming interacts with other factors such as landscape modification, species invasions and buildup of carbon dioxide, to disrupt communities and ecological interventions.

Crossroads Ventures mega-resort is not only a local issue. Due to the lack of systems thinking, each recall agents as if its impact is minimal. The problem is that the collective impact created by all of the projects which act as if they were merely making an impact on the local area where they're proposed creates a lack of being aware of the impact on the whole. The effect of many toxic chemicals may not be nearly as hazardous in isolation as they are in their composite effects. We already

know the pollution in our area due to industry, for instance in the middle part of the country, the acid rain. We already know that we have huge mercury levels, some of which did not come from here. So when we add any new load of chemicals, pollutants, we don't know the effect that it has to the whole, the composite. So whatever speculations and whatever reports are done that write up the effects of one, let's say, pesticide or one fungicides in isolation, never came into account the effect of the entirety and what's being done with degradation of the environment is happening on the scale that it is currently.

What comes up must come down. Runoff from the golf courses where they need to look good is not going to be pure. Despite the assurances we're given by the folks who will experience monetary gain from the project and cannot guarantee that they will be able to prevent their chemicals from impacting the local water and the soil.

So one thing that I can't understand is, since water is the most precious resource and

16.

since there are now countries all over the planet who are really, really suffering because they have lost their clear water supplies, and we've already reached the time where water is being bottled and sold as a commodity, why, if there is even the slightest chance that there could be any compromise of the water quality, we can never go back if that happens. And if the huge cost of just installing things to make it drinkable, not where it is now, why would we ever, ever in our right minds jeopardize that?

At the hearing on January 15th, I heard Mr. Gitter ask the assembled community, which is us, to display more objectivity toward his project. Now, what I'm wondering is how Mr. Gitter or his investors could ask objectivity of us local residents whose very way of life is on the line when they all admittedly have invested thousands upon thousands of dollars in time in their proposed project. I think it's time that power and money aren't allowed to control and dominate the outcome of issues that concern the very quality of our life here in the Hudson Valley, and throughout the entire

planet. Please prevent this potential catastrophe from coming into existence. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: The time is now 6:35. We are going to break at this time until 7:15. We'll reconvene here at 7:15.

(The proceeding recessed at 6:35 p.m.; reconvened at 7:20 p.m.; appearances as before noted.)

ALJ WISSLER: Miriam Strauss. Will
Nixon. Mike Gaydos, G-a-y-d-o-s. Dana
Mollins. You're the first speaker tonight.
Jane VanDeBogart, you will be next.

MS. DANA SWISKAY MOLLINS: Hi. Can you hear me? My name is Dana Swiskay Mollins. I'm here tonight as a resident and as a business owner. I'm really terrified, but I had to come out to say as a business owner, I've read over and over again how the businesses are in support of this project, and I have to say as a business owner, I am not in support of this project. I do not see it helping us economically in our businesses. I do not see it helping us economically in our communities.

(Dana Swiskay Mollins)

I don't see it helping us economically in terms of our tax base and our schools. I don't see it helping us in any way to the proportion to which it will damage our home and our community. I think that's the main thing I wanted to say.

Oh. Also, what I have been told in speaking to other business owners, and this has been very disturbing for me, is that there are several business owners that are against this but are afraid to speak out. I find that horrifying in the United States of America and in a democracy, that somebody should be afraid to come up and stand out for -- stand up for what they believe in. This is what I believe in and I'm going to be really brief. That's all I have to say. Thanks.

ALJ WISSLER: Dee Dee Halleck. Is Dee Dee Halleck here?

MS. DEE DEE HALLECK: Yes.

ALJ WISSLER: Ms. Halleck, you'll be next.

MS. JANE VANDEBOGART: Good evening, sir. Thank you for holding this hearing. I was

unable to attend the other three, so I'm very grateful to have a chance to speak. My name is Jane VanDeBogart. I'm from Woodstock, New York and I was married to a local DEC forest ranger. Speaking from my life experience here, and I'm speaking from my heart, I know others will be more knowledgeable and eloquent, but I oppose this project with every fiber of my being. I think it is -- I think we need to walk very lightly on this earth and I think this project amounts in my view to an economic weapon of mass destruction.

Before I get into the substance of what I want to say, I want to talk also about the Applicant writing his own DEIS, or his hired agents, that is. I think that the procedure itself is flawed. I used to be the -- I retired from the Town of Woodstock Planning Board. I was the clerk of the Planning Board and I saw what happened when the applicants wrote their own DEISes. They're making their own evaluation of their projects, and it's the fox guarding the hen houses, and I think somewhere DEC needs to address the flaw in that

procedure, please. However, we have to live by it and so far we're going by the rules.

For instance, one of the projects that came before the Woodstock Planning Board some years ago was a project in Lake Hill, New York, to put 230 homes on 500 acres of steep mountainside, and it never happened. It came to a standstill. I think the developer finally found that it was economically unfeasible to install enough erosion control and road construction on steep hillsides. And projects like that generally have not paid their way in taxes, and I think that will also be true of this project.

I know we had a lot of people this afternoon talking about the need for jobs in the area, and I would like to address that.

For one, I would like to see the union workers organize Dean Gitter's exploited workers. But I think it is possible to have good, healthy jobs in an area, and I would like to suggest one possible way that we might do that. If Dean Gitter is insistent on doing something with the land that he has, I think --

1 2

\_

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

I would like to suggest that he build a world-class educational nature center and Catskill Interpretive Center there. simple lodging, with campgrounds, with bird sanctuaries, swimming holes, skating ponds, rope courses, rock climbing, outdoor art seminars, tracking and basic survival skills, multiple access for -- small accesses for fishing sites and training program for naturalists. These are some wonderful jobs that many of the union workers who were sitting here before would be happy to have. they would all rather be out leading, guiding fishing tours than sitting in an auditorium. Trail creators and maintenance people, fishing guides, naturalists, hiking guides, staff for the nature centers and researchers.

I recently saw a wonderful brand-new interpretive center at Cornell. It was called the Ornithology Lab, and beautiful little trails around the side marked, easy to read, some handicapped accessible and it was a world class bird library and research center. And I think that kind of thing, you know, I could

live with here. I am not opposed, as some of the people tried to imply, that we're against for against sake. I do think there are good things to do and I think union people actually can be put to work building it and using skills of local workers.

So in summary, I would like to say that I support appropriate scale development. I think this is way off the scale, the proposal. think we need to walk lightly on the earth, work for sustainable growth that will carry us through seven generations.

I went to a funeral today of a lady who was a hundred years and three days old, and I was thinking, my goodness, that's at least five generations that she had great, great grandchildren at the funeral parlor. So there are five generations back coming on, and I think we need to build with seven generations as the native American people said in mind. Thank you very much.

ALJ WISSLER: After Ms. Halleck, we'll hear from Brian Powers.

MS. DEE DEE HALLECK: My name is Dee Dee

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Halleck and I'm a mountain girl. I grew up in the Smoky Mountains in Tennessee and I loved a town called Gatlinburg when I was a kid. We used to go there on the weekends and it was always a charming, lovely mountain town with a lot of crafts. And I had the pain to go back a couple years ago and see what's happened to Gatlinburg. I don't know how many of you know it, but it is a horror of unmitigated development and really, it shows how to wreck not only that town but a region, if you want to see a bad situation.

I work in alternative media. I was one of the founders of free speech TV which is on the Dish network. I don't know how many of you get that. But I think that we're all victims of the media in this country of the kind of myths that we are fed. And one of them is that the development is good, and there's sort of a myth that if we have people who build all these big houses, that somehow we get the sense that our taxes are going to go down. And that is not the case. Actually, it's been proven that the more expensive the houses become, the bigger

development, the higher the taxes go. So I think that a lot of the people here who sort of see this kind of development as maybe lowering their taxes should really examine where that comes from.

I also think it's kind of a myth that these big projects are going to be union projects. I don't know how many of you were around when CVS upgraded or when CVS took over our only grocery store in Woodstock, but they did not hire any union people. They hired very low-income people that they didn't even care about whether they breathed the asbestos when they were taking it out.

I would like to talk to the judge just to say that I think -- I hope you take into account really the work and the kind of consideration that the youth who came here tonight, I was really impressed with that, that two groups of youth, one had this kind of considered report that they wrote, and you could tell that it was like this collective statement, they probably spent hours and hours working to make and then they read off the

names. And I was just appalled at the disrespect with which some of those same supposed union members hissed and booed and wouldn't even let the names of those wonderful youth who are taking such a responsibility to make a statement about how they feel about this project, and they are the future.

So I just think if you remember as you examine this testimony, if you recall the dedication and the care with which the youth have tried to make their opinions known, I think that's very, very important.

I think it's really important to take very seriously the questions about the different pesticides that are used in these golf courses, and that this -- the kind of harm that's done, a lot of these chemicals, they don't even find out about what kind of harm they do until 30 or 40 years later. And I think that this kind of misuse of nature is something that we don't have anyplace for here in the Catskills. I certainly, the golf courses that I see, I don't even see people using them. There's one up on Route 30 right near my son's organic farm and

he has an organic farm in Roxbury, and I hardly see anybody playing golf there. And it's a very pretty golf course.

I just think that we're going to end up paying the price. We're going to have to build the infrastructure, we're going to have to provide housing for the workers, somebody is, because the workers are not going to get the kind of pay that is going to allow them to live in this community. And I think that is really tragic. And I hope that we can protect the Catskills and not give it up to this kind of development.

ALJ WISSLER: Joel Kovel?

BRIAN POWERS: Good evening, everybody.

I'm Brian Powers. I live in Chichester, and although I'm a publisher of the <u>Phoenicia Times</u> and the <u>Olive Press</u>, I'm speaking tonight for myself, not for the newspaper.

Two and a half years ago I told our readers we wouldn't take an editorial position on this project until the end of the SEQR public comment period. We haven't thus far, though we have taken positions on specific

actions the project's developer has or hasn't taken. We've also found it necessary to comment of late on some of DEC's actions and its conduct of the SEQR review. In contrast, some of the agency's action to date, I think this public hearing process has been extremely well-handled, and I thank and commend Judge Wissler for that.

In October of '99 when the Belleayre
Resort was announced, the plan called for a
project with 792 hotel rooms and timeshare
bedrooms. Many people at that time thought
that sounded excessively large, but also that
it sounded like a bargaining position, asking
for more than was really needed so that it cut
back in scale but ultimately seemed a
reasonable compromise.

But then two years later, the company announced it was scaling its proposal down, making the great sacrifice of going from three golf courses down to two golf courses plus a driving range. Well, the other part of that downsizing was that the room count jumped from less than 800 to more than 1,200 it is today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I also remember that when the project was announced, the developer told us he would increase Shandaken's tax base by over 60 What we weren't told was that the tax percent. bill Crossroads was proposing to pay wasn't going to increase tax revenues 60 percent but by somewhere between 12 and 15 percent. Now it turns out Crossroads is proposing that even that absurdly low level of compensation to the host municipality won't actually be due for about 20 years. So for most of us, the median age in Shandaken is 45. This means if the project is built, our town would see almost no new taxes from it until after we're all retired.

As for our current retirees, according to the DEIS, the town wouldn't see any financial benefit in their projected lifetimes. Our kids, however, might see a tiny blip in municipal revenue, though not nearly enough to offset even the small portion of the costs associated with doubling the number of people in town, exclusive of any secondary growth.

Bottom line, in my view, Crossroads has

proposed the worst tax deal ever offered any town by any developer in the history of New York State, and I challenge the company to document a single instance where similarly massive increase in taxable property value resulted in a similarly insignificant increase in tax revenue to its host municipality.

The tax issue, unfortunately, is only one instance of the astonishingly bad faith the company has shown in its dealings with its host community. Its unprecedented breach of contract with Shandaken and its continued refusal to provide voluntary funding for municipal review of its project have effectively held the town hostage, preventing it from completing long ago or even beginning until recently the review it's required under SEQRA to conduct on behalf of its citizens. So if there's a climate of contentiousness surrounding this project, I believe it's one the developer has chosen and not just chosen, but worked to create.

For years people have expressed skepticism about theorized benefits of the project or its

potential tangible or intangible costs, have been vilified by the company, its employees and core supporters, and by political action committee, Citizens for Progress, funded substantially by the developer's employees, past and present. Through its use of such proxies, the company in my view both has had and continues to exert a wholly inappropriate control over local government and planning processes.

Whether some similar measure of influence may also extend to state government has now become a major issue for those of us who live here in the impacted towns in the Catskill Park and in the City's watershed. That, I think, is something that should be a source of concern to every citizen in the state.

Many people who have been watching this project closely over these past years believe that something may have already gone terribly wrong with the regulatory process. I don't know whether that's true or not. I would love to believe such concerns are unfounded. The DEC operates in a climate removed from all

considerations of political influence and that the SEQR process has been, from day one, conducted fairly, objectively and with as much consideration given to the residents of our region as to the developer and its investors. Somewhere down the road we'll see. Whether this project, if it's built, will be a Marriott or Sheraton or Bally or Trump project, that's something we don't know until long after the permits needed to build it are issued.

Two-thirds of the project's lodging capacity is currently designated for future sale as timeshare units. In today's market, that's like trying to sell music on 8-track tapes. It just isn't happening, and I doubt very much the project will be financed on that basis. But the fact is the SEQR process doesn't compel to Crossroads to tell anyone what the real deal is, and no one really expects them to.

Now, I understand the point of what's been proposed isn't to make friends with the host community, but to create a portfolio of permits for sale to a multi-national resort operator.

′

So I would ask the DEC that any permits ultimately issued be conditional upon the Applicant being the operator and that any change in either use or majority ownership require a completely new SEQR filing for the project.

Crossroads has reiterated many times now that the DEIS speaks for itself, and I couldn't agree more than it does that. So what does it tell us? I think it tells us first and foremost that you get what you pay for, that with enough money thrown at the effort, it's possible to articulate a science fiction vision of the future where cause and effect are suspended, where doubling the town's population doesn't affect it in the least, where secondary growth simply doesn't occur and workers can be counted on to remain childless, where the influx of a massive low wage labor force into an area with no available housing that is zero impact, and where cars and trucks feed seemlessly into a single lane traffic flow without sometimes at least crashing into one another.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

We all drive Route 28 every day and we've all seen things we wish we hadn't seen. would another 300 or 400 cars an hour mean in terms of acceptable casualties? Whose kids are most likely to be involved and how exactly does one go about mitigating the impacts of high-speed traffic accidents on the life of a couple small towns? How does one mitigate the negative impact a project would have on existing businesses in our towns as is clearly stated in the DEIS? The answer is, you can't. And I think the more closely the data is studied, the more apparent the ultimate conclusion will be. There are some problems with what's proposed that can be mitigated and others that can't be. And of the ones that can't be, most relate to issues of scale.

I think there's a lot of good work in the DEIS and there's a lot of bad work. Some of the bad work, I think, is intentionally misleading, intentionally superficial and is clearly intended to minimize what's significant by way of impact and maximize the hypothetical benefits. In both cases, using assumptions and

baseline data that's flawed, wrong or completely unsubstantiated, but I believe this all will come out as the SEQR process moves forward into its adjudicatory hearing phase and as the DEIS is carefully examined. I believe the process ultimately can work to the satisfaction of most people in our communities, and that the public's role is vital, especially when it comes to making sure local government really represents us.

For my part, I'll continue to do what I can to make sure our communities have the best information available, to keep informed on the process and to provide both a forum for and a reasoned measure of opinion to help people assess things for themselves. I believe our collective future is everyone's business and that we've all long since qualified for full party status to help choose what kind of future we're going to share here. I appreciate your attention.

ALJ WISSLER: Barbara Salzman, you'll be next.

MR. JOEL KOVEL: My name is Joe Kovel. I

live just a few miles from here in Willow for 16 years, and I've been teaching at Bard College where, among other courses, I teach a course called Ecological Crisis, and I edit a journal of political ecology and have written quite a bit on this subject, and included a recent book called The Enemy of Nature. And some of you may remember that in 1998, I ran for the Office of United States Senate for the State of New York on the Green Party line. And let the record show I lost to Charles Schumer.

One of the chief issues that the Green
Party and myself tried to confront in that
election in which I have continued to try to
confront is to stop talking about an opposition
between labor and the environment. And indeed,
my position has always been that I would look
forward to seeing the day when this country is
run and governed by working men and women
instead of by the politicians who represent
large money interests among whom, of course, is
Dean Gitter and the people who back him. And I
think we all have to work towards that day and
also work towards the day when we can rescue

nature from the onslaughts of this system which many of the people preceding me here have very eloquently described, and I won't go into the global aspect. But we all have to keep that very much in mind.

I'm sorry that so few of the trades union people aren't here anymore. I guess they had a long way to go to get home. I would certainly say that if and when the day comes that working men and women run this country, they're going to have to undergo a process of development just like all of us, and the development will have to include escaping from the clutches of people like Dean Gitter, because the Gitters of this world create the economic climate within which workers struggle for jobs, within which workers fight each other, within which one faction of the working class takes on another, and so on and so forth. And also within which we have bureaucratic unions that do not really represent the interests of the workers, but ally the workers with the big capitalists and keep them all in line. And I certainly hope that it wasn't the case that the workers who

23

24

came here tonight were in such a position and that they came freely of their own will. But if they didn't, they have their work to do, too, in order to escape from the clutches of that kind of system.

Now, in order to stop the opposition between labor and the environment, I think we have to take a more thoroughly ecological standpoint. Ecology and environmentalism are not really the same. The environment means something that's outside of us that's not really part of us. We're just observers of it or that we use it as resources.

But an ecological perspective, which is what I think many of the folks here have been enunciating tonight, is one that sees the whole world as a set of interconnected ecosystems that flourish and interact with each other where we, human beings, are part of nature and natural creatures, we have our own special way of living. Our way of living is to produce and is to create, and that's where labor comes in.

The fulfillment of labor is really the fulfillment of ourselves as ecological beings,

but we have to bear in mind that there are serious obstacles in its path, there are serious obstacles to the fulfillment of ecosystems, and they are given precisely with the vast amounts of money and the kinds of money that people like Gitter are representing.

Now, I don't pretend to know anything about his operation, except a few fragmentary facts, but one of those facts I heard is that he proposes to spend \$300 million on this enterprise when there is going to be, of course, a lot of short-term benefit for a certain fraction of the developer and working classes. That's not his money, of course.

That's money that comes from somebody else, or a consortium of somebody elses. I don't have any idea who they are. I have heard a few names. It's not worth repeating.

The point worth bearing in mind is, the things that go wrong that smash ecosystems happen when large amounts of this kind of money are applied at a distance. All these folks want to do, they're only in it to increase the

22

23

24

25

1

value of that money. They come from elsewhere and they're going to take the profits, the whole point of this, out of this region and take it back out, you know, to where they came And we're not going to see it. we're going to see is the devastation of the ecosystems, because applying that kind of capital to ecosystems invariably breaks them apart. And the natural part, the part we call the environment, it involves a ruthless suppression of indigenous life forms, like, you know, the forest that has to be ruthlessly suppressed so that superficially attractive but actually deadly green grass of the golf courses can arise. And in order to do that, you have to introduce all kinds of murderous substances into the ecosystem which have a whole lot of follow on and interactive effects, as some people very eloquently talked about. I don't even need to get into it.

But the point being, that kind of thing happens to ecosystems to the extent and degree that this kind of heavy capitalistic intervention takes place. And similarly, with

human ecosystems because human ecosystems are also ruthlessly suppressed in indigenous forms by the intrusions of these large elements of capital, and that's exactly why this kind of project is going to be so devastating no matter how many short-term quick fixes it promises.

And that's why we have to, really, stand up and rise up as a community for the life that's in that region and for the life that's in all of us and for the life that's on the earth here and put a stop to it. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Mary Hall. Paul Tobin. How about Miriam Strauss? Susan Penick. Susan, you will be next.

MS. EEO STUBBLEFIELD: I'm Eeo
Stubblefield, and I've already spoken at the
Margaretville hearing, and I've actually been
at every hearing and I did want to say one
thing, that I've been so ---

ALJ WISSLER: You have something for somebody else?

MS. EEO STUBBLEFIELD: Yes, but can I say one quick thing for me? I won't. Forget that.

(Eeo Stubblefield, o/b/o Barbara Salzman)

I'm reading this for Barbara Salzman. She is the owner of Alternative Video on 28, and she couldn't make the meeting so she emailed me and asked me if I would read it.

I empathetically -- is that right -emphatically oppose the project which would
cause environmental problems and strain the
resource of the local communities. In
addition, tax revenues from this project do not
compensate for the costs involved, and even if
they did cover costs, the revenue is not worth
the damage to our communities. We do not want
this development in the beautiful Catskills.
There are old hotels, they are bound in these
mountains that are in need of revitalization.
The proposed resort does not seem to be
sustainable and would eventually revert to
their very same status. Barbara Salzman.

And now I'm speaking for Mary Hall who also emailed this that she couldn't make the meeting and asked if I could represent her.

I cannot be at the hearing but I want to state my opposition to this project for the record. My husband and I are long-time second

(Eeo Stubblefield o/b/o Mary Hall)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

homeowners in Beaverkill just over the mountains from Belleayre. As our retirement is approaching, we plan to gradually move permanently to the area. The Crossroads project seems absolutely inappropriate for the area for several reasons. Its size is out of sync for the area which is struggling to have a modest tourism recreational economy. It will suck all the potential out of what is just beginning and spell disaster for the small beds and breakfasts, inns, restaurants and shops which are beginning to populate the neighborhood. It is by no means clear that Crossroads is built on a viable financial model, and if it fails, the small towns will be left with the burden of cleanup -- and if it fails, the small towns will be left with the burden of cleanup.

It is by no means clear that Crossroads will provide economic stimulus to the region.

Indeed, many other golf courses and hotel developments have failed to do so. The environmental degradation to the forests and water systems which will ensue from the

(Eeo Stubblefield o/b/o Mary Hall)

clear-cutting mountain, blasting and runoff will only make the region less attractive to those who might plan to visit the Catskills as a retreat from other more built-over areas.

In short, this project represents not progress, but rather surrender to the easy blandishments of already-failed development models. See Vail and Aspen, Colorado. We deserve better than this. Thank you for your attention. Mary Hall.

And Dana, who got up earlier and said she was a store owner and that she said that she -- she slipped me this little paper as I was coming up and she said she meant to also say this, and she has a poster in her store and it says, We do not inherit the earth from our ancestors, we borrow it from our children.

ALJ WISSLER: Susan Penick. P.J. Lorenz, you'll be next.

MS. SUSAN PENICK: I'm Susan Penick. I live on Rose Mountain right across from where the development will be, and I'm really grateful so many people have spoken so well because I'm really just here to add my voice to

(Susan Penick)

where none of us can afford to sit quietly.

It's just too, too important. Many have spoken well and truly of our responsibility to protect these mountains, streams and sky. We've inherited the peace and beauty of this place and are its caretakers. It is our task to preserve these resources for the generations to come. This plan for development puts all of this at great risk. There are many questions I feel that have not been answered.

One, for example, I understand that in the near future, the resort may be offered to a large corporation such as Marriott. Will they be bound by the same promises that Mr. Gitter has made? And where is the funding and mechanisms to oversee their environmental practices ten years hence? This isn't something that's only going to have to be monitored next year and five years, but for years to come.

Besides the environmental questions, I think the promises for economic world are on really shaky ground. Big hotels and golf

(Susan Penick)

1.8

courses don't ensure prosperity, and you only have to look to Ellenville to see that.

Our environment and way of life is fragile and we need to be very cautious and respectful as we develop a more robust economy, and this plan is neither cautious nor respectful.

ALJ WISSLER: P.J. Lorenz. David Pillard. You'll be next, David.

MS. P.J. LORENZ: Good evening. I heard that this project was slated quite a few years ago, and it just seems so bizarre to me that I couldn't imagine anyone even thinking of building a golf course on the side of a mountain. So I kind of put it aside as maybe not as important as some other pressing issues that I was dealing with.

I've lived in here in the Catskills
approximately 15 years. I'm originally from
Colorado, the mountains of Colorado. My family
are all mountain people from up there. I just
want to tell you what I've seen in other
places. I guess I've lived long enough to see
things I wished I hadn't. In Colorado,
development is so rampant that the places that

were beautiful and pristine when I was child are now completely destroyed. The open plains areas that were surrounded by the mountains are now developments as far as the eye can see. They are built on areas that cannot sustain The developers were able to get water from the mountains piped down for hundreds of miles to service these developments. And what is clearly understood, that in the course of time, that they will keep building enough developments that there won't be enough water to sustain them, but the developers will not care because they will have taken their money and they will have gone. So my family are still out there in evergreen. My grandmother is up in the mountains, she won't come down.

I then traveled across the country with my mom and we moved to New Jersey and I lived in areas of rural New Jersey where I rode horses and hiked and so forth in my 20s while I was in college, and then I went back to the places where I used to ride and all of the places are condominiums and strip developments and malls as far as the eye can see. So I come to the

24

25

Catskills and I have coyotes in my back yard and bear and turkeys and all kinds of creatures, some that I've never seen before.

I'm getting off the subject here because I realize that there are no laws to protect my love of this much beauty.

We are here tonight because the Catskills are being looked at by developers to be developed. Especially since 9/11, there are some very wealthy people from many corners of the state and elsewhere who believe that the Catskills would be a great place to live. People are saying that they're not happy that Dean Gitter and Crossroads Ventures have paid little or no attention to their needs or their concerns and they feel their feelings are hurt because the developer doesn't seem to be concerned about them, and I think that that is something to notice, that this is not, this is not a touchy feely thing here. This is The process of gentrification development. says that the people who can no longer afford to live here get to move out. And I'm sure that the developers are not concerned whether

25

you can't afford to live here anymore. They're not concerned about that. In fact, they hope you go away.

Mr. Wright, who is a member of the Crossroads Ventures staff, told us right here in this meeting that they want to keep out the masses, the masses, and when they were asked what does he mean by that, he said, you know, the people who throw garbage and litter and Coke cans.

So this gave me a window into the thinking here. This is a hotel for more than a thousand guests and timeshares and so forth, but it is not going to provide housing for mid-range, mid-priced lodging for people. It is only going to be for extremely wealthy people who want to come to a four-star hotel who will never leave the hotel's grounds and who want to play golf. They're not going to come to your little mom and pop stores and they're not going to buy things from you to any great extent. So I really don't think it's going to help us in an economic sense.

I'm sorry. I keep losing my train of

thought. I wanted to discuss the DEIS and I want to agree that an impact statement that has been put together by a developer cannot, it cannot be an objective opinion. It can't. You can't spend \$3 million on something that's going to tell you you can't build it here because you're going to ruin the ecosystem and that the local people are going to lose all of their tax base. You're not going to spend that much money to have people write an objective opinion. You're going to write it so that it makes you look good. I don't know who would be the responsible agency or whether it has to be by the towns themselves, but an alternative independent impact statement, this is what we That's what we need. This is my need. An independent impact statement be done that is not paid for by the developer, and then let's just see what the real impact of this is.

The excessive size combined with the worst possible location are almost by design created to have the greatest negative effect. I just don't understand, it's almost like Seward's

2

3

1

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

folly. Like, who in their right mind would want to build a flat, a needed flat area golf course on the top of a mountain? It's just completely absurd.

I've been trying to think myself this
project all the way through and what concerns
me is if someone came to the Catskills and
said, I want to build a toxic waste dump,
people would laugh them out of town and say you
cannot build a toxic waste dump here. This is
a watershed. Well, essentially what is a golf
course but a really beautiful looking toxic
waste dump?

Finally, all of those who love golf, no offense meant. The thing is, if it was a toxic waste dump, there would be regulations that would require double-layered tanks and all of these other things to protect us from those chemicals going into the ground, but because it's a golf resort, it's going to be allowed to dump three to four tons, three to four tons per acre per year of toxic chemicals. And I'm going to repeat what someone else said. What goes up must come down. So it will come down.

2.5

Then I want to speak to the tourist industry that is already here. Millions of tourists come here per year for the pristine beauty of the mountains, and in order for this golf course to be built and this resort, these hotels, these timeshares, the tremendous amount of traffic, the tremendous amount of noise, the congestion, all of these things are going to make people who would ordinarily come feel like they don't want to come because they don't want to deal with all the traffic and the noise. So I think, you know, even with that aspect alone, it's going to hurt our tourist industry overall.

Another thing was mentioned that we somehow have been co-opted by outside organizations to oppose this project. I would like to say that whether you've lived here for generations or whether you moved here because you love this land as I have, we don't need outside people to explain to us how devastating this type of project will be. And we don't have \$3 million to prove that, but we can feel it, we can see it.

I just have been so upset about this, I have an 89-and-a-half-year-old neighbor that I speak to and she's spent a lot of time just trying to eke out her life, and I told her about this project and she wants me to read the paper to her daily and she said, you know, we live in God's country and I'm just glad that I won't live long enough to see this come into being. And that makes me very sad.

I think in order to -- let me see if I can move on so I don't get emotional here. I decided to try to think of a positive future if this project were to have to come into being and what would be the recommendations that I would make if I was negotiating this settlement. And the first thing is that I would scale it down to size, that every part of the project would have to be divided in half. We could have one resort and one golf course and one hotel and half the number of timeshares. And as far as the employment, my suggestion would be that the community would say that you must hire 50 percent of your workers from local areas instead of whatever

small percentage it was, a third. That 50 percent would have to come from local areas. And in the eight years between now and when this project was built, I think that they could train the people in the areas that are not clinically skilled. So that way if you had 200, you know, the first tier of labor, you know, workers and then there would be 50 percent of them and so forth, so the local people could really in fact benefit employmentwise from this project.

Another thing I thought about in terms of traffic is that the trucks and heavy equipment and so forth, traffic, would have to be between, say, 8:00 in the evening and 6:00 in the morning, to get them off the roads during the day. That would be like another way to mitigate some of that impact. I'm trying to think of some other things.

One of the things is that in the agreement, it would be stipulated that a casino could never be built on the property no matter how many times the property changes hands. I think that's an important thing. I don't want

4.

(P.J. Lorenz)

to see a casino up on that mountain.

Since we're talking about almost a thousand beds in the hotel and then an additional -- I have the exact figures but I don't know what they are -- there's going to be approximately 1,800 people or more up there, which is really a small town, and instead of the local communities having to foot the bill for fire and police, make them have to register as a small town and provide their own police and fire. You know, in other words, we've got to find ways so that the local communities are not impacted negatively. Let's see what else. Well, you get the gist of it. Okay.

so I'm actually not for there to be a negotiation. I would prefer that the project, the whole entire area be condemned because it's in the watershed area and that it be purchased by the 1997 land act. I guess it's the EPA and the New York State. They've already purchased 49,000 acres in this state to protect the watershed. Why not add another 2,000? I think I've said enough. Thank you for listening.

(P.J. Lorenz)

ALJ WISSLER: David Pillard. Gerry West, you will be next.

MR. DAVID PILLARD: Hi, everybody. My name is Dave Pillard. I live in Shandaken. The fundamental question that Crossroads

Ventures proposed Belleayre Resort has forced us to ask ourselves is, how do we go about keeping our Catskills economically and socially vibrant without sacrificing for those of us who live here the very essence of what it is that has made us call this area our home?

Now, another community facing the same question was featured in an article printed in the June 28th, 2002 issue of the New York

Times. In the article, it states that, quote,
A real estate brokerage firm in town estimates that housing prices have doubled in the last three years, end quote. That must be a pretty happening place, I thought. So I went on to read in this article that in the stores of this community, one can find hand woven silks,

Ethiopian processional crosses and all kinds of vintage oddities. Quote, On any given weekend, a blue grass band might be playing on Main

(David Pillard)

Street to celebrate the summer solstice or the library's book fair, end of quote.

Well, I thought that community seems as if it's doing just fine economically. So I went on to read a little more, and this is the part that really caught my eye. The article said there was a restaurant there that listed 22 types of pancakes. And would you -- I thought I wanted to live in that place. And then I read some more in the article and I realized I do, it's about Phoenicia.

Now, there's no mention in the article that Phoenicia is socially deprived or needs an economic shot in the arm with a dose of a couple golf courses. Now, think of it.

Perhaps the most influential newspaper in the world, the New York Times, prints an article about what a desirable place Phoenicia is just the way it is. That article is a testament that there is an economic and social vibrancy in our town.

Now, let's look at something else in our town that's socially and economically vibrant.

Catskill Corners. The Emerson has been

4 5

(David Pillard)

restored meticulously and beautifully.

Restaurants, retail and lodging have attracted tourists here. The Catamount Cafe is brimming with bookings for summer weddings. The entire complex has provided some jobs for locals and the staff recruited from abroad have enlivened our community with their youth, their vitality, their patronage and their graciousness.

The obvious difference between Catskill

Corners and the proposed Belleayre project is

that Catskill Corners works in conjunction with

the local economy and environment, while the

Belleayre project will radically alter the

environment, and as stated in the DEIS, compete

head-on with many local businesses.

Now, many people with far more knowledge than I with issues raised in the DEIS have spoken in previous hearings and raised specific grave questions about the Belleayre project, but this is a fact, perhaps the most important one. The overwhelming majority of people who have had the courage to speak at these hearings are against this project. That fact is just as important as facts pertaining to contaminated

## (David Pillard)

water, overburdened infrastructure and housing shortages. Serious red flags have already appeared in a process that has only just begun to examine the feasibility of what the Belleayre project claims it will accomplish to safeguard water flowing from this project into our streams, New York City's drinking water. Why on earth would any of our politicians or the agencies involved in this process want to gamble with anything that might allow a potential polluting disaster to occur? And where is the logic in blasting away and cutting down the very things, the mountains and forests, that people travel here to enjoy?

Let's remember, the burden of proof is on Crossroads. Crossroads must be able to substantiate its claims in the DEIS.

So summing up, let's continue to do just what we've been doing. Keep our real estate valuable, our shops and restaurants inviting, our developments small, like Catskill Corners, and our mountains and streams pure and untouched, so that a newspaper writer can visit our area in the future and write that the heart

of the Catskills is still beating vibrantly and compassionately. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Gerry West, Michael Dewan.

MR. GERRY WEST: Your Honor, your decision will have far-reaching implications regarding not only the Belleayre project, but similar ones to the Shawangunk Ridge, including the Awosting Preserve near Mohonk/Minnewaska ---

VOICE FROM THE AUDIENCE: Speak louder, please.

MR. GERRY WEST: Influence the Awosting
Preserve near Mohonk/Minnewaska and Shawanga
Lodge mega-complex in the southern Shawangunks,
yet another in Coxsackie, which is in Greene
County, another one which I just heard about
less than a week ago near Pine Plains, New
York. It's just east of Poughkeepsie, which
features a 2,000-acre development with a
27-hole, quote, world-class golf course, also
two proposed casinos in Sullivan County and one
in the Town of Wawarsing in Ulster County. All
these proposed casinos supposedly will feature
golf courses as well.

I should mention golf industry in terms of

4 5

the number of players is in decline pretty rapidly. So that combined concerns why I'm here.

I live in Kerhonkson. And just for the record, I'm Gerry West. And right at the base of the Shawangunk Ridge, and like Shandaken residents, those of us living near the ridge, are facing construction/destruction of our wilderness in the proposed Awosting Preserve development. If the Belleayre Resort project ultimately goes ahead, our efforts to protect the Shawangunk Ridge are pretty well doomed. I'm speaking as an independent resident, not as an affiliate of any organization, but I believe I'm speaking for a large majority of residents in the Shawangunk Ridge area.

I would like to make some comparisons
between the Awosting Reserve proposal and some
of the environmental issues involved and the
Belleayre Resort proposal. The Awosting
Reserve proposal involves 349 residential
units, all detached, yet one more 18-hole golf
course -- we really have an epidemic here -- a
lodge, a post office and a village green,

playing fields, wastewater plant, roads,
parking lots and other major facilities all on
2,660 wilderness acres. Sound familiar?

A group called the Shawangunk Ridge
Biodiversity Partnership recently put together
a report addressing environmental impact issues
regarding the Awosting Reserve proposal. It
included the sizeable list of experts: Spider
Barbour and two other biologists, two
hydrogeologists, independent environmental
consultants, the Nature Conservancy, the Mohonk
Preserve Research Center, which has been
collecting extensive environmental data for
about a century, and interestingly, the DEC.
They had to stay up on the report that I'll
leave with you.

The Mohonk Preserve is a key catalyst in developing this impact report. Since their charter prohibits public advocacy, no one from Mohonk will be speaking tonight, but they did issue a web statement as a result of the report with a list of concerns about the bridge development. I'll just address two of them.

It was a pretty long list.

The first Mohonk concern was the open space uses of the Shawangunk Ridge is an economic engine for the region. And based on the New Paltz Chamber of Commerce estimate, the ridge is a magnet for over half million visitors. This is just in that one small area alone, who spend over \$10 million annually. My comment, like the undeveloped ridge, keeping the Catskills unspoiled will benefit the area's current businesses and residents. Peace and transquility of an unspoiled wilderness is often a reason residents are here as an attraction to tourists from urban areas.

The Belleayre Resort won't bring business to the area. It has its own shops, or might have its own shops, recreation facilities and everything else. It will actually compete with local businesses and bring crowds and congestion as well.

The second concern, Mohonk concern, this development, the ridge development as proposed compromise scientific and land conservation values. Here are some of the issues from the report, and I have page references which I'll

2 leave to the report.

The development -- and here, I'll just cover the things that may not have been covered with other speakers. Development will increase deer populations as a result of habitat fragmentation. It's well-known that deer are selective in their browsing and will reduce adapted and essential plant species.

The next issue, to what extent will development fragment both plant communities and habitats of wildlife indigenous to the locality? The effect will certainly be major.

In the area of hydrology, groundwater pumping will cause a decrease in groundwater levels as you might expect, and a decrease or cessation of base flow to streams.

As surface runoff and flooding will inevitably result in further decrease in groundwater by reducing percolation into the ground, this flooding will cause silting in streams, damaging trout communities. Even worse, decreased groundwater during dry periods, these streams will probably dry up all together, destroying entire trout communities.

So much for the fishing industry.

Contamination of groundwater in streams will result from the use of road salt, pesticides, herbicides and other waste byproducts.

The next issue, what about the certain impact of artificial lighting, especially at night, on both rare on common wildlife species? This would include especially rare and common birds and insects, moths. I've seen some that are incredible up in these Catskills at night.

And next, development will certainly foster the spread of invasive, non-native plant species.

So my comment, my ending comment, these exact same issues apply equally to both the Ridge and the Belleayre projects, and I believe they apply to the seven other mega-projects I first mentioned. I can even add to that list of seven. There are two others I don't even know, you know, whether they could be viable or not. So I think your decision is quite a responsibility. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ALJ WISSLER: Deborah Meyer Dewan.

My name is Michael MR. MICHAEL DEWAN: After leaving New York City, I've been Dewan. living in Bearsville in the Town of Woodstock since 1987. Professionally I'm a New York State certified real estate appraiser, and while property valuation and land use issues are the heart of my work life, my love of these Catskills, drives me to a different kind of Since 1997, '97 I've been the president of the Board of Directors of the Woodstock Land Conservancy, a small but dedicated local land trust passionately committed to protecting and preserving those wild places most cherished by the people of Woodstock and the surrounding towns. Although we prefer to work quietly behind the scenes with landowners trying to save these wild places for the generations to follow, there are moments when we feel compelled to speak out on behalf of the connective tissue that binds us all together, the land and the fauna and flora living on it. This is one of those moments.

On July 4th, 2000, my wife Deborah Meyer

1

comments at an earlier scoping session. this time, I offer these remarks. On behalf of the Board of Directors of the Woodstock Land Conservancy, to urge the DEC in no uncertain terms to oppose this development as it has been It was Will Rogers who uttered the famous observation, We proudly wear on our T-shirts, land, they don't make it anymore. And Alf Evers, long-time historian and inveterate advocate for our Catskill environment who turned 99 earlier this month, warned, and I quote, The people of our generation, if they make the effort, may still save the Catskills, unquote. Simply put, that is why the Woodstock Land Conservancy is working hard to save undeveloped land in the Catskills for future generations. And that is why we just launched a campaign in partnership with Open Space Institute to save the last remaining privately owned vacant land on the upper regions of Overlook Mountain and ultimately fold it into the Catskill Forest Preserve. We believe a generous reserve of

unspoiled land is critical for the material and mental health of all people. Now is the time to step up and make that effort on behalf of the Catskills as a region. We are joining forces with a very broad-based community of organizations and individuals who have already spoken very eloquently opposing this proposal. The out-of-scale golf resort now being considered would rip up the forests and mountains near Belleayre and change our region forever. The sheer size of this project is terrifying. Its effects on the relatively pristine upper Esopus and East Branch of the Delaware would be nothing less than appalling. Air, water, noise, light and visual pollution are a certainty, as well as serious traffic problems and the disruption of the lives of many who have come here and have lived here for generations, seeking and finding peace and quiet.

This proposal does not acknowledge that
the local economy would never receive adequate
compensation for the damage likely to be done
to our area's environmental assets. The

2

1

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

developers even admit in their DEIS that when it comes to jobs, both in the construction of the resort and in ongoing operation, most local people will have access only to those jobs paying close to the minimum wage. It is widely feared that local taxes would rise substantially in the wave of these giant resorts since so many services, police, fire protection, schools, road construction and maintenance, for starters, would have to be grossly expanded, and that year-round residents would wind up subsidizing the development by providing and paying for these very services. And, of course, the price of real estate, something I know well, up and down the Route 28 corridor and on the now remote far side of Belleayre, would rise beyond the reach of ordinary people.

Many of us also suspect that this project has been bulked up to meet the minimum threshold required by the biggest corporate players on the planet and that such a venture designed to extract profits for shareholders with no stake in the Catskills designed to

simulate a Catskills experience for its clientele is merely the first shot across the bow. If this is built, more will follow.

The Woodstock Land Conservancy does not believe a 2,000-acre resort is an appropriate land use for this mountainous region, adjacent to the constitutionally protected Forest Preserve. The golf courses alone are a disaster from an environmental standpoint, as has been said over and over again over these The severe erosion that would occur hearings. when an estimated 500 acres of the forest is removed and this hilly land is bulldozed in deadly combination with unavoidably heavy use of fertilizers and pesticides, would certainly contaminate the groundwater and could permanently compromise the world-reknowned water quality of the New York City Watershed and would undo forever the historic work the Coalition of Watershed Towns and New York City did in the 1990s, working together and with the DEC to ensure the qualities of that water unfiltered for future generations.

We recognize the wisdom that inspired the

25

1

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

creation of the Catskill Park, an area designed to maintain a balance between protected state land and economic needs of the local people. The Woodstock Land Conservancy strongly opposes this Belleayre Resort as it is not in keeping with this wisdom. This region would be better served by adding these mountainous acres to the Forest Preserve and promoting the many low-impact, traditional and 21st-century activities that provide a basis for sustainable economic development. If we keep our mountains lovely and our waterways clean, the ever-increasing numbers of hikers, anglers, boaters, birders, cross-country and downhill skiers, artists and musicians, as well as those simply looking for peace and quiet, will come and continue to come, brimming with appreciation, eager to share their wealth and blessings and yearning to stay and become part of a vital part of this wonderful Catskills region.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on behalf of the Board of Directors of the Woodstock Land Conservancy.

ALJ WISSLER: Deborah Dewan. Kristine Flones, you'll be next.

MS. DEBORAH MEYER DEWAN: Thank you for the opportunity to comment tonight on the Belleayre Resort project proposed in the Catskill region. My name is Deborah Meyer Dewan and I reside in the Hamlet of Bearsville in the Town of Woodstock. In my professional life, I am director of riverfront communities for Scenic Hudson and serve as the environmental representative on the Board of the Catskill Watershed Corporation. addition, I am a member of the Board of Directors of the Catskill Center for Conservation and Development and previously was on staff. I've also worked as an environmental planning consultant for municipalities, non-profits and developments. Every day I review and comment upon planning and development issues in the Hudson Valley and work with public officials, citizens and developers endeavoring to implement opportunities for sustainable economic development and livable communities.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I speak to you this evening, however, primarily as a resident who has lived in the Catskill region within the Catskill Park for over three decades. I've raised a family here, I've run a successful small business and helped others do the same, working to build a strong economy in the region while protecting our environment and quality of life, and for 15 years now, I have had the privilege to work with local spark plugs and regional and state policymakers as an environmental professional in the Hudson Valley and Catskill regions. came here to establish roots and contribute to this special place whose natural beauty has inspired writers, painters and world travelers for centuries and whose future economic and cultural revitalization is inextricably linked to the protection and public enjoyment of its natural resources and small town rural character.

I know firsthand how easy it is to lose these special places if we don't take care. As a child on Long Island, I witnessed our picturesque rural landscape, and it was at the

time, contort into a suburban wasteland. Our family's escapes to Vermont brought us close to the land again. But today, many of those New England areas are now compromised with resorts that have begotten big mansions, condo developments, massive traffic jams, degraded community character, leaving quaint Vermont communities that many were attracted to in the first place literally in the dust.

When I think about a mega-resort coming to the Central Catskills and the negative impacts that could follow down the road on Route 28 without the proper planning standards in place to prevent it, I am too sadly reminded of Vermont and Stratton and Route 100. Sadly, no economic boom has hit those rural Vermont towns The resort owners and villages as a result. and developers have, by and large, commandeered the profits of their projects elsewhere with little adhering to or adherence to the local economy. Those who know me and my long-standing involvement in the region know that I have always believed that environmental protection and sustainable economic development

can go hand in hand.

However, development of the massive scale proposed by Crossroads Ventures adjacent to a fragile and constitutionally protected ecosystem will not achieve such synergy.

Crossroads Ventures has made positive contributions to the revitalization of the area through its commercial redevelopment projects in the Shandaken hamlet of Mount Pleasant.

However, as proposed, the Belleayre Resort project stands in stark contrast. As proposed, the resort at Belleayre is out of scale, out of place, out of time and not in the best interests of the economic and environmental health of the region or its communities. This exclusive 2,000-acre mega-resort development as proposed, two full-size golf courses, 800 lodging units, over a thousand restaurant seats, will eclipse our hamlets and villages that are just beginning to experience the rewards of Main Street revitalization efforts undertaken with the assistance of county planning agencies, community volunteers and elected officials, the Catskill Center and the

CWC, among others. The half million dollars economic development study prepared under the MOA and adopted by the CWC board states that, quote, The hamlets and villages are among the Watershed's most important assets from an environmental standpoint. Economic activity within these centers can make use of existing infrastructure there by limiting the amount of land that would be cleared to accommodate new development. In exchange for new pollutant loading in the community centers, communities could undertake efforts to minimize loading outside the community centers as a trade-off, end quote.

This project as currently proposed does not meet that standard nor the study's conclusions about the precarious state of the large resort industry.

The report recommends supporting existing town centers and promoting local assets, including locally made products as a key element of good economic development that is compatible with water quality and the quality of life. The Belleayre Resort site is adjacent

.22

to the single largest tract of State Forest

Preserve land in the Catskills, Slide Mountain

and Big Indian Wilderness areas. The Forest

Preserve is constitutionally protected, it's

forever wild, and the DEC is required by law to

protect preserved lands on behalf of the people

of the State of New York.

The proposal is located within the New York City Watershed, which we know which provides unfiltered drinking water for half the population of the State of New York. project includes development of elevations as high as 2,700 feet on steep slopes clearing forests for roads, parking lots, building lots and golf courses, all of which can contribute to erosion and runoff pollution into streams that support world class trout fishing which feed the City's reservoirs. The watershed is being monitored under a Memorandum of Agreement among federal EPA, DEC, New York City, municipalities within the watershed and five environmental organizations, who after years of acrimony and negotiation, pledged to work together to protect water quality through

2

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

regulations and environmentally sound development. This project runs counter to that agreement.

It has been said by its defenders that Crossroads project harkens to an earlier, more glorious era in the Catskills when grand hotels and teeming tourists covered the mountainous landscape. However, today we are engaged in another kind of development that results from communities creating visions, comprehensive plans and interregional partnerships. In that context, tourism-related and other developments can be thoughtfully planned and sited that will compliment the environment and not undermine Development today in this watershed and ecosystem in particular must be planning-driven, not developer-driven. regard, it is important that the Town of Shandaken continue its efforts to develop and adopt good planning tools to guide future growth and development.

Those of us who live in this region are truly blessed with a high quality of life that is the envy of many. We have two irreplaceable

resources, the State Forest Preserve and the New York City Watershed. Both are in the hands of our third great resource, the people of this region, who along with our partners at the state and city have committed to steward this special place. The DEC has as its mission to protect the environmental resources of the state on behalf of the state's current and future population.

Therefore, I believe as lead agency, that the DEC must reject this application in its Indeed, the Catskills are at a current form. crossroads, and the direction we take will set the course for decades to come. The DEC can approve this massive proposal, unleashing secondary growth impacts, traffic, unraveling the rich tapestry of the regional landscape, leaving residents of the region, New York City and future generations to deal with its impacts, or we can continue on the path we set in 1997 with the signing of the MOA, the historic upstate/downstate watershed agreement which seeks to protect water quality for 9 million New Yorkers, while we here in the

Catskills work together to build on our assets and our strengths, scenic beauty, clean water and air, compact villages and towns, populated by talented and hard-working people who pride themselves in preserving a sense of place and a sense of community.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak.

ALJ WISSLER: Kristine Flones. Maya

Branman, you'll be next.

MS. KRISTINE FLONES: Good evening. Thank you for coming out, everyone who is here, and thank you, Judge, for being here and listening so carefully to everything that we're saying.

I see you taking notes, and it gives me great heart to see that.

I am Kristine Flones. I live in

Bearsville, New York. I've lived there for 15

years. Before that, I came from Detroit,

Michigan, so I'm in a position to truly, truly

appreciate this beautiful lands that we live

in.

At this time I am a wildlife rehabilitater. I am licensed by the DEC and also by the United States Fish and Wildlife

\_

Service. Additionally, I'm a writer and storyteller and a healer and an artist. I have many careers like many of us do in the hamlets that represent, that make up the Catskills.

But I'm speaking tonight, first of all, as a wildlife rehabilitater.

A wildlife rehabilitater is a person who works to help injured or orphaned wildlife. We are licensed and must be licensed by the state and the federal government to do this, but it is a volunteer project. I'm not paid anything for this. In fact, I pay for it. That is, my husband does, and he could tell you quite a lot about that. And also, about not forging in the freezer, because instead of finding a nice steak or chicken, you're more than likely to find a bag of mice for some injured raptor.

I would like to talk first of all about the effects of cutting down hundreds of acres of forests. At this time in Ulster County, there are probably about 30 wildlife rehabilitaters, but there are about six of us taking most of the calls. And I'm going to give you some general numbers so you'll

understand what we're doing.

Most of the calls, by far most of the calls come between the 1st of May and the 15th of September when many babies are born. And during that time, six of us will typically in one year, in that few-month period, six-month period, less than that, take approximately 3,000 phone calls from the people in this area. We take calls from not only Ulster County, but we also get many calls from Orange County, Greene County, Dutchess County and Columbia County, typically quite a few.

Last year we handled, personally handled about 600 animals and gave them medical care, raised orphans with little tiny baby bottles for baby squirrels and little baby birds that have to be fed every 20 minutes for 16 hours a day. That's the kind of effort that's going into this.

Now, I'm going to take you down a little road, and think of what's going to happen when you cut down 600 or more acres of prime forest land. What kinds of problems are we going to have? First of all, another gentleman already

mentioned problems with the deer, and we already have lots and lots of people who are very concerned with the deer eating their gardens, not to mention the woodchucks eating their gardens. You will have a lot of deer eating your gardens. And we can't all fence it. I mean, in a certain way I could make a very funny story about very wealthy people living in very wealthy resort having to deal with all these wildlife problems, because the deer will be there eating because they have to. They will have no choice.

They will have bears. I already get a lot of bear calls. They typically go like this.
'I've got a bear and he's ripping my garbage apart every day. I said, well, where is the garbage? In the garbage can. Where is the garbage can? It's outside. I said, Well, why don't you bring it inside? I don't want to bring it inside. Why don't you buy a bear-proof container? I don't want to do that.'

This is the way we live. We're still having a hard time, those of us who have lived

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

here for a while, getting used to dealing with There are ways of dealing with bears. I attended a bear seminar this summer. I spent a week with black bears in Minnesota, and there are ways to get along with all bears. way at this present time when there is a problem bear is to shoot it. If there are too many deer anyplace in this country, the decision and the way of dealing with it is to shoot them. And it's the same with every other animal. But we can't go on that way anymore. It simply can't be. We have to live more in balance with all of nature because we have gone so far in the destruction of nature, that it is no longer possible to go further and still have nature and still have a viable earth.

So I can tell you a couple stories.

There's a very lovely housing development

nearby that was built on the side of a

mountain, and it unfortunately turned out that

they built these lovely, lovely homes over a

major rattlesnake den. So you have people who

are buying maybe a \$500,000 house or a million

dollar house and finding out that they've got a

24

regular supply of rattlesnakes, because rattlesnakes don't live in small numbers, they live by the tens of thousands. It's a huge problem. And that same area, because it's up on the mountain, as you get further up the mountain is where the porcupines live. And I had someone call and say, I've got a problem with porcupines. What's your problem? Well, the porcupines are eating the side of my house. I've shot 28 of them, but they're still coming.

These are the kinds of problems that all of these people up on this mountain and all of us, too, are going to be having. Only remember, it's going to be multiplied.

I know there are eagles nesting on many of the mountains around here in much greater numbers, which is really wonderful to see the comeback of the national emblem, and that's an endangered species. So I don't know if anyone has done the research to find out if there are eagles up there. Certainly, if we deforest this land, there will not be enough land to support the really big predators that we are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

hoping would come back, so maybe people -- I always get these little stories, I think I saw a wolf, I think I saw a mountain lion, certainly bobcats are returning to the Catskills, I've heard a lot of sightings of bobcat. But if we want the big predators which help to control the size of the deer herd and also tangentially help with the disease problem with the deer herd because the large predator such as a mountain lion or a wolf will kill the young or the weak or sickened animals in the herd, unlike trophy hunters like to get the biggest and the best of the herd and then a little bit later down the line we're scratching our head and trying to figure out, well, how come we've got all this Lyme's disease and the deer ticks. It's the deer's fault. maybe if we had a healthy deer herd, we wouldn't have all this Lyme's disease. something to look at.

We have a culture here in the Catskills of hamlets. At the last meeting that was here at the school, it was really striking to hear how many, hear so many people talk about life in

the hamlet and how much we love that. And I realized hearing that, that that's true for me, too. And I think at the hamlet level, we are able to address many of these problems and find solutions. On the hamlet level, I can work within my hamlet in Woodstock and in Bearsville to talk about bears and to educate and introduce ideas about how we can live along with bears.

There's a town in Pennsylvania that's at the hamlet level, and they have a population of black bears, and they allow the black bears to hibernate beneath their houses in the winter. They have worked out a way to completely cooperate with bears. Bears are not violent, aggressive kinds of animals, so this is possible. But it's only possible on the hamlet level. These are the wonderful, creative things that can happen on that level that cannot happen in a big mega anything. They can't work in the City of New York, even. Of course, they don't have a bear problem. That's better.

I also wanted to mention just briefly that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

the large predators, they need at least a hundred square acres, for each predator, at least, and any smaller chunks of land have to be joined by quite broad corridors to other chunks of land. A great book to me is "The Song of the Dodo" by David Kwam. He's written other things as well, if you would like to learn more about that. So giving a few acres here and a few acres there is not good enough.

Secondly, on the terms of waters, I would like to just reiterate, too, that we need the forests to protect the water. The forest is what keeps the water for us. I don't know how many of you are -- know that many of the people with houses in Hurley near the cornfields have contaminated wells, and if they sell their house on the listing sheet for the house, it has to say on the listing sheet that the well is contaminated. I've seen it. How would you ever sell your house once your well is contaminated? And those are the same kinds of pesticides and herbicides that are used on golf And I don't know what the differences in amounts, but I suspect that they're not all

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

that different.

Another thing I wanted to think about in terms of each of us, whether you've lived here your whole life or whether you've come here, when you go home or if this has always been your home, I know when I go back to Detroit, and people don't have a good picture of Detroit. But for me, it's a hometown. are many, many beautiful parts in the City of Detroit. It was a city of trees. When I go back -- and I'm sure this happens to everybody. When you go home, or if this has always been your home, you see the amount of development, and the number of streets that used to be a little two-lane dirt roads that become four-lane asphalt highways and cars rushing everywhere and huge mega-drugstores on every corner and there is a huge mega-grocery store on every corner, as if we couldn't drive more than a mile or two to get to one of those. just the amount of building it up and the earth just can't sustain it anymore. It's over. It's time to stop it all. This is what I think. It's time now.

If you fly over this country, especially if you fly at night and you can see the lights, you can see how few areas of forest there are left. And every animal in the forest, every tree in the forest, every single thing is here for a reason and has a job to do.

It's just like the inside of your body.

You can't just start pulling out organs and throwing them away. You need every part. And the same is true of the ecosystem of the earth. It's a body and we're all important, including us. We're important too.

So the last thing I would like to speak to is something I heard on NPR shortly after 9/11. I came in the house and turned the radio on so I just got the last part of an interview, and it was a woman speaking for the federal government. And I don't know in what capacity; I just caught the end of her speech in which she said, Governments don't have heart. We can't have heart. That's not our job, and that was the end of her thing. And it really struck me because I thought, if the government doesn't have a heart, then what are they there

What use is it? If the government doesn't have a heart, if people make fun of us for coming and speaking and having a heart and speaking from the heart, there's something Because if you think about it, if you wrong. think with your mind, with the mind that we have that is so brilliant, we can easily get mixed up and get carried off in some way, and we all do it from time to time, your mind can take you all over the place. But your heart knows what's true. And it's only when you have a heart and a mind working together, working together that we are -- really can be articulate and creative and produce a wonderful life that we can all live and that balances everything. Because we need to live in balance. Decisions that come from the mind only don't work, especially now. They don't work anymore.

So some questions to ask about this project. First of all, I think we need to think beyond the terms of Catskill Park, although I love the Catskill Park and we are important and what we are holding here is

2

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

important and the people who have lived here their whole lives, I truly honor for what they have done to hold us in such a beautiful way for such a long time. And those that have come here who are trying to help and join hands, but it's also a problem of the whole State of New York.

Someone just sent me a proposal that's going on in the western part of the state where some people want to develop -- developers, again, who want to build the world's largest Terrific? What good is that? mall. world's largest mall right now is in Minneapolis, Minnesota and it's really having a hard time, so we're going to build a bigger one and give up some more forests?

What I'm asking you is for the DEC and judge, for all of our government agencies and our governor, to take a look at over the whole State of New York, we can't afford to lose another acre of forest. Not another acre. It's not about -- we can't be careless We all have to be stewards of what is anymore. left because we're going to need it. Because

18

20

21

22

23

24

the gold of the next century, the oil of the next century, of this century is water. We're going to need the water for so many people and we need to protect it.

So the question of how to live in balance, how to be stewards of the land and of responsibility, we have to thank the DEC and the people who have to make these decisions because they're very serious, and it's not easy.

I became aware this week of how hard it is for me when somebody wants me to do something, and I don't want to do it and I know it's not the best thing for me, but, you know, just the pull of that to try to do what they want and, you know, it's very hard to stand firm and hold it. And the only way that people can do that, our agencies, our DEC, our governor and judge here and everyone, is for us to give them the backing that they need. Of course, we're all here in doing that. So they can hold that place, so they can be fully grounded with their feet on the ground and hold firm and say no, this can't go forward because it doesn't

protect us, it doesn't protect our children, our children's children and the next seven generations.

Who will benefit from this project?

Exactly who will benefit? Who will be served by it? And how long will whoever they are be served? That's a good question. Because it's not worth it if it doesn't preserve and help and protect for seven generations. If our children aren't going to have any water, it's not good enough. If the water is going to be so bad, the City of New York doesn't even know. The article published in the New York Times a week ago, my hair was standing on end, I'm so mad. I never wrote a letter to New York Times. I wrote my first one. I was furious. This reporter must have been there for ten minutes and didn't hear all the wonderful things.

What are the costs for us? I think we need to look at, what is the use of power in this situation? There are two kinds of power. There is power over where someone, something, some entity, some group of people is exerting power over people. We can't live that way

2/

つち

anymore. What we need now is empowerment, to empower individuals in these hamlets, and we do need help and we like help to live our lives as best we can. There are many people doing jobs they don't want to do. Let's help them to find the job they want to do where their love is and where they can really do something that they love to do.

So how is the power being used in this project and where is it coming from? Whose pocket is it in? We need to know that.

And then finally, I just go back to nature and to the wildlife. I'll just take one example, the bear. The bear has been my study this year. The bear is the animal that taught all the indigenous people the world around all the stories, and the myths are there. The bear is the animal that taught them which plant was the good plant for healing a stomach ache, which plant was good for a sore. All that herbal knowledge came from the bear. It's documented by all of our indigenous people. So you want to kill the bear? Is this what we want to do? This animal has a lot to teach us

and is willing to teach us many, many things.

And every animal in the native American

culture, the animals sit in the direction of

north, which is wisdom, and they are the

teachers, and we need to honor them and we need

to honor ourselves by living in respect with

all of nature.

So I conclude by saying, I really hope that you will look at these things and think about them. I know you will, we all will, and that we protect what we've got and we go with our decisions that use our heart and our mind. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Maya Branman, David Smith.

MS. MAYA BRANMAN: Hello. I'm Maya
Branman. I live in Olivebridge, and I've lived
in the area for 17 years. I appreciate so much
the people who have spoken before me, and I was
at the last meeting, the meeting before the
last meeting, and I appreciate so much the
thought and the intelligence and the speaking
of the people and the fact that everyone wrote
things down and thought about it for so
thoroughly, and I think about all the things

(Maya Branman)

that you've said, and it supports my basic gut feeling, and that's what I want to talk about today because that's how I approach many things.

I was in New York City today and I left at 3:30 in a hurry. I could have stayed for another hour, but I left. And on the bus as I was driving back here, there was the buildings and I looked at New York City. It's gorgeous from the distance, and then there was a tree and then two and then five and then ten and then the mountains. And I am so thankful to live here, and it's beautiful here and it satisfies my emotions.

And I'm thinking that these people are going to, what they're doing, they're going to build a place so that people can come up. what they're going to do is, they're going to be on top of a mountain -- I'm sorry if I'm coming across, but I don't want people to come and sit on top of the mountain and look down or up or anywhere and not know that they're being looked at, too. Like, I have to see that They're coming to look at the beauty, resort.

20

21

22

23

# (Maya Branman)

but they're not standing at the bottom and having to see what they are. Not what they are, but the resort. They're buying a piece of the beauty because they want to be at the top of the mountain so all the little houses, everything is going to be ignorable.

So I'm hoping that it's not done because I can see that everyone here, there are so many people here, and I don't know how many people have come out against it. So I mean, this is a matter of numbers for me. So many people here are against it, and not a lot of people are for it. So I'm thinking, well, then, it's not going to happen because the people don't want it. These are -- we live here, right? And that's what's so nice about living here, is this situation. I can come to this situation.

I've lived in New York City, and if there was ever anything that, I mean, who could ever get a chance, who would ever get a chance to speak or to anything to say my beliefs about something? It's part of the value of this area. It's a little community and it's changing a lot.

Ċ

### (Maya Branman)

I've been here for 17 years, and over the course of time, you know, I've seen the new building and it affects me. I mean, I see it. It's a little gradual progress, and it's going to happen no matter what. Yes, the nature of population, it increases. Well, let's let it increase naturally. So that's really pretty much all I have to say. I eat local eggs and the local eggs have great yellow yolks because the chicken's on the free range, and there's not a million of them, and supermarket eggs, boy, they're not nearly as good. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: David Smith. Eve Smith will be next.

MR. DAVID SMITH: I would like to comment first on the quality of these speeches that have been made at this time and prior sessions. I'm very impressed with all the speeches that have been made, and I have a question for the judge. And that is, is it possible to get copies of these in any way?

ALJ WISSLER: Yes. Of the minutes of this? The Notice that was published indicates several locations where this transcript will be

made available. In my office in Albany, also in the Region 3 office in New Paltz, and then there are several public libraries -- oh, the DEIS. The actual -- if you want to read the transcript, you will be able to read it at New Paltz, the DEC's office in New Paltz, or if you want to come up to Albany and say hello, I'll be happy to let you read it in Albany.

MR. DAVID SMITH: Okay. My name is David Arrender Smith. I use a middle name because there are several David Smiths in the area. I am a retired management consultant and former senior research associate. Although I retired in the year 2000, I was the decennial census crew leader for the Catskill High Peaks region. A number of you served on crews taking the census. I'm currently a trustee of the Pine Hill library and a member of the Catskill Heritage Alliance. However, I'm speaking today as an aging resident of Pine Hill.

A few people understand that 24 percent of the adult residence of the three hamlets, Pine Hill, Big Indian and Highmount, are 60 years of age or older. This is a large group, very

1.2

2.3

directly affected by the proposed project for whom the ability to comment has been made problematic by the abrupt timetable, the inadequacies of the developer's publication methods, not to speak of any hardships that go with aging. I urge you to pay special attention to our needs because we seniors are a primary demographic group in the immediate vicinity of the Belleayre Resort. Others have already commented on the inadequate and sometimes specious statistics used by the developer in the DEIS.

For us, one of the most galling distortions is the lumping in of our demographics with statistics for the 15 Zip corridor or for the entire county. By throwing us all in the same pot with those living at a distance and by not identifying or studying populations in proximity, the developer evades having to specify mitigations for potentially catastrophic changes in the lives of people individually and in communities in the hamlets closest to the development. This is not a question of not in my back yard feelings.

There are significant differences in populations close up to the development and further away. This is especially true of statistics with respect to age.

In the DEIS, the neglect of age demographics goes hand in hand with other failures to distinguish impacts close in from those at a distance. The three hamlets, Big Indian and Highmount and particularly Pine Hill, are at ground zero. We are the most immediately impacted. And among us, the elderly in our hamlets are the most obviously endangered.

In the 1990s, because of the high ratio of older residents, 42 percent were 62 or older.

The Pine Hill area was classified by the New York Public Interest Research Group as a, quote, naturally occurring retirement community. This is because many of us acquired seasonal property when we were working, and in later years, moved to the area in order to realize our dreams of peace in retirement.

Since census 2000 data show that almost half of Pine Hill households, 48 percent, have

っち

Social Security retirement incomes. However, we are not rich retirees. We chose this area because it was affordable for working families in retirement. Less than half of the households on Social Security have -- less than half -- have any additional private pension at all, and for them, the average supplementary pension was only \$2,200 per year. What's going to happen to Pine Hill's endangered species, fixed income retirees? The answer is simple. We're not going to be able to afford to stay there. The list of threats is long.

To start off is traffic jams, noise, dust and disruption from blasting and construction, rising prices, rising cost of living, higher taxes, increased property values. Okay, you can get more for your house and move out; right? Increased crime rates, because it's going to double the population, political conflicts, populations double and is dominated by richer people who can afford the resort.

And finally, the effects from all such issues will affect -- and such stressers will affect the health of older residents before it affects

anybody else.

All these factors could cause deep and proportionate harm to older people with fixed retirement incomes. We have to fear being forced to move because we cannot afford the rich new environment. Even before that, we have to fear the loss of affordable tranquility.

When we talk about this, however, we are at a serious disadvantage because we are speculating. We have not done the sociological and economic studies, and neither has the developer. Under the law, the DEIS is supposed to identify such threats to our peace, transquility and our way of life and it is supposed to propose mitigations, but it hasn't. It hasn't because its authors failed to make the studies needed to identify mitigations accurately in the neighborhoods adjacent to the development.

Another large group that has been almost totally disenfranchised in this process are the seasonal residents in the Pine Hill area. At least 45 percent of households in Pine Hill are

seasonal. They, too, should be better represented in these hearings. Their interests have been sloughed off by the developer and by DEC's handling of the SEQR process. The DEIS offers no accurate data about that. The census did not gather information about seasonal residents, just about their houses. developer has neglected have to the appropriate surveys made. We have no idea what mitigations may be needed that have been omitted. At a minimum, seasonal residents should be notified by mail and be offered the opportunity to testify on weekends as well as have online access to a more adequate publication of the DEIS.

Finally, if the project planning continues, there needs to be examined and incorporated in a revised Environmental Impact Statement.

Tim Miller Associates and in Clough Harbor and Associates made similar criticisms of the first Draft EIS. DEC instructed the developer to amend the DEIS studies accordingly by stratifying by proximity and providing better

3

4

2

1

5

7

6

9

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

1	(Rieley Cammer)
2	studies of population differences and
3	mitigating appropriately, but it has not been
4	done. I urge you to rule that it must be done
5	or else the DEIS must be rejected.
6	ALJ WISSLER: Eve Smith. After Eve Smith,
7	we'll have Rieley Cammer.
8	MS. EVE P. SMITH: Can we have Rieley
9	first?
10	ALJ WISSLER: Absolutely. Rieley has to
11	get up and go to school tomorrow.
12	MR. RIELEY CAMMER: My name is Rieley
13	Cammer and I would hate to see my home torn up
14	from underneath my feet. I hope that Dean
15	Gitter will think about what he is doing before
16	it's too late. And I want to ask you a
17	question.
18	How would you feel if your home was took
19	away from you or torn up.
20	ALJ-WISSLER: I wouldn't like that at
21	all.
22	Okay. Eve P. Smith, and then we'll hear
23	from Robert Selkowitz.
24	MS. EVE P. SMITH: Good evening. My name
25	is Eve Smith, and since 1970 I have been first

a part-time, and since 1998, a full-time resident of the Town of Shandaken. I live in Pine Hill just under the proposed Belleayre gated community resort.

Since many have previously described the dangers of this resort to our environment, our quality of life and to the non-human creatures that live here with us, to say nothing of the safety of our water and New York City's water supply, I shall confine my remarks to two specific areas. They are, one, the inadequacy of the survey of community opinion conducted by the Marist College Institute for Public Opinion, DEIS Volume 10 Appendix 28b. And two, an area not addressed by Crossroads DEIS impact study, What happens if the project fails.

My qualifications for addressing these two issues are as follows: One, I hold a Doctorate in social welfare, degree in social policy.

Two, in the course of my career, I've conducted numerous studies utilizing both quantitative and qualitative methodologies. Three, in the course of my career, I taught research methodology at the University of Windsor in

Ontario. Four, as a member of the Commissioner of Social Services Advisory Committee, and as vice chair of the Ulster County Youth Board, I've had a special interest in the welfare of families, people and particularly youth and have gathered data and studied the results in areas where businesses failed or disappeared. Please note that I don't speak for either committee or board but for myself. First, the Marist study.

The opinion is formed by what people are told which may or may not be the truth. A developer who supplies the public with misinformation, for example, that the development will lower their taxes, provide people with well-paying jobs which they may or may not need, and increase their access to recreational facilities, which will not be accessible to them, may encourage them to respond positively to a survey.

Second, when the survey itself repeats such statements, people who have not previously studied the issues may respond positively, not to a project itself, but to the statements

about the project. The study under consideration was conducted just after a slick publicity piece was mailed to all residents of Shandaken and Middletown. It was professionally designed and written, and to those who had not previously studied the matter, it might have been convincing. In the survey itself, there are questions that support the idea that the project will bring quality jobs, will not harm the environment and will reduce or hold down taxes.

A fair survey would also ask about whether informants would support the project if the resort provided poorly paying jobs, if the project polluted our water and if taxes were raised as a result of infrastructure expenses directly related to the proposed resort. This survey presented the project only in a positive light.

Second, funding. It is well-known that those who pay for research are generally concerned that the research results back up the position they hold. It is for that reason, for example, that research sponsored and paid for

by gambling organizations support the position that gambling is not harmful, that gambling casinos are good for communities in which they exist. Likewise, the tobacco industry paid for countless studies that showed no direct link of smoking to cancer.

In evaluating the literature that exists therefore, honest scholars take pains to eliminate from consideration studies that were funded by those who stand to gain from a positive outcome. Those considering the Marist study, therefore, should discount the results if, instead of the prospective developer, a neutral party had funded the Marist survey of community opinion, its construction and outcome would have been very different.

Three, overall, material included in the Marist report, Appendix 28b, I would conclude from that material, I would conclude that the study was poorly constructed in the following ways:

Sample. From what I read in the survey report and also what I surmised from the report, telephone numbers were selected at

random from the two towns. From what I could surmise, each person who answered the telephone was asked whether or not he or she was a full-time or part-time resident. If, for example, the person called was a full-time resident, he or she would be asked to answer the survey questions only if the quota for full-time residents had not been reached.

However, the sampling method did not answer another significant problem as follows: It is possible to be a resident of the Town of Shandaken or Middletown and live up to 20 miles away from the proposed gated community. It is reasonable to assume that persons who live closer to the proposed project will be more greatly affected than those who live further away.

Therefore, to assess the opinions of those most affected, one would have to know what proportion of the sample live very close, say within five miles, of the project. A researcher could either weigh the sample to include more people who live close to the project or could divide the sample in two.

For example, those who live up to five miles away from the project and those who live further. Responses for the two groups could then be compared and reported separately.

Lumping the opinions of those who live very near the proposed project and those who live further away is misleading.

Reliability of data. The Marist report indicated that identifying information collected from survey participants was both confidential and anonymous, and that personal identifying information was removed from the files after the integrity or the reliability of the data were verified. Just how this was done is a mystery, since the methodology description omits this information. And I must add that generally, this sort of information does appear in the research study.

Omission of the meaning of the percentages. The Marist report omits the number of respondents for each question, reporting only the percentages. It's customary to include an N or number for each question.

This is most troubling for questions where

there are few respondents, because in such cases, the percentages are misleading.

For example, there are 16 possible answers to the question, quote, In general, what do you think would be the best way to encourage economic development in this community, closed quote. How many people were represented in the 10 percent of respondents to that question that chose projects like Belleayre? How many in the 75 percent choosing other ways to encourage economic development? How about the 15 percent that reported that they were unsure? We have no way of knowing because we don't have a number, total number.

Question construction. The questions for this questionnaire were poorly constructed.

Respondents were presented with two alternative responses and were to pick one. In some cases, the questions were biased. For example, quote, Are you in favor of the project as proposed? A fairer question would have been worded, Do you favor or oppose the project? Do you favor or oppose being alternated as the first mentioned?

In other cases, it would have been difficult to choose one answer. For example, which position comes closer to your opinion? The project will create year-round jobs for residents or provide seasonal jobs? Many people would conceivably have responded yes to both. Likewise, it's plausible that many people would agree that the resort would create year-round tourism and too much traffic, preserve open space and risk water and soil, and increase public access to state parks and change existing landscape.

In short, these are only a few of the many problems with this research, which is biased, poorly constructed and to which I would assign a grade of C minus to an undergraduate, and F to a graduate student. I can't stop grading things even though I'm retired.

From what I've read, this research -- from what I've read of this research, it's impossible to discover, if I only looked at the research, to discover just what is the opinion of residents of Shandaken and Middletown.

Second, what happens if the project is

built and fails? Briefly, there are numerous localities where employers have closed up shop or moved on or with thriving businesses become defunct because of societal conditions. We've all seen such situations. Picture Las Vegas if gambling should become illegal in the United States. If the project is built and fails, what will happen here? Michael Moore's first movie depicted what happened to a Michigan town when General Motors left. People were without jobs, had no income, lost their homes and so forth. Did everyone leave town? No.

Likewise, what happened in our close

Catskill neighbor Sullivan County when the

hotel resort industry failed? People stayed

around without jobs, welfare and Medicaid costs

increased and crime rose.

Here are some statistics:

The population of Sullivan County continued to rise after the hotel resorts closed in the 1950s and '60s. People didn't leave, they stayed, even though there were no jobs to be had. Currently, the percentage of people who were poor continues to be much

greater in Sullivan County than in Ulster County and other surrounding counties.

In the year 2000 in Sullivan County,

11,569 persons of a total population of 74,000,
or 15.6 percent, lived below the poverty line.

In Ulster County where the population of

177,700 people, only 19,338 persons, or 10.9
percent of the population lived below the
poverty line. In Sullivan County, there's more
unemployment than Ulster. 5 percent of the
working age population are unemployed, while in

Ulster, 4.1 percent of the working age
population are unemployed. Ulster's
unemployment rate is similar to the rates in

Dutchess County, 4.1 percent, and Orange
County, 4.3 percent.

There are social ramifications of this poverty. Sullivan County will spend more per capita for Medicaid, food stamps and other forms of welfare than in Ulster County, and the crime rate is higher.

The overall crime rate is as follows: And

I talk about crime rate not only because that's

kind of a result of poverty, but also because

one of the things we enjoy here is a very, very lack of crime and people feel very safe here.

Well, this is the overall crime rate. Ulster

County, 1,965 crimes per hundred thousand of population. Sullivan County, 2,452 crimes per hundred thousand in population.

Violent crimes. Ulster, 201.6 crimes per hundred thousand of population. That's violent crimes. Sullivan County, 3,49.5 crimes per hundred thousand of population. And that's not quite twice the rate, but that's pretty high.

Property crime. Ulster County, 1,763 crimes per hundred thousand of population. Sullivan County, 2,102 crimes per 100,000 population.

Do we want to put ourselves at risk for this kind of project? I think not.

And in light of this risk, the developer should be required to post bond and provide mitigation in case of failure. But he will not be able to restore the mountain, the environment or the welfare of the people who live here. If the project fails, we will be confronted with an increase of persons unable

. 

to support themselves. The crime rate, not only the property crime, but violent crime will increase. I do not want my community to be destroyed this way. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Robert Selkowitz.

MR. ROBERT SELKOWITZ: Hi, everybody.

Thank you. I live at 3024 Route 28, Shokan. I have lived in six different communities in the region over the last 29 years, and I'm an artist. Actually, I've been keeping an open mind on this because I believe in sustainable development. I think that investing in the community can be a good thing, but I also believe in something, I think of in terms of social justice.

I happen to drive through Pine Hill this morning to go to a meeting of the Shandaken Bicentennial Events Committee and I couldn't help but notice the erosion of some of the properties in Pine Hill. We've had vibrant communities here which thrived on tourism a hundred years ago. A lot of those buildings in Pine Hill are a hundred years old. I'm wondering, what provisions have been made in

the budget for this couple of hundred million dollar project for direct investment in enhancement and upgrading of the communities that would be directly impacted by this project? I'm thinking of Pine Hill and Fleischmanns. And if the -- there is a sense of altruism in this project. Where is the reflection on, really, the economic needs or economic potentials of the community of the people that are already here? Just to build something and provide construction jobs and maintenance jobs, you know, that's one thing. But what do the people here, where is the potential for growth for employment and, you know, gainful enterprise here and how is that reflected in the planning for this project?

The other thing I'm concerned about is how housing prices just continue to rise to the point where those of us who got in are now looking at appreciations in the values of our properties and maybe increases in taxes, which is great until you sell and then try to buy something else, if you stay in the area. But for people who are starting out or people that

1 2

3

5

4

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

haven't got a house to trade, the cost of housing is very expensive. A one-bedroom apartment is \$600 a month. And with the wages the way they are, it's a problem all over this country. So why couldn't there be a provision in some visionary development plan that would provide housing that people could actually afford, that, you know, if they plan to have a management of maintenance staff where people would earn, what, 12,000, \$15,000 a year, what could they afford on that and how come that can't be part of the program?

I think I read in some of the discussions in what I was reading that, yes, we would have -- you know, jobs would be provided, but the people that work here can't afford to live anywhere nearby. There is not available housing.

I was recently in Florida visiting family, and it's one gated community after another, just whole tracts stripped bare and then built and planted. And there was even one tract that was totally stripped bare that they were advertising that was going to become a nature

preserve. And I had just visited the

Loxahatchee wilderness area that's a fringe of
the Everglades which is really a wilderness
preserve, but here they were setting aside one
block of land and they were going to turn that
into a nature preserve.

Here, we're living in a nature preserve.

We've got a landscape here that's already, in

many cases, been exploited and logged. We have

an industrial heritage here as well as a

tourism heritage, but the landscape is

recovering, the forests are second growth, but

they're recovering.

To insert a development of this extent into an area that is a park, it's combined public and private land, but it is a park. And thereby, to remove from the common domain an area of property of that extent and to use part of it as a gated community as, you know, in Florida, security is an issue, everybody wants a gated community, but here within the park, in the Catskills, to have a gated community, just seems a moral affront to me.

You know, it's -- our communities aren't

really like that here. I mean, people have private property and they post for no trespassing, but the idea of setting aside a private compound and putting a gate on it in a public park area just seems to be unAmerican.

While we were expecting our first daughter and I was living in Mt. Tremper, I was a lift attendant at Belleayre Mountain, and this was I value the experience of back in '77 and '78. contact with people. It was like a contact high of people having a good time. other thing I wanted to comment on was the atmosphere about Belleayre Mountain. talking about the ski area, the ski experience. Being a state-run area, there is a less commercial, high pressure atmosphere there. And I'm concerned about the pressures on Belleayre Mountain. Admittedly, the state is investing money. They want to see that the skier days increase, but there's still something that is a precious feeling there, and I'm concerned about the impact of this development on the atmosphere at Belleayre as a This is a private enterprise that state area.

is, in effect, drawn. But this publicly held, publicly owned community resource that is Belleayre Mountain, and it would just, it wouldn't feel right to me to see Belleayre shifted more towards, you know, a higher pressure commercial enterprise because it was surrounded by, you know, resort hotel and condominium.

But on the other hand, I think Dean Gitter has done some good things, and I think that the Catskill Kaleidoscope project and the Catskill Corners and the Emerson are, you know, a valued part of, you know, what we have in terms of development in the Catskills. They did a nice job with that. But I've also noticed that the staff at the Emerson that I had contact with and at the other resort across the street, the motel, were young Europeans, men and women that, I hate to use the word imported because you don't really import people, but that travel here to take positions at the Emerson. And I met people from Scotland and from Italy.

I know in Martha's Vineyard, I had dealings with a gallery, and the owner of the

2 3 4

gallery brought over young women from England to staff his shops and his gallery. There was something about, you know, continental accent that led a class atmosphere, they felt, to this, and I'm concerned that plum positions would go to people that would be brought in, as opposed to first looking at local residents for employment there. If local residents don't have the sophistication they're looking for, are there any plans for training or for, you know, for making some kind of a life for people here according to what their needs really are? So that's my concern about it.

I can't comment on, you know, what it's going to do to our water quality. We have agencies, and there are a lot of people looking I'm concerned with the social costs and the social benefits, you know, as well as the impact on our natural environment.

So you have a big job ahead of you. have lots and lots of material to go through and you have my commiseration on that. thanks very much.

ALJ WISSLER: Well, on that note, we're

20

21

22

23

24

### (Mark McCarroll)

definitely going to take a break. It is now 9:45 and we'll take a break until ten o'clock.

If anybody wishes to speak who hasn't had the opportunity to speak yet, please fill out a speaker card so they'll be brought up to me.

At this point, I do not have any new speaker cards, but I will take those people first if there are folks who have spoken before who wish to avail themselves of the opportunity to speak, I'm going to let them do that.

The notice in this matter says that this hearing will go to midnight and we're going to go to midnight, even if it's a lonely time, but I doubt that it will be.

(The proceeding recessed at 9:45 p.m.; reconvened at 10:15 p.m.; appearances as before noted.)

ALJ WISSLER: Barbara Redfield. Mark McCarroll.

MR. MARK MC CARROLL: Hello friends,
neighbors, polarized neighbors also. My name
is Mark McCarroll. I'm a Shandaken resident.
I did speak at Margaretville, but there were
some points I didn't bring up. I would like to

(Mark McCarroll)

quote from a newsletters from Crossroads

Ventures in November 2000.

"It is no secret that some of our neighbors have already formed negative opinions based primarily on fear. Now, fear is a strong emotion. Change for some is very uncomfortable and even threatening. We don't want to belittle the sense of concern that sincere, well-meaning folk have about the possible impacts of the Belleayre Resort."

"It has been the intention of those involved with the design and approval process relating to the evolving Belleayre Resort project, to explain clearly how various concerns are being addressed and how the goal of creating a model project could become a reality."

"...200 years ago, citizens of the United States were given inalienable rights. One of these basic rights relates to private property and our ability to own and enjoy it."

"In this context, neighbors don't get to vote on what another neighbor does with his or her property. Thank goodness! Would you want

your neighbor to dictate what kind of house you could build; what kind of garden or lawn you could have? Should your neighbors get to say what color you paint your house, what kind of car you drive, what you're allowed to listen to, watch, eat or talk about in your own home?"

"...most of us wouldn't want to live in a place where a neighborhood popularity contest would determine what we could or could not do with our private property." He's only building a house, I think. All we ask for is a fair shake. All we ask is that our neighbors let the process take its course.

Last year there was a little uproar in the Town of Shandaken and it upset me seeing my town on the verge or in the midst of corruption, and I didn't know how to reach out so I wrote a letter to the Attorney General.

"Dear sir: This correspondence is in regard to a potential conflict of interest concerning the DEC and local public officials, Ward and Jane Todd, and their involvement in the proposed development of the Belleayre

Resort at Catskill Park, located in the Town of Shandaken in Ulster County.

"The DEC is the lead agency for the proposal which is under the State Environmental Quality Review Act review process and has not yet accepted the Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The first submission was classified erroneous and rejected. The DEC operates the state-run Belleayre Ski Center which is enclaved by the land under review, thus a conflict.

"Ward Todd is chairman of the Ulster
County Legislature, vice president of the
Catskill Watershed Corporation, operations
manager of WKNY radio, and his wife Jane Todd
is a member of the Shandaken Town Board,
director of the SHARP Committee, plus a former
real estate agent for Belleayre Reality whose
office is adjacent to the proposed golf
resort. They own 11 acres of land that border
an entrance to the site. They are using their
public and political position to influence and
sway this controversial proposal in the heart
of the Catskill watershed which supplies 90

percent of New York City's drinking water.

"On Thursday, December 10th, 2002, the
Ulster County Legislature passed a resolution
to support the development of the Belleayre
Resort. Friday, December 20th, the Crossroads
Ventures resubmitted its revised DEIS. This
resolution, I feel, was a deliberate conspiracy
and violated Shandaken's home rule. The DEIS
has not been accepted, nor a public comment
period scheduled.

"Crossroads Ventures has a long-term goal to monopolize urbanize the central Catskills. They publicly belittle and slander those who oppose their promises of progress and prosperity through their newsletters and in local newspapers. They have infiltrated the town government and pick candidates to run for office and disrupt town meetings.

"I believe your office has a file on hand regarding Crossroads Ventures, Dean Gitter, a principle and the purchase of the Pine Hill water company in the spring of 2000. This mitigation involved the Catskill Heritage
Alliance and James Tierney of the Environmental

Protection Bureau. This may be an appropriate place to continue with an investigation.

"Also of special interest is a local newspaper, the <u>Ulster County Townsman</u>, whose editor plans to subdivide 25 acres adjacent to the resort site. The <u>Townsman's</u> editorials, articles and letters to the editor are deliberate lies and exaggerated misinformation. This has further agitated the community and caused dissension.

"The Belleayre Resort proposal has polarized the rural community of Shandaken since the scoping session and it's escalating into a civil war. I feel it's urgent for your office to convene and protect our community from further deterioration, decay and corruption.

"Enclosed are some clippings."

The Belleayre Resort was actually born in 1988, I feel, by a snow engineer who was a consultant hired by the DEC to expand the Belleayre Resort. And in 1994, Route 28 Corridor Committee was formed with Dean Gitter as the chairman. So I believe the DEC is the

lead agency on their own idea or that of their consultant, but I would like to remark a little bit about Thomas Cole now, what he said in 1982.

"Yet I cannot but express my sorrow that the beauty of such landscapes are quickly passing away, the ravages of the axe are daily increasing, the most noble scenes are made desolate, and oftentimes with a wantonness and barbarism scarcely credible in a civilized The wayside is becoming shadeless, and nation. another generation will behold spots, now rife with beauty, desecrated by what is called improvements, which as yet generally destroys nature's beauty without substituting that of This is a regret rather than a complaint. Such is the road society has to travel. It may lead to refinement in the end, but the traveler who sees the place at rest close at hand dislikes the road that has so many unnecessary windings. Nature has spread for us a rich and delightful banquet. Shall we turn from it? We are still in Eden. The wall that shuts us out of the garden is our own

25

ignorance and folly. May we at times turn away from the ordinary pursuits of life to the pure enjoyment of rural nature which is in the soul like a fountain of cool water to the wayworn traveler."

Pardon me. It was 1835. Thomas Cole is the Father of Conservation in the United States. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Dave Channon.

MR. DAVE CHANNON: Stop me if you heard this one. I'm just going to make a couple of comments.

I've been following this, videotaping town board meetings and hearings for over four years, and I think that if I had been free to do wedding videos and videotape all kinds of other wonderful events for hire, I think I would have substantially raised he the economic level of Shandaken. But I've been doing it 99 percent voluntarily because it's a civic obligation. I'm just going to fill in a couple of points I heard Dean Gitter say on the WNYC radio.

Recently he said, You can dump eight tons

of cyanide into the reservoir and it would not harm the drinking water.

That's why they don't let him out that often, because he's not thinking clearly. We don't want someone who thinks with such a befuddled approach to reality to make these incredibly important decisions for our lives.

Some of his representatives came down at the last hearing during the blizzard and there was a presentation at the Town Board in Shandaken, and some representatives of Crossroads came down from Albany and other locations to talk to the Town Board, the Zoning Board and the Planning Board, or the Zoning Board of Appeals, I think it was, but they made a presentation. And a couple of things they said I thought were extremely important, have to be repeated for the record.

In regards to how would our area absorb or cope with the 5 or 600 low-paying jobs that this resort would provide, how would they find housing, how would they support themselves, how would their -- how would the schools cope with their children, all of these things. They

(Dave

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

said, well, let's start from the beginning.

First of all, everyone is going to guit their current job and go to work for the resort and they'll continue living in their current residences. This is what the representatives told our Town Board, triple town boards. Now, of course you're dealing with a man who is probably, safe to say, one of the most disliked people in this whole region. He's also got friends, but I can't imagine that everyone is going to want to quit their current jobs and work for him. I know that most people that I talk to would rather walk over broken glass than work for Dean Gitter. So that was unrealistic, besides being silly and an opinion, and it's definitely not substantiated by any kind of study or survey or factual basis.

They said for the same reason that everyone is going to continue living in their same house, they're not going to need housing.

And in any case, in 2000, there were 79 rentals available in Shandaken. And when asked, well, what would be the cost of renting those

places? Well, the whole spectrum, from very low to very high.

So since everyone is going to keep living in the same place they're living, there aren't going to be any additional kids in the school, except maybe not more than 12 or 15. This is what the representative said. They had wonderful suits. They were dressed very well.

And what about the emergency services, fire engines, fire department, the ambulance, the emergency? Well, we'll get people at the resort to take lessons in CPR and we'll just get more volunteers. That's how we'll handle it. And anyway -- I'm not making this up.

Anyway, old people, golfers, they just don't have injuries like skiers have. Skiers break their legs, they crush their heads into trees and die. Golfers, these are old people, they don't get injured. I guess that's if you don't consider like heart attack or stroke a kind of an injury that old people might be susceptible to.

The whole presentation was boldly arrogant and opinionated. And Gary Gailes even said,

no, the Belleayre Resort will not provide any on-site housing, like the Emerson provides for their workers, the on-site housing they provide for their workers. The Belleayre Resort will have no on-site housing and we'll just have to let the market take care of itself.

Now, these are the kind of people we're dealing with. And I can see the people we're dealing with here, like you guys are incredible, you're still here, it's the middle of the night. But that's not what I came here to say. I only want to say one more thing, and I know it's late.

I think that the DEC must revisit the issue of segmentation on the sale of the Pine Hill Water to Dean Gitter, which was absolutely, without question, associated with his plans to develop this resort and was not considered and was even found -- the DEC made a negative finding of segmentation when the issue was raised. The Belleayre Ski Center is in the midst of a huge expansion and everyone knows the traffic problems associated with the resort, and to not include the expansion of the

Belleayre Resort with the development of the Belleayre Ski Center is another example, absolutely, without question, of segmentation. And these two issues have to be revisited. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Anybody wishing to speak who is present in the room?

MR. STAN BOGUSKI: My name is Stan

Boguski. I live in Arkville, and I am against
the proposed Belleayre Resort project.

Although not an expert, my past hands-on
experience working with fertilizers and
pesticides enabled me to envision the enormous
amount of chemicals slated for use at the
Belleayre development.

More than 45 years ago, I began spraying pesticides on our native shade trees, flowering trees, shrubs and other forms of vegetation.

If the leaves on the trees showed signs of curling, having holes, appeared dwarf in size or lacked the healthy chlorophyll look, oftentimes more pesticides were applied on the target than what was normally used. When grasses at plush golf resorts begin to show

signs of stress, chances are more than needed amounts of chemicals are also used at different times, in different situations.

mandated Pesticide Applicator Safety Program
was when I earned my certification from the New
York State Commission of Environmental
Conservation. As fate would have it,
pesticides and I soon parted company. Reading
in between the lines of the Cornell University
Pesticide Training Manual, I realized
continuing to use and absorb pesticides through
the open pores of my exposed skin, one day
could become an occupational fatality.

Having just reached my 75th birthday, I'm more than happy to be here with you today.

Even though the growing season on the mountain is short, huge stores of chemicals will be needed to produce picture postcards of Crossroads' two championship, state-of-the-art golf courses in order to attract upscale golfers and their timesharing clientele.

Yet, the Crossroads' DEIS claims, quote,
The design and location of the two proposed

б

golf courses allow for reduced levels of pesticides and fertilizers used when compared with other golf courses, unquote. I believe Crossroads let the cat out of the bag on this one, conceding using their fertilizers and pesticides on their planned golf courses will indeed have a negative impact on the environment.

Realistically speaking, allowing reduced amounts of fertilizer and pesticides on the regular, if not daily, basis along a mountain ridge that extends from Big Indian to Highmount, will add up to nothing less than a whopping amount of harmful chemicals.

Furthermore, I do not believe the use of fertilizers and pesticides were contributing concerns Mr. Davis Love, III had to contend with when designing Crossroads' two golf courses back in the year 2000.

To date, much has been said about golf links, pesticides and fertilizers, but generous amounts of chemicals will also surround the ball roots of hundreds upon hundreds of newly planted shrubs seen on the rooftops of the

camouflage hotel, including the foundation planning displayed on the massive resort grounds, particularly if they were to resemble the manicured grounds found at Catskill Corners.

Then, too, the 500 or more replacement trees will receive the special blend of tree fertilizer with ample supplies of pesticides nearby, like all 36 greens, golf greens. The landscape design of eye-appealing plants and shrubs will receive the same tender loving ground maintenance care.

Animals of the forest will consume contaminated golf course grasses, shrubs and foundation plantings, while birds feed on already seasoned insects and butterflies will flutter their wings to the odd tasting nectars along the fairways. Birds and other creatures will drink the polluted runoff waters in the man-made water holes strategically located along both golf resources, collected for both the golf courses.

Untimely torrential downpours will cause polluted runoff water to reach our reservoir

water. Sad to say, our pure, clear trout
waters will become a thing of the past. It was
Rachel Carson in her best selling book "Silent
Spring" who said, quote, It is not possible to
add pesticides to water anywhere without
threatening the purity of water everywhere,
unquote.

How the State environmental agency will monitor the application of fertilizers and pesticides at the resort eight years down the road remains to be seen. As a former long-time labor leader in Region 3's New York State's largest public employee's union, I earnestly believe today's experiences of contracting out of essential public services will, over time, prove to be a costly mistake. The health and safety of our people and environment in my opinion belong in the hands of dedicated public employees, not in the hands of the for-profit corporate CEOs in the private sector.

In closing, it is the total amount of harmful chemicals applied upon the entire length of the proposed project site that must be weighed before determining the fate of

Crossroads Ventures Resort proposal and that of our environment. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Richard Schaedle. After Mr. Schaedle, we'll hear from Anne-Marie Johansson.

MR. RICHARD SCHAEDLE: I'm here to speak about the completeness and accuracy of the DEIS. I guess the DEC has ruled it complete, but I hope they haven't ruled it accurate. And I also thank Mr. Ruzow for being here. It's nice to have at least one representative of the contractor here.

Just to point out a few errors in the DEIS, and these are somewhat minor, or at least the first one is. But in the Executive Summary Section L, Community Services, it states, Margaretville Hospital has 211 beds, 211 beds. I'm a member of the hospital board. The hospital has 15 beds. You get an error like this of 14 times in a document that's deemed complete. Who knows.

Of course, my main concern in Mr. Ciesluk, the DEC, the PSC, many authorities in the state know that my main concern has been the water,

1.0

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the water in Pine Hill, the potable water that's going to be supplied to the project. Again, there are discrepancies within the DEIS stated in the Executive Summary that lists Rosenthal Well number two the primary source for the project, for potable water for the project, as having a capacity of 118,080 gallons per day. However, in Appendix 7 Section 5.1, it states the long-term sustainable flow is 64 gallons per minute. gallons per minute translates into 92,160 gallons per day. This is the same document. This is the same people putting it together. Delaware Engineering, Alpha Geoscience, whatever it is. Why do they have different numbers in the same report?

Again, in the report they state that these supply figures were taken, or at least part of the supply figures were taken during the prior period. However, Paul Rubin, who is a hydrologist that has been retained by the Pine Hill Water Coalition, has provided data that shows precipitation for the region for the nine months ending September 2001 was 28.45 inches,

versus a median precipitation of 28.87 inches over time. This hardly constitutes a draught. Dry period, yes. Draught, no.

Mr. Rubin also notes the following points while continuing on the change in the 1970 water supply application number 5998. This was the water supply application that was changed for Pine Hill that removed one-third of our sources of water for Pine Hill.

He states, One, it is highly unusual to remove a significant portion of any town's water supply. Crystal Spring, Silo A, was one of the sources for Pine Hill and had been used for over 60 years, six decades. He also states, too, that Pine Hill should retain the potential to revitalize its infrastructure to previous maximum water usage.

In the 1930s, I have a copy of a DOH report that states the summertime population of Pine Hill, that dilapidated town that people are referring to, had 3,000 people, a summer residency of 3,000 people. This is what we should be -- going to be able to supply water to.

Again, in 1990s when the new sewer plant was built for Pine Hill, that beautiful complex that you see going up on the left side of 28 as you go into Pine Hill that some people compare as Disneyland, others think it's a shopping mall, but it's a sewer plant. It's nothing more than that, it's nothing less than that. But Ulster County Health Department mandated that that sewer plant be built to a capacity to handle the sewage for 3,000 people. that verbally from Dean Palen, head of Ulster County Health. And there are already plans in the works for Pine Hill which weren't there in 2000 when this water company was loosely sold to Dean Gitter, or even in 2002 when the -- or 2003 when the water supply permit was changed.

There is now a proposal for up to 28-unit housing development within the existing water district, and also rumors that there may be a hundred-room hotel built within the water district. These new facilities could create demand for up to 24,000 gallons per day.

So these are things that we in Pine Hill have been fighting ever since 2000 to get to

2

1

4

6

5

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

retain our sources of water. We've met a deaf ear throughout state agencies.

Other questionable data that could be found throughout the DEIS. Table 15, Appendix 7, Exhibit D, it shows the flow of various springs. These flows are used in justifying the removal of Silo A, Crystal Spring from our water supply. Depot Spring for August 2001 flow is listed at 28 gallons per minute.

Subtracting -- in footnote 3 and 4, they state, This flow is calculated by taking the flow in a ditch along the road below the Depot Spring and subtracting the flow above Depot Spring and adding the flow from Silo B.

Now, if you're up there and you look at the ditch and you look at the flows, you know the flow from Silo B has to be subtracted, because otherwise it's being counted twice. So instead of getting a flow of 28 gallons per minute from this Depot Road Spring, the flow from Silo B was 27 and a half gallons, so you actually have a flow of a half gallon per minute. This is listed as a viable source of backup water for Pine Hill, a half gallon per

minute. That's roughly 700 gallons per day.

These are things that were wrong in the tables, wrong in the study and have never been adequately addressed by the PSC, the DEC or the courts. And it's any wonder that people in Pine Hill don't want this project.

Finally, the water in Rosenthal wells 1 and 2 should be die tested to see whether this water flows into the Esopus Creek at a lower elevation. As you know, water flows downhill. Well, the lower elevation of Pine Hill is, I don't have it exactly, but I would say in the neighborhood of 1,400 feet. When you get down to Phoenicia, the elevation is around 900 feet. Who is to say that this groundwater, well water -- the wells are approximately 200 feet deep. Who is to say that this well water or subsurface water doesn't surface somewhere down the stream in the Esopus? If this is being pumped out of that groundwater, shipped up to the mountain, and we're talking about potable water of an average of 91,000 gallons per day, but a potential maximum use of 158,000 gallons per day, and these are statistics from

this flawed report, so maybe they're flawed,
too. But you also have in addition, irrigation
water which is going to be taken from Rosenthal
well number 1. So the total taking could be up
to 200,000 gallons per day on a consistent
basis, especially during dry periods. It
should be determined whether this water is
going to affect the flow of the Esopus later
on.

For all these reasons and many more that could be cited if you continue to read the DEIS, and I wish all our union members would read the DEIS before they come out here and voice their opinions -- false and incomplete. For all these reasons, I feel the DEIS is false, incomplete and that the secure source of potable water for the Big Indian Plateau has not been identified.

ALJ WISSLER: Anne-Marie Johansson, and then we'll hear from Mary Herrmann.

MS. ANNE-MARIE JOHANSSON: I would like to thank you to start for the opportunity to speak again. I did speak at the beginning of this public comment process up at the first meeting

in Margaretville. It was a great experience for me and I was again speaking to people who were not from this area, though concerned with the same project. And in the meantime, I have spoken to the local school board here, the Onteora School Board. I've spoken at Town Board meetings, and I've spoken at Planning Board meetings. I am a Planning Board member, and in that capacity, I did bring my concerns to those different boards.

The School Board in particular was very much caught up short by my notice to them that they had been mentioned in the DEIS, just to continue this note about the document being inaccurate possibly at best, and disingenuous at worse, that the Onteora School District had been contacted by the developer and that they had been notified about the process. And judging by the looks on the faces of all the School Board members and their counsel, I can tell you that they were contacted, in their words, to -- for the use of this auditorium and for some taxpayer information. But beyond that, they were not contacted about the effects

of this project on the School District at all.

So that's just gives one example of my personal outreach about this project to some of the concerned parties in this project, and that this is really taking some very interested groups and effective groups totally by surprise.

So I would like to repeat some of the things that I said up in Margaretville, because as I said, most of the people up there were not from this area. This is the part of the project and the township that I think will be, apart from Pine Hill itself, most affected by this project.

I am a life-long resident of this area. I grew up in Phoenicia, so I have seen this community and the surrounding communities through good times and bad. They've all seemed pretty fine to me, and I have seen other small communities very similar to this mountain community very adversely affected by this same type of development, a developer coming into a very small town in Colorado, Telluride, asking to build just a few condominiums to serve some

1 2

Snowcat skiing, was just going to be a small number of condominiums, provide needed jobs in the community, really was going to be quite small.

Well, I can tell you now that 30 years later, they have golf courses, they have built an airport to serve the very high-priced customers that they bring in there because it's too inconvenient for these people to drive into the resort. They now have to fly their private jets in because it's too inconvenient. don't have time to drive to the area. commute to work there over a hundred miles one way, and now through land use reforms that were instituted in, I think the late '80s and are totally in a totally panic-ridden community that had virtually no housing for any of the people who had to work in the community, now any developer who comes in there wants to build anything, they absolutely must provide 15 percent minimum of affordable housing in any project or that project will not even be considered. They can't even present it. So there's some major, major flaws in the

presentation of this project.

It reminds me of a story about the emperor's new clothes, and people in our local community should be very concerned with the kind of promises they are seeing. I spoke in Margaretville after the gentleman, I forget what town he was representing. He was one of the town supervisors from the Coalition of Watershed Towns, stood up and said that the Coalition had unanimously approved the project. They had never even looked at the They had approved it unanimously based on the developer's promise of economic benefit to their towns. And I strongly caution everyone to speak to their town supervisors and find out if they were a member of this unanimous vote. But I think it's very risky for union members, town supervisors, people with gas stations or otherwise businesses in the area who think or have been told that they were going to benefit from a project of this scope, that they should really talk to somebody besides the emperor and find out what really lies in store for these communities.

25

it is not, it is not what they're being told.

I just would like to say that the massive scope of this project, both during construction and operation, poses an unreasonable and significant threat to our quality of life in the existing communities around the development. It poses a significant threat to the clean water standards of the New York City Watershed and our communities. It's our watershed too. It poses a significant and unreasonable threat to the environmental standards of the New York Forest Preserve and the Catskill Park, which belongs to all of us and which we are dependent on you to protect, and it promises the induced rapid growth of a large scale, multi-town resort which will have a destabilizing effect on the existing towns. Destabilizing, not stabilizing.

I think we have already seen the beginnings of the destabilizing of our towns just, just in the review process of the project of this scope. A project of this size will result in substantially increased traffic and related air quality on Route 28, which is a

2

1

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

major concern to the Town of Olive. And I just would like to point out again that in spite of -- and I have the letters and I have the meeting minutes from Town Board meetings in the Town of Olive from 1999 and 2002 when this project was first brought forward, and the initial Draft EIS was brought forward, that our Town Board went on record and wrote letters, both to Mr. Ciesluk and to the Shandaken Town Board, expressing their major concern, and the Town of Olive wished to be part of the whole And I would like to point out to you process. that in this DEIS, the Town of Olive is not listed as an affected township, and we are the immediate adjacent town. So I think that's a major flaw in this DEIS.

The increased service demands on that

Route 28 corridor will be phenominal. And you

heard, I was at the meeting a couple weeks ago

and heard this ridiculous excuse for a solution

for emergency services personnel being these

part-time or whatever employees they think

they're going to take away from their position

at the end of their shift, during their shift,

whenever an emergency call comes in and they're going to have trained people on site who can just walk away from their job at the resort to fill an emergency position in the town that's been hit with the increased demand on these emergency services. That was absolutely outrageous to hear how unprepared those people were to answer the questions of very concerned Town Board and Planning Board.

I, personally, being a Planning Board member, was shocked at the level of -- the quality of answers, evasiveness that these people have. They couldn't find the information, making a big show of flipping through papers, and we'll have to get that for you later. It was really an outrage for something that was being touted as an information exchange session. It was nothing more than like a pony show with them showing how big the document was and giving some very, very vague answers, at best, vague to the questions.

Again, as I mentioned before, the loss of our traditional clientele here in these towns

who will be crowded out by the additional construction traffic and then resort traffic and all of the traffic that will be supplying this huge resort, a tremendous amount of supplies that will have to travel up to Route 28 corridor to supply something of this size, and the unthinkable amounts of trash and refuse that have to come back down the Route 28 corridor and get transported out of there, and what little access to this document I have had through some people who have been working very hard, I did not see any clear answers about the impact of that on the communities that have to watch this stuff go back and forth.

In Olive, again, we're very concerned about the roadside sprawl and the development pressure that a resort of this scope would have on the towns in the Route 28 corridor. I've just come back from a two-day conference in New York City for the New York Coalition of Towns where one of the main themes of this conference was preserving open space and controlling and avoiding, with good planning and total planning of communities through comprehensive planning

and long-term planning, to avoid sprawl. to try to cope with it after it's already happened, but to have the kind of planning that prevents it in the first place. And this is a major initiative that has come down from the governor's office. I know it sounds like another side of a different coin, but I can tell you that there's money available for towns to do this kind of long-range planning, and they're really encouraging all planners to encourage their towns to look at the impact that -- and they're talking about bridging municipalities and understanding what the adjacent towns are doing and how it's going to affect you, and this is a very important way for towns to understand the impact of what's happening in their town and adjacent towns, how it's going to impact the region overall. Catskill Region of the Route 28 corridor is a prime example of this.

I have to say, I feel we're being targeted with a development of this size because we do not have adequate plans and controls in place and that developers of this type specifically

21 22

23

24

look for opportunities like this where they can come in and make their moves before people can mobilize and before the laws or guidances on the books to control that type of development. So I think we have to be -- continue our good efforts here, that we've seen so much support from the community, come out and so many people very articulately explaining what their vision is for the towns and what it is not, and I would like to see scoping sessions continue in Shandaken and in Olive to continue to define what our vision is for our towns, and not have someone roll in here and define it for us. think that's very important, not just as a reflection to someone who has come in with their idea of what's perfect for them.

Because let's face it, this is just a money-making scheme for the developer. This has nothing to do with what they think is best for the people of the town. This is what's best for their bottom line.

And in closing, I would just like to say that I strongly recommend that this project should not be approved. The DEIS is incomplete

24

in its statement of impact on the entire

Central Catskills region and on the watershed

for millions of people. And until this

developer can produce a responsible plan that

will address the secondary and cumulative

effects of low-wage jobs, lack of affordable

housing for underpaid and seasonal workers,

lack of public transportation for workers and

visitors, we, the residents, and you, the DEC,

cannot consider this DEIS complete. Thank

you.

ALJ WISSLER: We're going to hear from Mary Herrmann now.

Is there anybody here who has given me a card but I have not called on them?

MS. MARY HERRMANN: Hi. I'm Mary Herrmann and I live in Pine Hill. And the other night, I saw a rerun of "Saturday Night Live." And in it, Paul Simon, the singer, was trapped in an elevator and it was only playing his music elevator style. And when he tried to get off the elevator, he, the attendant, wouldn't let him off. And he told him, Well, you've died and welcome to hell.

1.0

(Mary Herrmann)

And I bring that up because I feel like the past four years, we have been trapped in Dean Gitter's commercial and all we've listened to for the past four years is how good that this resort is going to be for us and how we should let the process continue and wait for the DEIS to be complete and don't worry, it's all going to be there. And now we're at that completeness stage and it's this ridiculous document with no plot and no ending and you try and find out answers and you can't find them or they contradict with what they said on the other page.

And I think one of the reasons that it's being deemed complete is because, you know, the community was really caught off guard in the scoping session and we were asked to come to this session and vocalize our concerns, and we didn't know what we were getting ourselves into. So a lot of people went through and they spoke from the heart and they said things and they clearly were scared and they didn't want this development, but then they didn't realize that, you know, what they were really supposed

(Mary Herrmann)

to do was really bring up concrete concerns that the developer had to answer.

And so then you get the scoping document, it's deemed complete and he's got to answer all these things and he answers them. And like some people said, they don't have to be right answers, they just have to be answered. And a lot of these answers, he just discounts it because he doesn't -- you know, he says, Well, the people I hire, they're not going to have children, they're not going to be of childbearing age, which means he's going to hire children and senior citizens, because who else is not of childbearing age? Then he says, Well, you're not going to need anymore ambulance workers or policemen because, you know, crime is not going to go up. ridiculous. You try and find these answers.

So I was at the meeting that Dave was at during the snowstorm in which the supervisor of the Town of Shandaken didn't have the good sense to cancel, and I thought I was crazy for being there. And then when I heard some of these answers that these consultants had to the

24

(Mary Herrmann)

questions, I knew I was really crazy for being there. By far the silliest was the one about the emergency workers, and I could not believe that the project employee, I think he's the project manager, Gary Gailes, said, in all seriousness, we're going to give these -- we're going to give our employees incentives to volunteer for your police and your fire. Well, what kind of incentives? Well, I don't know but we're just going to give it to them and they're going to want to volunteer for your police department and your fire department or whatever and your ambulance department.

And I'm thinking to myself, yes, I drive to my job in West Hurley -- in Hurley and when I'm done with my shift, what I really want to do is hang out another eight hours and volunteer for their fire department or their ambulance. Or, I'm sure my boss really wants to have me in the middle of the project and have to leave because I got a call. It was ridiculous, it was silly and they have to answer this question, what is going to happen to our police, our fire department and our

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ambulances.

Shandaken notoriously cannot fill their ambulance positions. They are always in need of good workers. So I went up to the consultant afterwards, because the public wasn't allowed to ask questions, and I asked this man if he would show me where in the DEIS it has the effect on the taxes, on the tax base during the eight-year construction period. he said, Well, we don't show the increase in taxes for the eight years because we're not responsible for it as property values go up. Because we're still in the building stage. won't be paying any taxes. And I said, Okay. Well, can you show me where the influx of all those construction workers that will be coming to our community, where that's going to impact our ambulance and our fire departments and our police departments? And he said, That's not in there either. And I said, So what you're telling me is, you're going to bring in all these construction workers and they're not going to need any services? And he said, Well, no, that's not what I'm saying. And I said,

But why don't you show it? And he said, Well,
I don't know.

You know, I have four kids and they're not allowed to say to me "I don't know." And I can't believe that a person who is coming into our community would allow his consultants to stand up there and say "I don't know." I just can't believe that this is an acceptable answer.

So all of these vague answers that are in this DEIS should not be accepted. They should be answered.

How many kids -- there need to be studies done. How many kids are coming into our schools? This man, they don't want to describe all the jobs, what kind of jobs there are.

They're telling us, they can flush a toilet on the top of the mountain and by the time the water gets to the bottom, you can put a glass under it and drink it, but they can't tell us how many busboys they're going to need.

They're going to tell us all this technical stuff, that they're going to be so environmentally responsible, but they can't

tell us how many ambulance workers you need to service a community of X size. You're going to double the population of the town.

You tell us about all these great places like the Broadmoor and Green Briar and everything. If you're going to make our town like that, then why don't you go to that town and find out how many ambulance workers they need or how many firemen they need? These are not things that are impossible to find out.

So someone talked about the surveys that were done, and I went and I looked at the surveys that were done, and there is one on the local businesses, and I looked at all the businesses who were interviewed and they had several that were like, you know, Joe's Construction. There was no identifiers of who these businesses were. There were several that were well-known businesses and you know that they were adequate to be included, but then you had the Catamount Cafe, the Emerson Hotel, the Spotted Dog Restaurant, the Lodge at Catskill Corners and a couple of others that were included in this survey, and they're all owned

and operated by the developer. So that survey is -- and I was told by Alex Ciesluk that that wasn't allowed to be included, and it is. That survey should be out the window.

And then they had the survey where the postcards that they sent out with their slick advertising campaign, and you were supposed to fill out the postcard and say, you know, it had another one of those unanswerable statements that said, you know, I support an environmentally responsible project. So how could you say yes or no to that statement? And you filled out your name and address and if you wanted more information.

So they printed -- they sent out thousands upon thousands of these postcards and they printed three of them and they were all in favor of it. And then they just said something like, Well, we got 27 that were negative and 300 that were positive and two that were life-threatening. And it was like, how can you just make a statement like that and you're going to look at it and say, oh, this community thinks it's a good idea? That's a trash

survey. That doesn't tell you anything.

So I think that that part of the DEIS, those surveys should all be redone by an objective third party.

Another thing that concerns me, and I've said this before, is the traffic. I live in Pine Hill and I have four children, and so far I've taught three of them to drive. And the rule is, you don't go driving on the weekend. I don't care what time of the year it is. There is so much traffic on Route 28 and it is so unsafe, that I just can't do that with my child in the car. I just can't let them drive until they have more experience. And again, there's 300 cars extra an hour, whatever it is. That's not going to make any difference.

The other morning when I was driving to work and I was thinking about how this is going to impact our community, and I was getting up right by Phoenicia and I saw a coyote on the side of the road, and I couldn't believe it because it was like 8:30 in the morning and I said, Wow, you know, is that a coyote? And I turned around and went back and I'm looking at

it. And I went to these presentations at the Pine Hill Community Center and I learned how to tell the difference between a wolf and a coyote and a dog, and it was a coyote. And he was standing there on the side of the road and he was just gorgeous, but he was worried and he was concerned and he was looking across to the other side of the road. And there was his mate, and his mate was over there running around in circles wondering where he is, and he couldn't get across the road. So these two animals were separated.

And I was thinking, you know what, this is part of our community. We live up here in the Catskill Park and these animals are part of our community. We live in harmony with them. A lot of people, like in Los Angeles, they don't like coyotes. They think they should all be killed because they're coming into their yards because they've encroached upon their habitat. If we continue to do that, we're going to have those same kinds of problems here.

But you read it in the DEIS and it says,
No, we're not, no wildlife is going to be

Ţ

4 5

bothered. And all I could think about was those two coyotes, and that female coyote, I'm assuming was on the other side of the road running around in a circle, you know, she probably just got pregnant and she's on one side of the highway and he's on the other side. And all I was thinking about was this increase in traffic and what is this going to do to these animals who are trying to survive and they're going to have all this traffic. And it has to be really seriously looked at, and it's not in this DEIS.

So maybe as it's answered by the scoping and the question is answered, if they're not answered correctly and thoroughly, I don't know how you can consider it complete.

Another person brought up the rattlesnakes in this region, and my husband used to work for the utility and he used to trim the trees around the wires. And when they went off the road -- and he worked in Shandaken off Slide Mountain, in Woodstock, Shandaken, in all these areas. And the utility wires, they get 15 foot either way that they trim them. So that in

1.2

case of storms or things like that that you have to keep them clear so that people, you know, trees don't fall on them and they lose electricity.

When they used to go do the lines like back to where you have the transfer stations and such, you know, this is dense forest and stuff, and my husband and his crew used to have to carry snake bite kits and they used to have to wear chaps all the way up their legs because of the rattlesnakes, and this is right here in Shandaken. And to tell the truth, I was a little surprised that my husband has encountered timber rattlers over on Slide Mountain, but the DEIS says there are none on Belleayre Ridge.

It's like me and my kids, we study wildlife all the time. They're Boy Scouts, and we know that those kind of animals have a large area. And I don't know how you can have them on this end of the mountain and you don't have them on that end of the mountain. I find that very questionable. But there is no explanation for what is going to happen if we continue on

their encroachment upon these animals. There was a park. It is for the watershed. It was set aside for a reason. The watershed was set aside for a very important reason. Like it or not, we're supplying water to the most important city in this nation, in the world for financial reasons. It's a very important city. And we are stewards of that water and there's a payoff. There is nothing more valuable than open space.

During the construction period, where are all these construction workers going to stay?

When he was doing the tree service, my husband was offered more money to go to other states and do the tree work there and they were going to stay in motels. And I'm telling you, whoever is going to build this resort is going to bring construction workers in and these guys aren't going to be commuting. They're going to come in here, they're going to fill up our hotel spaces, you're going to have a boom town, you're going to have these construction workers staying here during the week, the hotel owners are going to do well, the gas stations are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

going to do well, the convenience stores are going to do well. Then those construction workers are going to get their paychecks and then they're going to go home and spend their paychecks where they live and they're going to go back and see their families.

Then you're going to have -- the tourists are losing the room. Where are they going to get a room? We already have a shortage of hotel rooms. There is no explanation for this in the DEIS, how anything is going to affect our communities during the construction period. And I'm going to tell you, we're going to lose our tourists because they're not coming up here to see big trucks on Route 28 and the dust and the garbage and the problems they create. Someone who comes up here hiking is not going to want to deal with three tractor-trailers per hour for ten hours a day to drive up to wherever they want to go hiking. Fishermen on the Esopus Creek are not going to want to deal with those trucks.

My husband is a truck driver. He tells me all the time he feels so terrible when he's

going up a mountain and he can't get that truck going fast and he ends up with 20 or 30 cars behind him and he knows they're all cursing him out. So this construction period is going to make this an awful place for tourists to come, and we're going to lose the tourist base that we have now. Nothing in the DEIS about that, to either prove me right or prove me wrong.

We keep asking these questions and we keep being told to shut up and wait for the DEIS.

And then we get to the DEIS and we can't read it and we can't understand it and we can't figure out if the information in Appendix B is right because it conflicts with the information in the executive summary. It's a poorly written document. It needs to be straightened out, and I strongly urge the DEC to send this back with an F. Thank you.

MS. DOREE HOFFMAN: Hello. I'm Doree

Hoffman, and my husband and I live in

Shandaken, New York. I'm really so sorry that
all these local people have had to spend this
amount of energy on something that should have
never come to be. I don't know when Dean

# (Dorie Hoffman)

Gitter bought the land adjacent to the

Belleayre Ski slope, but I was told, I can't

remember who told me, but somebody said the

State was not aware that it was for sale or

maybe just that they thought who would build

there? How could they build there? Why should

we spend money on the land adjacent to

Belleayre? Nothing is going to happen to

that. Or why didn't they buy it to make a few

more hiking trails, to make another ski slope?

Whatever.

But it's really appalling to a lot of people, 98 percent of the people -- I've gone to three of the four meetings, and in my thinking, it seems to me that 98 percent of the people what have got up have not wanted this.

And I just don't understand why we are where we are right now, why we're wasting all this energy. Maybe the State made a big mistake not to buy that property. Because if they did, none of us would be here today and we wouldn't have to worry about our mountain getting blown up and all the devastation of the land. And the forestry is probably the most important

## (Dorie Hoffman)

thing in my eyes, because you plateau that mountain, I mean, the mountain goes up. A plateau is out in Mesa out there in the west, not here in the majestic mountain that we're going to devastate.

And I just think that our town officials, we voted them in in November and they do have a lot of pull and say as to if this is going to go through or not in the end. We voted them in. 98 percent of the people here don't want this. Then they should stick up for the people that voted them into office, wouldn't you think?

That's just, it's a terrible -- I'll see that light and I'll see that plateau behind my house and I will -- the more I think about it, the more I don't even want to be here if this happens because I will go farther up north where the devastation isn't in my back yard. That's just how I feel. It's a terrible thing that's happening, if it happens. I just hope that -- it's even hard to talk about it.

Sorry. I can't talk anymore.

ALJ WISSLER: That's all the cards I

(Chester Karwatowski)

It's now 11:30. We'll take a break. Ιf anybody wants to speak, please bring me a card.

(There was a pause in the proceeding.) If we could go back on the ALJ WISSLER: record, please. Chester Karwatowski.

MR. CHESTER KARWATOWSKI: Sorry to be the last speaker. I thought there were more speakers but I'll be brief. My name is Chester I live in West Shokan. Karwatowski. a resident in the Catskills for the last 26 years. I'm an engineer by training. an expert in any of the specifics of this project, although I've reviewed the DEIS. an expert in large project management, project risk management, and I know quite a bit about global corporations. So I want to make some comments that relate to this particular project.

First of all, it's been mentioned several times about the accessibility of the DEIS, information was less than available to most of the public as well as those agencies and non-profit groups that were trying to get

22 23

24

# (Chester Karwatowski)

access to it and understand the content of it.

In general, this very large development project is void of any definitive risk management plan, for the development and the operations of this very large project.

As part of that risk management plan, things such as human error come into play, and as evidenced by the oil spill at the Emerson recently in Ulster County, which was one of the largest oil spills in Ulster County, human factors can play into a project of this size.

Also, in terms of sewage treatment plants operated by this development, most of the municipalities in the Catskills don't have the financial wherewithal to manage them. But in this particular case, we're expecting that a development will manage for, in perpetuity, this particular set of sewage treatment plants. So I didn't see a plan there that would support that activity.

There's an area related to green roofs.

Green roofs are a very interesting concept.

Globally they're applied almost exclusively in urban environments. In this particular case in

1.8

## (Chester Karwatowski)

terms of a mountaintop environment, there is very little evidence of any prototypes or experience with green roofs related to the pesticides, herbicides and control related to these green roofs. And throughout this document, there is analysis that uses computer models that are not necessarily suited for the conditions in this particular project. A project of this size would not be prudent to not have either experience that's relevant or demonstration projects that would take some of the more sensitive aspects and have modeled them. Not just modeled them, but actually built demonstration projects.

Related to global corporations, there's a lot of discussion about wages and benefits to the community. Most global corporations do not adhere to the desires of an Applicant who says that this is what will happen in the future.

Most global corporations have a structure and a management system that is equitable across the corporation, and they do not tend to negotiate things separately in different areas. So all of the best intentions of the Applicant, unless

they're, you know, contractually sealed, those best intents have very little merit in terms of what will actually happen down the road.

So in general, I think that the DEIS does not give evidence that this project has been well thought out in terms of the risks, in terms of the mountaintop environment, the scale of the project and the risk management plan that would address those risks.

ALJ WISSLER: It is now one midnight past midnight. I have no other cards, and this hearing is concluded. Thank you very much.

(The proceeding recessed at 12:01 a.m.)

1		
2	INDEX TO SPEAKERS	
۷.	<u>INDEX TO SPEAKERS</u>	PAGE
3		FAGE
.5	Mack Lipkin	7
4	Elly Wininger	12
4	Stuart D. Root	13
5	Marino D'Orazio	19
٦	Wanda Davenport	21
6	Jennifer Bloom	25
١	Henry Bunce	27
7	Sam Fratto	30
1	Vincent Locascio	36
8	Rodney VanVoorhis	39
٥	Victoria Langling	41
9	Astrid Nordness	45
ر	Jon Griesser	53
10	Algernon Reese	63
10	Ron Aja	70
11	Hera Koch	72
	Becky Sellinger	77
12	Henrietta Wise	79
100 find	Robert J. Wilkins	85
13	Esther Frances	96
	Dana Swiskay Mollins	108
14	Jane VanDeBogart	109
	Dee Dee Halleck	113
15	Brian Powers	117
	Joel Kovel	125
16	Barbara Salzman	131
	Mary Hall	132
17	Susan Penick	134
	P.J. Lorenz	135
18	David Pillard	145
	Gerry West	149
19	Michael Dewan	151
	Deborah Meyer Dewan	161
20	Kristine Flones	170
	Maya Branman	186
21	David Smith	189
	Rieley Cammer	196
22	Eve P. Smith	196
	Robert Selkowitz	207
23	Mark McCarroll	215
	Dave Channon	222
24	Stan Boguski	226
	Richard Schaedle	231
25	Anne-Marie Johansson	238
	Mary Herrmann	249

1	(Index, Continuing)	
2	PAGE	
3	Doree Hoffman 263 Chester Karwatowski 265	
4	CITOBOCI INALWACOWBYI	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		***************************************
16		***************************************
17		
18		-
19		
20		ļ
21		
22		
23		
24		,
25		

_	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

#### CERTIFICATION

I, SHARON E. CHERNY, a Certified
Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of
New York, County of Ulster, do hereby
certify that the foregoing proceedings,
taken at the time and place above
mentioned, is a true and correct
transcription of my stenographic notes.

I further certify that I am neither counsel for nor related to any party to this action, nor in any way interested in the outcome thereof.

IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand, this 4th day of March 2004.

SHARON E. CHERNY, RMR, CRR

Sharm E. Cherry