WHITEMAN OSTERMAN & HANNA LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

ONE COMMERCE PLAZA

ALBANY, NEW YORK 12260

TEL 518.487.7600

FAX 518.487.7777

woh.com

MICHAEL WHITEMAN MELVIN H. OSTERMAN JOHN HANNA, JR.

L, HODES
H. GITLEN
LIT N. FEIN

DANIEL A. RUZOW LESLIE M'. APPLE PHILIP H. DIXON

RICHARD E. LECKERLING MARGARET J. GILLIS

JONATHAN P. NYE HEATHER D. DIDDEL NEIL L. LEVINE

TERRESA M. BAKNER NORMA G. MEACHAM ALAN J. GOLDBERG

BETH A. BOURASSA MARTIN J. RICCIARDI

CHARLES R. HAVILAND, JR. LESLIE K.L. THIELE

LORRAINE POWER THARF

MICHAEL G. STERTHOUS JOHN J. HENRY

JAMES B. AYERS

Via Hand Delivery

Administrative Law Judge Wissler
NYS Department of Environmental Conservation
Office of Hearings and Mediation Services, 1st Floor
625 Broadway

Albany, New York 12233-1550

Re: Crossroads Ventures, LLC

The Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park

DEC Application #'s 0-9999-00096/00001,3,5,7,9 &10

Dear Judge Wissler:

Enclosed please find the stenographic transcripts of the legislative hearings of February 3 and February 19, 2004, as well as the cards and written submissions.

Please call me if you have any questions.

Very truly yours

March 12, 2004

Terresa M. Bakner

Encs.

CC.

Carol Krebs, Esq.

Alexander F. Ciesluk, Jr. w/encs.

JOHN R. DUNNE HOWARD A. LEVINE EUGENE M. KARP

OF COUNSEL
MARGARET CANGILOS-RUIZ
HOLLY KENNEDY PASSANTINO
JEAN F. GERBINI
ELLEN M. BACH

BRIAN J. LUCEY ANDREW M. JOHNSON SETH R. LEECH KRISTIN KOEHLER GUILBAULT ROBERT M. GACH ROBERT T. SCHOFIELD ROBERTA A. VALLONE TIMOTHY P. MORRISON ROBERT S. REYNOLDS JANIS E. FALLON JOSEPH D. STINSON JOHN P. CALARESO, JR. RANDALL S. BEACH PATRICIA A, FRANCHINI SCOTT T. DECKER JOHN R. VERO PETER M. CASPER WILLIAM S. NOLAN DOROTHY-JANE GOLDSACK PORPEGLIA ALANNA MCKIERNAN TAMMY L. CUMO-SMITH

LUCY KATS

Kevin Franke w/encs. Jeanne George w/encs. bcc:

WHITEMAN OSTERMAN & HANNA LLP

MICHAEL WHITEMAN MELVIN H. OSTERMAN امر HANNA, JR HODES H. GITLEN

SCOTT N. FEIN DANIEL A. RUZOW LESUIE M. APPLE PHILIP H. DIXON RICHARD E. LECKERLING MARGARET J. GILLIS JONATHAN P. NYE HEATHER D. DIDDEL NEIL L. LEVINE TERRESA M. BAKNER NORMA G. MEACHAM ALAN J. GOLDBERG

BETH A. BOURASSA

MARTIN J. RICCIARDI

LESLIE K.L. THIELE

DAVID R. EVERETT

JAMES B. AYERS

CHARLES R. HAVILAND, JR.

LORRAINE POWER THARP

MICHAEL G. STERTHOUS JOHN J. HENRY

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

ONE COMMERCE PLAZA ALBANY, NEW YORK 12260 TEL 518.487.7600 FAX 518.487.7777 woh.com

February 26, 2004

SENIOR COUNSEL JOHN R. DUNNE HOWARD A. LEVINE EUGENE M. KARP

BRIAN A LUCKY

OF COUNSEL MARGARET CANGILOS-RUIZ HOLLY KENNEDY PASSANTINO JEAN F. GERBINI ELLEN M. BACH

ANDREW M. JOHNSON KRISTIN KOEHLER GUILBAULT ROBERT M. GACH ROBERT T. SCHOFIELD ROBERTA A. VALLONE TIMOTHY P. MORRISON ROBERT S. REYNOLDS JANIS E. FALLON JOSEPH D. STINSON JOHN P. CALARESO, JR. RANDALL S. BEACH SCOTT T. DECKER JASON M. DIMARINO JOHN R. VERO PETER M. CASPER WILLIAM S. NOLAN DOROTHY-JANE GOLDSACK PORPEGLIA ALANNA MCKIERNAN

TAMMY L. CUMO-SMITH LUCY KATS

JEFFREY L. ZIMRING

DAMIAN M. HOVANCIK Via Hand Delivery

Administrative Law Judge Wissler New York State Department of **Environmental Conservation** Office of Hearings and Mediation Services, 1st Floor 625 Broadway Albany, New York 12233-1550

Re:

Crossroads Ventures, LLC

The Belleavre Resort at Catskill Park

DEC Application #'s 0-9999-00096/00001,3,5,7,9 &10

Dear Judge Wissler:

Pursuant to your instruction, enclosed please find an original and one copy of the Certified Transcript for the January 20, 2004 Public Hearing and a diskette containing the transcript.

Also, enclosed is an original and one copy of a corrected page 1 of the Certified Transcript for the January 14, 2004 Public Hearing received from the stenographer.

By copy of this letter to Alexander Ciesluk we are also enclosing for Region 3 a copy of the Certified Transcript for the January 20, 2004 Public Hearing and a diskette containing the transcript as well as corrected page 1 of the Certified Transcript for the January 14, 2004 Public Hearing.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Daniel A. Ruzow

Enc.

cc:

Carol Krebs, Esq. Alexander Ciesluk

WHITEMAN OSTERMAN & HANNA LLP

MICHAEL WHITEMAN MELVIN H. OSTERMAN YANNA. JR. HODES H. GITLEN SCOTT N. FEIN

DANIEL A. RUZOW LESCIE M. APPLE PHILIP H. DIXON RICHARD E. LECKERLING MARGARET J. GILLIS JONATHAN P. NYE HEATHER D. DIDDEL NEIL L. LEVINE TERRESA M. BAKNER NORMA G. MEACHAM ALAN J. GOLDBERG BETH A. BOURASSA MARTIN J. RICCIARDI CHARLES R. HAVILAND, JR. LESUIE K.L. THIELE

LORRAINE POWER THARP

MICHAEL G. STERTHOUS

DAMIAN M. HOVANCIK

DAVID R. EVERETT

JOHN J. HENRY

JAMES B. AYERS

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

ONE COMMERCE PLAZA ALBANY, NEW YORK 12260 TEL 518.487.7600 FAX 518.487.7777 woh.com

February 26, 2004

SENIOR COUNSEL

JOHN R. DUNNE

HOWARD A. LEVINE

EUGENE M. KARP

JEAN F. GERBINI

ELLEN M. BACH

BRIAN J. LUCEY

SETH R. LEECH

ROBERT M. GACH

ROBERT T. SCHOFIELD

ROSERTA A, VALLONE

TIMOTHY P. MORRISON

ROBERT S. REYNOLDS

JOSEPH D. STINSON

RANDALL S. BEACH

SCOTT T. DECKER

JOHN R. VERO

PETER M. CASPER

WILLIAM S. NOLAN

ALANNA MCKIERNAN TAMMY L. CUMO-SMITH

JEFFREY L. ZIMRING

LUCY KATS

DOROTHY-JANE GOLDSACK PORPEGLIA

JASON M. DIMARINO

JOHN P. CALARESO, JR.

PATRICIA A. FRANCHINI

JANIS E. FALLON

ANDREW M. JOHNSON

KRISTIN KOEHLER GUILBAULT

HOLLY KENNEDY PASSANTINO

OF COUNSEL MARGARET CANGILOS-RUIZ

Kevin Franke LA Group, P.C. 40 Long Alley Saratoga Springs, NY 12866

Re:

Crossroads Ventures, LLC

The Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park

DEC Application #'s 0-9999-00096/00001,3,5,7,9 &10

Dear Kevin:

Enclosed please find for the FEIS a copy of the Certified Transcript for the January 20, 2004 Public Hearing and a diskette containing the transcript.

Also, enclosed is a copy of a corrected page 1 of the Certified Transcript for the January 14, 2004 Public Hearing received from the stenographer.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Terresa M. Bakner

1	
2	NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION
3	PUBLIC LEGISLATIVE HEARING
4	
5	In the Matter of the Application of CROSSROADS VENTURES, LLC,
6	PO BOX 267 MT. TREMPER, NEW YORK 12457
7	Regarding the Project of THE BELLEAYRE RESORT AT CATSKILL PARK
8	Application Numbers: 0-9999-00096/00001
9	0-9999-00096/00003
10	0-9999-00096/00005 0-9999-00096/00007
πU	0-9999-00096/00009
11	0-9999-00096-00010
12	HELD AT: Onteora Central School Boiceville, New York
13	January 20, 2004
14	HELD BEFORE: HON. RICHARD R. WISSLER, Administrative Law Judge
15	APPEARANCES: NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF
16	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION 21 South Putt Corners
17	New Paltz, New York 12561 BY: CAROL KREBS, ESQ.,
18	Assistant Regional Attorney MARGARET DUKE, ESQ.,
19	Regional Permit Administrator MARK MORAN, ESQ.,
20	Regional Director
21	WHITEMAN, OSTERMAN & HANNA Attorneys for Applicant
22	One Commerce Plaza Albany, New York 12260
23	BY: DANIEL RUZOW, ESQ., of Counsel TERRESA BAKNER, ESQ., of Counsel

SHARON E. CHERNY, RMR, CRR Senior Court Reporter

SPEAKERS
Daniel Ruzow 14
Dean Gitter
Brian Shapiro 17
5 Helen Chase 19 6 Linda Barkhardt 35 Richard Hochman 36 7 Ward Todd 39 Norman Turner 48 8 Rollie Devendorf 51 Robert Spiegel 56 9 Thomas Emerick 59 Joel Benton 62 10 Frank Fallon 65 Bill O'Neil 67 11 Thayer Case 71 Susan Bolitzer 79 Joanne Davis 82 Dan Ahouse 84 Jay Dailey 89 Bruce Duffy 90 14 Angela Caponigio 94 Karen Charman 96 Keith Barkett 103 Steven Dawes 105 Rev. Finley Schaef 116 Quinn Ferris 119 Valerie Linet 121 Mathew Pokoik 130 Hank Rope 137 Geoffrey T. Hoderath 148
Sherret Chase 25
Sheffer Chark Linda Barkhardt 35
Richard Hochman 36
7 Ward Todd 39 Norman Turner 48 8 Rollie Devendorf 51 Robert Spiegel 56 9 Thomas Emerick 59 Joel Benton 62 10 Frank Fallon 65 Bill O'Neil 67 11 Thayer Case 71 Susan Bolitzer 79 12 Joanne Davis 82 Dan Ahouse 84 13 Jay Dailey 90 Bruce Duffy 90 4 Angela Caponigio 94 Karen Charman 96 Keith Barkett 103 Steven Dawes 105 Rev. Finley Schaef 116 Quinn Ferris 119 Valerie Linet 121 Mathew Pokoik 130 18 Hank Rope 137 Geoffrey T. Hoderath 139 Zachary Sklar 148 20 Graham Cox 155
Norman Turner
8 Rollie Devendorf 51 Robert Spiegel 56 9 Thomas Emerick 59 Joel Benton 62 10 Frank Fallon 65 Bill O'Neil 67 11 Thayer Case 71 Susan Bolitzer 79 Joanne Davis 82 Dan Ahouse 84 Jay Dailey 89 Bruce Duffy 90 4 Angela Caponigio 94 Karen Charman 96 Keith Barkett 103 Steven Dawes 105 16 Rev. Finley Schaef 116 Quinn Ferris 119 17 Valerie Linet 121 Mathew Pokoik 130 18 Hank Rope 137 Geoffrey T. Hoderath 139 2achary Sklar 143 Dennis Ladner 148 20 Graham Cox 155
Robert Spiegel 56 Thomas Emerick 59 Joel Benton 62 Frank Fallon 65 Bill O'Neil 67 Thayer Case 71 Susan Bolitzer 79 Joanne Davis 82 Dan Ahouse 84 Jay Dailey 89 Bruce Duffy 90 Angela Caponigio 94 Karen Charman 96 Keith Barkett 103 Steven Dawes 105 Rev. Finley Schaef 116 Quinn Ferris 119 Valerie Linet 121 Mathew Pokoik 130 Hank Rope 137 Geoffrey T. Hoderath 139 Zachary Sklar 143 Dennis Ladner 148 20 Graham Cox 155
9 Thomas Emerick 59 Joel Benton 62 Frank Fallon 65 Bill O'Neil 67 11 Thayer Case 71 Susan Bolitzer 79 12 Joanne Davis 82 Dan Ahouse 84 13 Jay Dailey 89 Bruce Duffy 90 14 Angela Caponigio 94 Karen Charman 96 15 Keith Barkett 103 Steven Dawes 105 16 Quinn Ferris 116 Quinn Ferris 119 Valerie Linet 121 Mathew Pokoik 130 18 Hank Rope 137 Geoffrey T. Hoderath 139 19 Zachary Sklar 143 Dennis Ladner 148 20 Graham Cox 155
Joel Benton 62 Frank Fallon 65 Bill O'Neil 67 Thayer Case 71 Susan Bolitzer 79 Joanne Davis 82 Dan Ahouse 84 Jay Dailey 89 Bruce Duffy 90 Angela Caponigio 94 Karen Charman 96 Keith Barkett 103 Steven Dawes 105 Rev. Finley Schaef 20inn Ferris 119 Valerie Linet 121 Mathew Pokoik 130 Hank Rope Geoffrey T. Hoderath 139 Zachary Sklar 143 Dennis Ladner 148 Graham Cox 155
Bill O'Neil 67
11 Thayer Case 71 Susan Bolitzer 79 12 Joanne Davis 82 Dan Ahouse 84 13 Jay Dailey 89 Bruce Duffy 90 14 Angela Caponigio 94 Karen Charman 96 15 Keith Barkett 103 Steven Dawes 105 16 Rev. Finley Schaef 116 Quinn Ferris 119 17 Valerie Linet 121 Mathew Pokoik 130 18 Hank Rope 137 Geoffrey T. Hoderath 139 19 Zachary Sklar 143 Dennis Ladner 148 20 Graham Cox 155
11 Thayer case 79 12 Joanne Davis 82 Dan Ahouse 84 13 Jay Dailey 89 Bruce Duffy 90 14 Angela Caponigio 94 Karen Charman 96 15 Keith Barkett 103 Steven Dawes 105 16 Rev. Finley Schaef 116 Quinn Ferris 119 17 Valerie Linet 121 Mathew Pokoik 130 18 Hank Rope 137 Geoffrey T. Hoderath 139 19 Zachary Sklar 143 Dennis Ladner 148 20 Graham Cox 155
12
12 Dan Ahouse 84 13 Jay Dailey 89 Bruce Duffy 90 14 Angela Caponigio 94 Karen Charman 96 15 Keith Barkett 103 Steven Dawes 105 Rev. Finley Schaef 116 Quinn Ferris 119 Valerie Linet 121 Mathew Pokoik 130 18 Hank Rope 137 Geoffrey T. Hoderath 139 19 Zachary Sklar 143 Dennis Ladner 148 20 Graham Cox 155
13 Jay Dailey 89 Bruce Duffy 90 14 Angela Caponigio 94 Karen Charman 96 15 Keith Barkett 103 Steven Dawes 105 16 Rev. Finley Schaef 116 Quinn Ferris 119 17 Valerie Linet 121 Mathew Pokoik 130 18 Hank Rope 137 Geoffrey T. Hoderath 139 2 Zachary Sklar 143 Dennis Ladner 148 20 Graham Cox 155
13 Day Dalley 90 14 Angela Caponigio 94 Karen Charman 96 15 Keith Barkett 103 Steven Dawes 105 16 Rev. Finley Schaef 116 Quinn Ferris 119 17 Valerie Linet 121 Mathew Pokoik 130 18 Hank Rope 137 Geoffrey T. Hoderath 139 Zachary Sklar 143 Dennis Ladner 148 20 Graham Cox 155
14 Angela Caponigio 94 Karen Charman 96 15 Keith Barkett 103 Steven Dawes 105 16 Rev. Finley Schaef 116 Quinn Ferris 119 17 Valerie Linet 121 Mathew Pokoik 130 18 Hank Rope 137 Geoffrey T. Hoderath 139 19 Zachary Sklar 143 Dennis Ladner 148 20 Graham Cox 155
Karen Charman 96 15 Keith Barkett 103 Steven Dawes 105 16 Rev. Finley Schaef 116 Quinn Ferris 119 17 Valerie Linet 121 Mathew Pokoik 130 18 Hank Rope 137 Geoffrey T. Hoderath 139 19 Zachary Sklar 143 Dennis Ladner 148 20 Graham Cox 155
15 Keith Barkett 103 Steven Dawes 105 16 Rev. Finley Schaef 116 Quinn Ferris 119 17 Valerie Linet 121 Mathew Pokoik 130 18 Hank Rope 137 Geoffrey T. Hoderath 139 19 Zachary Sklar 143 Dennis Ladner 148 20 Graham Cox 155
Steven Dawes
16 Rev. Finley Schaef 116 Quinn Ferris 119 17 Valerie Linet 121 Mathew Pokoik 130 18 Hank Rope 137 Geoffrey T. Hoderath 139 19 Zachary Sklar 143 Dennis Ladner 148 20 Graham Cox 155
Quinn Ferris 119 17 Valerie Linet 121 Mathew Pokoik 130 18 Hank Rope 137 Geoffrey T. Hoderath 139 19 Zachary Sklar 143 Dennis Ladner 148 20 Graham Cox 155
17 Valerie Linet 121 Mathew Pokoik 130 18 Hank Rope 137 Geoffrey T. Hoderath 139 19 Zachary Sklar 143 Dennis Ladner 148 20 Graham Cox 155
Hank Rope Geoffrey T. Hoderath Zachary Sklar Dennis Ladner Graham Cox 137 143 144 145 145
Geoffrey T. Hoderath 139 Zachary Sklar Dennis Ladner 20 Graham Cox 139 143 143 145
19 Zachary Sklar Dennis Ladner 20 Graham Cox 143 145
Dennis Ladner 20 Graham Cox 148
20 Graham Cox 155
20 Granam COX
Dames Nevill
21 Loren Quinby John Carney 174
22 Sparrow 180
Peter DiModica 182
23 Chandra Lencina 189
Doris L. Bartlett
24 Laurie Kirby 194
Chris Olney 196
25 Anique Taylor 207
Kurt Rieke 208

SPEAKERS	PAGE
Alexander Lines Julia Walsh Aaron Bennett Donald Sanford Joseph Habib	214 218 221 229 235

(Opening Remarks)

JANUARY 20, 2004, 6:50 P.M.

ALJ WISSLER: By the way, we're going to start here in about ten minutes. My name is Richard Wissler, and I'm the Administrative Law Judge who will be presiding here this evening.

There are speaker cards, so they can be brought up to me so I can call on your name.

If you have not availed yourself of the opportunity to fill one of those cards out, I would encourage you to do so before we begin promptly at seven.

(Proceedings resumed at 7:00 p.m.)

ALJ WISSLER: Could you find seats, please, so we can start? The time is now one minute past seven by my watch, so I would like to begin. If you could all find seats, please.

Can I ask before we begin, do we have somebody here from the school? Okay. Then I'll do it. You'll notice the exit signs.

(There was a discussion off the record.)

ALJ WISSLER: Let us begin. My name is
Richard Wissler, W-i-s-s-l-e-r, and I am an
Administrative Law Judge for the Department of

Environmental Conservation of the State of New York. This matter is the application of Crossroads Ventures, LLC for various permits numbered 0-999-0096/0003, 5, 7, 9 and 10.

Crossroads Ventures, LLC has submitted applications for a proposed development to be known as the Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park. The project includes a total of 400 hotel rooms, 351 additional hotels and housing units, and a 21-lot single-family residential subdivision, and two 18-hole golf courses. The project will be developed within 1,960 acres in the Catskill Mountains of Ulster and Delaware Counties, for a total of 573 acres disturbed and the remainder to be left undisturbed.

A Notice of Complete Application, a Notice of Acceptance of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement, and a Notice of Legislative Public and State Environmental Quality Review Act hearing and issues conference was published in the Environmental Notice Bulletin, which is the official publication of the Department of Environmental Conservation on December the 10th, 2003.

In addition, that same notice was published as a legal notice in the <u>Ulster</u>

<u>County Townsman</u> on December the 11th, 2003 and in the <u>Catskill Mountain News</u> on December the 10th, 2003.

Moreover, that same notice was distributed to various agencies, but in particular to the elected officials of the Town of Shandaken and the Town of Middletown, and their respective counties, as required by law.

And I would note for the record that I have the affidavits of publication and the notice requirements of Part 621 and 624 of the Department of Environmental Conservation's regulations have been fulfilled with that notice.

The purpose this evening is for the

Department to receive comments from the public

with respect to the application that has been

made, applications that have been made by

Crossroads Ventures, LLC. This is not a public

information session, nor is it a question and

answer session, but again, it's an opportunity

for the Department to receive the public's

1.3

comments with respect to the project. This is extremely important for several reasons.

First, it's important to the Department as it reviews these applications to know what the public sentiment is with respect to the proposed project.

Second, the Department of Environmental Conservation has been designated as the lead agency for the environmental review in this matter.

An Environmental Impact Statement has been prepared, and that has been accepted, but that document is at this time in draft form. In order for that document to proceed to final form, it is required that input from the public be received and that comments from the public be responded to and made part of that environmental impact review.

In addition, for me personally, these comments are extremely important because although they are not evidence in themselves at any subsequent hearing that may be had with respect to this matter, they do provide me as the Administrative Law Judge who will preside

at those subsequent proceedings, they provide me with some background and some basis upon which to ask questions of the parties who may be part of that more formal litigation process farther on down the road.

Very often what happens is, petitioners who participate in those projects tend to be concerned with their issues, and sometimes an issue can slip by the way, but that won't happen if I receive from the public all of their comments, the entire universe of comments with respect to the project. So it's very, very important to me.

In that regard, if you wish to speak tonight, I encourage you to do so. And as I indicated before, what I need to have you do is to fill out one of these public hearing registration cards which are available on the back table. They will be brought up to me and I will call out your names.

Now, if you would like to read a written statement or have a written statement and wish to submit that, you can do so. As a matter of fact, written comments can be submitted to the

•

Department with respect to these applications until February the 24th, 2004, and they can be submitted to a gentleman by the name of Alexander Ciesluk, who I believe is here -- who is not here this evening.

Anyway, his address is at the DEC headquarters in Region 3 on South Putt Corners Road.

So if you have a written comment and you want to submit it tonight and you want to give it to me, you can do that. If you don't have -- if you don't want to speak, you can take one of the speaker cards and you can write your comments on the back of that card and give me I want you to your comments in that form. understand that written comments made to me with respect to this project or to the Department with respect to this project and oral comments will be given the same weight. So even if you don't have a chance to speak, as long as your comment is in writing, rest assured that it will be given equal weight as if you came up here and gave it orally.

On the other hand, if you want to come up

2 3 4

1

7

6

5

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

here and read a statement and you also want to submit that same statement, God bless you, I will be happy to let you do that.

This is actually a continuation of the public hearing process that began last week in Margaretville, and we heard from 55 or 56 separate speakers during that evening. I have no problem at all with folks who spoke at Margaretville also speaking this evening.

However, what I'm going to do first is, I have a list of those folks who spoke at Margaretville and I have cards. What I have done is separated out the cards of folks who spoke at Margaretville, and I'm going to allow people who have not yet had the chance to speak, to speak first before we allow those individuals who have already spoken the opportunity to speak again.

Obviously from the size of the room, you can understand our need to do that. But I want to assure you that everyone who wants to speak will be given the opportunity to speak. I don't put time limits on people. You take all the time that you want because again, it is

extremely important to the environmental review process and to me as the judge who will be presiding in this case that I get from you all of your concerns with respect to this project.

Now, what's going to happen is this: I'm going to ask a member of the Department staff, Carol Krebs, who is an attorney for the Department who is handling this matter, to give a brief statement. Then we -- I'm going to ask Dan Ruzow who represents the Applicant in this matter to make a brief statement, and then we will take questions and answers from the public.

As a matter of procedure, I will be calling upon elected officials first, or appointed governmental officials first, so I have some cards, if there are folks who fit that description who haven't yet submitted a card, please do so because I will call your names first and then we will move into the comments from other members of the public.

Thank you all for coming out tonight, and let us begin.

MS. CAROL KREBS: Good evening. My name

(Carol Krebs)

is Carol Krebs and I'm an Assistant Regional
Attorney for the Department of Environmental
Conservation. I work out of the New Paltz
Region 3 office and I am the attorney
representing the Department staff working on
the Department's review concerning the
Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park project.

Also here tonight are the following

Department staff from Region 3 office: Mark

Moran, Regional Director who is up here on our

left, Margaret Duke, the Regional Permit

Administrator is down center.

First of all, for those of you who may not be familiar with the DEC permit hearing process, the DEC has not taken any position on the permit applications before the Department at this time. As Judge Wissler has said, the DEC is the lead agency responsible for conducting the environmental review for this project under the State Environmental Quality Review Act, or SEQRA. As a part of that SEQRA process, the Applicant has submitted a Draft Environmental Impact Statement. After several revisions asked for by Department staff, we

(Carol Krebs)

have determined that the Draft Environmental Impact Statement, or the DEIS, is adequate for public review and comment. This does not mean that the DEC is in favor of or opposed to this project, only that we agree that review can begin.

The DEIS is a draft document intended for public review and comment, and as Judge Wissler also stated, these public comments will be considered by the Department in meeting the SEQRA requirements in order to prepare a final Environmental Impact Statement, and as part of the Department's decisions on the various applications for permits. We're here to listen tonight to all of you and we will carefully consider each comment received, both on the DEIS and the permit applications before the

There also is, as Judge Wissler mentioned, written comments can be submitted up to February 24th and we will also carefully review and consider each written comment received.

Thank you for your time and we look forward to your comments.

(Daniel Ruzow)

2 ALJ WISSLER: Mr. Ruzow.

MR. DAN RUZOW: Thank you, Judge Wissler.

Good evening. My name is Daniel Ruzow, I'm

with Whiteman, Osterman & Hanna, a law firm in

Albany, and we represent Crossroads Ventures,

LLC, the Applicant.

We welcome you all this evening. We look forward to hearing your comments on this important project. Our project team leaders are here, Kevin Franke from the L.A. Group, Terresa Bakner, my partner, and we don't want to spend anymore time speaking to you on this subject, so let me introduce Dean Gitter, the managing member of Crossroads Ventures, LLC who will present some brief remarks.

MR. DEAN GITTER: At the request of the judge, much briefer than I had expected. When I entered the building tonight, I passed by a young man who was standing in the cold and carrying a hand-lettered sign that said "Save the Catskills."

Now, the question is, save it from what?

Those of us who are involved in this project

think we have to save it from economic decline,

(Dean Gitter)

the loss of jobs, the loss of -- see, we're all, we're all interested in saving the Catskills, and we all have our point of view as to how we're going to do this. And we're all passionately involved in that argument.

We're all living in the Catskills because we love the quality of life that it presents.

We are not going to love the quality of life that we're going to go through for the next year or two if the atmosphere in this room in the last five minutes is pervasive through the next several months. We don't have to do this. The nature of -- this is my home, sir.

This is my home. Been my home for 34 years.

The nature of the SEQRA process is to identify potentially difficult subjects and to provide ways in which to mitigate down to the smallest possible degree any changes. That does not mean that this project or any other project is not going to involve change. Is this going to affect traffic? Yes. Is it going to create traffic jams? No. Is it going to exceed the capacity of the roads? No. Is it going to going to mean you're going to have to spend

(Dean Gitter)

on certain busy weekends, perhaps two or three or four more seconds before you can enter the stream of traffic? Maybe.

So the basic question I'm presenting is, how do we go about looking at this without catcalls, without boos? We know what your signs say, we know you are passionately committed to the subject. So are we. We think this is the best thing for the Catskills. think it's the worst thing for the Catskills. The State has a process. Tonight is a part of that process. And it is the province of technicians, scientists, experts, consultants to examine all of these issues. We've spent \$4 million in that exercise. The State has spent hundreds and hundreds of hours reviewing this. The City of New York has spent \$600,000 reviewing this. But it is subjected, it can be subjected to objective criteria and tests, not simply emotion.

And I ask you tonight, as I did four years ago when the project was first unveiled, look at this in these objective terms if you can.

But do not, as I heard many people in

1

21 22

20

24

23

(Dean Gitter)

Margaretville do last week, just make up stuff. There is a lot of substantive, there is a lot of substantive information available to everybody. Just try.

ALJ WISSLER: Okay. We're going to hear first from Brian Shapiro, Ulster County legislator. After Mr. Shapiro, we will hear from Helen Chase. I want to apologize in advance if I butcher anybody's name. Mr. Shapiro.

MR. BRIAN SHAPIRO: Thank you, Judge
Wissler. Judge Wissler, as you can see from
the large turnout here tonight, this project
has generated a great deal of interest and
concern, not only my district, but beyond as
well. Given the size and scope of this
project, I respectfully remind and urge the
lead agency to fully commit itself and its
resources to the hard look -- I respectfully
urge the DEC to commit itself to taking a hard
look what's required under the SEQRA process.

My primary concern involves direct and secondary socioeconomic impacts that may occur as a result of this proposal. How will this

(Brian Shapiro)

development affect municipal and county budgets in terms of increases in infrastructure and services? Can the projected benefit of an increase in jobs and associated wages exceed the fiscal impact that local governments and taxpayers may bear? What influence will the proposed project have on the Onteora School District, particularly at a time when school taxes are an issue that affects many of us?

Of great concern to me are projections of an average of 1,747 people staying at the resort, in addition to 700 full-time employees, representing, what I have read, may be a population increase of over 30 percent, now, for the Towns of Shandaken and Middletown.

Now, I understand that when you take a look at figures, figures can be geared this way or that way. But when I came across that statistic, it was particularly alarming to me. So I would urge the lead agency to take a look at that, because if we are talking about these kinds of figures, our area may not be able to grossly and factually absorb these kinds of numbers.

Thank you, Judge Wissler, for taking my comments.

ALJ WISSLER: Helen Chase. After Helen Chase, we'll hear from Sherret and Helen Kelsey Chase.

MS. HELEN CHASE: My name is Helen Chase.

I fill various roles in the community. Tonight
I represent the planning group for the Catskill
Park Centennial, the Historical Society for the
Town of Olive and the Town of Olive Town
Board. I'm one of the councilmen.

I try to be active in the communities in which I live. I live in the Catskill Mountains. I relate closely to the mountains. I also live in Ashokan in the Town of Olive, which is one of the more beautiful towns in the region. It is important to participate in the activities of a community. The participation keeps our hamlets and our region humming with the vitality of its people.

For the past two years, I have chaired a planning group to celebrate the centennial of the Catskill Park in 2004. Our working theme has been the story of how the social fabric of

the variously defined communities within the Catskill Park works together with the wilderness. The wilderness is the particular reason for the creation of the Catskill Park. The Catskill Park is still an experiment in co-existence. We have a history, a past that is a hundred years old, and more, considering that the Forest Preserve within the Catskills was created in 1885. We have a present in which we are still learning to understand our relation to this unusual park.

During this year 2004, you will be seeing these bouncing activities that represent the people who live within this landscape that is surrounded by the blue line. These are our two focuses, the people and the landscape.

We have some very energetic hamlets and we have some sleepy hamlets, but we have no hamlets that are totally devoid of activity. There is a lot happening here. This is an exciting region, when you participate in the church groups, volunteer emergency organizations, the governmental bodies, the veterans groups, the seniors groups, the hiking

clubs, the groups interested in our natural surroundings, the PTA, hunting and fishing groups and on and on.

This past Saturday, the Historical Society of the Town of Olive, of which I am the president, had Herb Hekler, our Ulster County Planner, speak about the 2000 census relating the census figures to the Town of Olive.

Ulster County is one of the largest counties in the state. Two-thirds of the county is mountainous. Olive is growing faster than the neighboring towns. In 1900, the population was 2,465. In 1910, it grew to 4,497 during the construction of the reservoir. In 1920, it shrank to 1,237 after the reservoir was completed, and so many citizens had to move away. The town began growing again, and by 1970, there had been a 42.9 percent leap in growth to 2,857. By 1980, there was another leap of 37.3 percent to 3,924.

However, in 1990, the population grew by only 4.1 percent. This is when IBM was leaving. But by 2000, we had a 12.1 growth

percent growth, to 4,579. We now have a population of about 4,700. Our town's current growth is primarily in second homes.

One interesting fact is that 39.3 percent of working residents of Ulster County commute to jobs outside Ulster County. I have no figures for the Town of Olive. However, I do know that two of us commute daily to Troy, north of Albany because sometimes we see each other on the Thruway. Because of this growth, we in Olive can feel our own stresses to our own infrastructure.

It interests me that the DEIS does not talk about the Town of Olive or the effect that the Belleayre Resort will have on the Town of Olive. The majority of the traffic will come along Route 28 from Kingston and the Thruway right through Ashokan/Shokan and Boiceville.

On Friday afternoons and Sunday evenings, it is difficult now to enter onto Route 28 from side roads. There already exists a plan developed by the New York State Department of Transportation about 20 or so years ago to bypass the Ashokan/Shokan area with a higher

speed alternate route. Admittedly, this route today is probably no longer possible because so many land use changes during the past 20 years have taken place.

However, with increased traffic, something somewhere along the Route 28 corridor will have to give in order to provide more roadway. Will that be in our narrowest portion in the Ashokan/Shokan area?

The Town of Olive is unusual in that it has no town center. Ashokan/Shokan is the closest we have to a town center. Will it be affected to hurry the traffic along toward Belleayre Resort?

After looking through the census 2000 data that Herb gave to the Historical Society, I believe that some of the baseline statistics used in the DEIS are underreported. One topic that stands out as being given little consideration is the impact on the school district. Another is the omission of the effect of traffic on air quality.

What really concerns me is, what will happen if the resort does not survive?

Businesses can fail. The smaller scale of the businesses that are typical in the region is more sustainable. The impact of failure on a large scale affects the small taxpayers who will become responsible for a huge unproductive property. The taxpayers in Olive are already looking at tremendous increases in their town and school taxes. And as a town councilman, I have a great concern about the impact of this on our citizens.

Where did I read that the Belleayre Resort will not pay its full share of taxes during the years of initial building? I don't remember. During this period of several years, the surrounding towns will need to upgrade their own infrastructures to accommodate the increase in traffic to Belleayre Resort. This will be done through our own taxes and will benefit the resort directly.

The Catskill Park includes both public and private lands. It is the public lands that cast the character of the region, the mountains, the streams, the forests. It is the private lands that show the vitality of the

communities that include musicians, artists and craftspeople, individuals providing the necessary services needed by neighbors, small retailers, businesses that utilize the land, professionals who work at home, contractors who find a creative niche in the market. We don't have much manufacturing anymore, but it does exist on a small scale. A project of this scale will forever change the Catskill Park and surrounding towns. A project on a smaller scale will be a better fit into the character of the region. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: For those of you who are going to read a prepared statement, if you could give us a copy of the statement so that we can enter that into the record.

Sherret and Helen Kelsey Chase.

MR. SHERRET CHASE: My wife is standing with me because I may lose my voice tonight and she will take over if I do.

This huge resort projected for our backyard worries me. It will for sure drastically and irreversibly, for better or worse, change this small, self-ruled, locally

focused, self-improving world of ours here in the beautiful Catskill Mountains. The question is, do we need a shining gated city on our ridge?

My connections with the Catskills go way back to the year of my birth, and perhaps nine months before. I was a volunteer and am now a World War II combat veteran from the Town of Olive. In my 85, 86 years of life, this region has changed. In the early 1920s, a man in his prime could earn a dollar a day in hire, \$3 if he had a strong horse. Most did not work for hire. Many paid their property taxes by working on maintaining and improving town roads.

Now, though we complain, we are relatively affluent, most of us. Life is easier today, better for many of us, though the gap between the richer and poorer is growing and growing and property taxes keep going up and up.

I have a great deal of admiration for the thought and daring that have gone into the project under review, for the high design standards of the entrepreneur Dean Gitter, and

for the investment in funds already made and promised, and wish another site had been selected, one for a smaller-scale project more suited to the Catskill region and less disruptive of Catskill life.

We should keep in mind that the outside funding, though vast, is fragile in that it depends on a narrow base of interest and The funding that is here today for being. Crossroads may be gone tomorrow before an acre of ground has been cleared.

Contrary to project hype, we are not a poor, downtrodden people needing a knight in silver armor to rescue us from our plight. do not need to strike a Faustian bargain. do not need a shining gated city on our ridge.

Striking a sane and sustainable balance between the needs of the people of the Catskills and protection of the natural resource base of the region has been one of my main interests in life. I see the future of the Catskills as a place supportive of its people and protective of its fragile environment, a place of small to medium-sized

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

industries, of proud, self-employed people, of artists and artisans, of a tourist industry that spreads across the region, not focused mainly on one site, an industry highlighting the history, cultural and recreational resources of the region, protective of the important watersheds and aquifers, a region small in scale, independent and solid.

In my profession, I am a professor, a geneticist and a hybrid corn breeder. pride in that I've helped to feed the world. am one of the incorporators and was the founding president of the Catskill Center for Conservation and Development. I continue as a director in that organization. And I also serve now as a vice president and director of the Association for the Protection of the Adirondacks, an organization devoted to the protection of the Forest Preserve in the Adirondacks and the Catskills. And I currently serve as chairman of the Friends of the Catskill Interpretive Center, an ad hoc group of local people trying to convert the road to nowhere in Shandaken to a road to the long

sought Interpretive Center for the Catskills, a development project for the whole Catskill region. Today I am representing myself and I have a solution in mind. But first, I want to give you some background.

Crossroads, well named, is a high risk venture based on outside capital and of uncertain future ownership. Built for sale, perhaps for a young Monte Carlo or Las Vegas.

It is large scale, projected for the very center of New York City's watershed, with potential for polluting both the Delaware and the Esopus, and reducing to some degree the supply of water to both. It is well financed, something of a local cottage industry right now with a lot of money sloshing around.

It would, if realized, put heavy pressure on our highways and byways. Route 28, four lanes, strip developed to Pine Hill, Route 28A, Route 30, the byway over Peakamoose and the byway over Winnesook to Frost Valley, and south, Route 212 to Woodstock and Route 42 to the north and Hunter Mountain. Who pays? Who benefits? Route 28 is stressed now.

A day ago I had to wait for 12 cars to pass before I could hastily enter Route 28 from Bostock Road. How soon will the DOT build its projected Route 28 bypass? Adjacent to Forest Preserve lands, next to wilderness areas of the Forest Preserve, the Crossroads development would increase the pressure toward greater degradation of this unique public resource and make more difficult the honoring of our state constitutional obligation under Article 14, that these lands be kept forever wild.

The Crossroads site is also within the Catskill Park, formed 100 years ago this year, a park being honored this year, a place where local people are attempting to strike a sane balance between development and conservation, between daily human needs and protection of our environment, on sustainability of life and more.

The Catskills have experienced outside exploitation before, starting with the patroon system of non-resident land ownership that kept local farmers and craftsmen in debt bondage for years and led in time to the down rent wars.

Delaware County was the center of that revolt, fighting then for economic and political independence.

Later came the denuding of the land for timber and tanbark, the highly speculative quarrying of bluestone, and more recently the taking, at painfully high cost to those displaced, of settled valley land areas for New York City reservoirs, the Ashokan, the Schoharie, the Rondout, the Neversink, the Pepacton in the east branch of the Delaware and the Cannonsville in the west branch.

Catskill history does not lead one to favor large, exploitative, speculative, unneeded, non-resident ventures that take away more from the region than they give. They're a venture, a venture whose profits, if any, will go elsewhere, a venture that would need to bring in and seasonally employ low-cost help in order to make a profit. Jobs we need, but we do not need a shining gated city on our ridge.

Today I will focus primarily on the City, the City of New York, its responsibility to its citizens and taxpayers, its public health

responsibilities and fiduciary
responsibilities. I do have a solution in
mind, a practical solution. Let me speak just
a little further about background.

I cannot understand why the City of New
York with its vast and continuing investment in
the Catskill region for the protection of its
water supply, for protection of waters of a
quality and abundance that is the envy of
metropolitan centers worldwide, did not state
at the start, as a responsible, prudent
governing body, that it could not in good faith
to its citizens and taxpayers tolerate such
major risk to the purity of Catskill waters.

This project, no matter how much mitigation of pollution is promised, presents major risks, continuing risks and chaotic risks. And if successful, Crossroads will grow, doubling or tripling, a spreading fungus in our Catskill forest and City watershed.

The costs to the City will be vast, some 7
to 9 billion dollars, some say, much, much
bigger than Crossroads, if the federal
Environmental Protection Agency, the EPA,

(Sherret Chase)

forces the City to filter and treat Catskill water.

I do not like the fact that the bureaucrats and politicians of the City of New York and the State of New York, hoping someone or something else would stop this project, seeking cover, failed to act when the ante was small. I blame former Mayor Rudolph Guiliani. Yet, I understand how very hard it has been for the DEP and the DEC, too, trying so hard to be fair, that they are bending over backward. I think the administration of these cumbersome agencies are finding it very hard to stand in defense of their larger constituencies because of the local animosity to the authority of the DEP and the DEC.

There are places in the Catskills easier to develop and safer to develop where a project approaching the size, say half the size of Crossroads might do well and fit better. That is, not desicrate the fragile Catskill ridgetop environment and degrade the waters of the Esopus and the Delaware.

I think our governor, Mr. George Pataki,

(Sherret Chase)

and the New York State Department of
Environmental Conservation, the DEC, the agency
who with the governor, is charged with
protection of the Forest Preserve and the
Catskill Park, and specifically with protection
of streams and wildlife and the environment in
general, should now take a strong position of
concern, of opposition to this project.

But it is, first of all, the City of New York through its current mayor, Mr. Michael Bloomberg and then the Department of Environmental Protection headed now by Mr. Christopher Ward, who must bite the bullet, step up to their responsibilities and say no. We too must say no. We do not need a shining gated city on our ridge. We do not need this problem. We need a solution, a graceful, fair way out would be helpful.

I have a solution. Direct, simple and fair to the Crossroads promoter and the Crossroads investor, not inexpensive, but cheap to the City in view of its alternatives. My proposal is that the City buy out the Crossroads land at a price that enables the

(Sherret Chase)

project to recover much of its costs to date.

If the seller is not willing, then I propose that the State of New York condemn the property, pay the appraised pre-development value, and incorporate the land into the Forest Preserve, the best and highest use for it.

Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Okay. Linda Burkhardt.

After Ms. Burkhardt, we'll hear from Richard

Hochman.

MS. LINDA BURKHARDT: Hello. My name is
Linda Burkhardt and I am councilwoman in the
Town of Olive. I am here representing the Town
of Olive and also as a private citizen.

The Town of Olive has great concerns regarding the Crossroads Venture project and the State Route 28 corridor, which is the main thoroughfare in our town. We understand that the DEIS does not adequately address the impact of the potential increase in traffic traveling through Olive, which will be the primary access to this resort. The Town of Olive would like to see what would be done to mitigate this potential traffic situation.

(Linda Burkhardt)

The Town also has long-range concerns regarding this project. If all this heavy traffic were used as a reason to widen State Route 28, it could eliminate several businesses and homes in this corridor. There simply is no room to widen this road.

I ask you to please review this project very carefully and consider the concerns of the surrounding towns, like Olive, that will be affected by this large project.

ALJ WISSLER: Thank you. Richard

Hochman. After Mr. Hochman, we'll hear from

Ward Todd.

MR. RICHARD HOCHMAN: My name is Rick

Hochman. I'm a member of the Town of Olive

Planning Board. I would like to make it clear

that tonight I speak as a member of the

Planning Board but not for the Board itself, as

no formal vote on this issue has been taken.

As a board member, I have reviewed SEQRA documents for subdivisions and site plans. As a volunteer member, I simply do not have the time, nor does the Board have the time to thoroughly review a document that runs

(Richard Hochmann)

thousands of pages and still attend all the other responsibilities here in the Town of Olive and with my job.

However, several board members have already become alarmed by seemingly, seeming internally inconsistent statements that stand out in a cursory review of the DEIS.

I refer to some 800 employees, part time and full time, and yet, the DEIS states quite abruptly that there will be no impact on the school system. And the reason is that these employees will not be of childbearing age. I think that's quite an age group that Mr. Gitter is eliminating.

Also, there's going to be no impact on traffic, and yet there's going to be no housing for these employees created on site. I don't see how 800 employees can go back and forth and not create an impact on traffic in the Town of Olive.

You add to that perhaps 2,000 residents, hotel guests, visitors and golfers on a daily basis. You've essentially almost doubled the population in the Town of Shandaken. The

(Richard Hochmann)

primary way to get from Kingston, from
Ellenville, from the City of New York, from
Albany up into this area is through Route 28,
which goes right through the Town of Olive.

Now, I'm not here to speak against Mr.

Gitter. I'm not here to speak against the resort itself, nor development in the area. An individual has the right to develop land that they own in accordance with the law.

However, they have to do it in accordance with the law, and the law does require a DEIS that is accurate, that's full and that is not evasive or internally inconsistent. I question the DEIS that Mr. Gitter has submitted, and the inherent problem of an Environmental Impact Statement is that it's prepared by and financed by and paid for by the developer. Therefore, it's subject to spin. I feel that this DEIS has been spun pretty hard. It's the responsibility of the lead agency to weed out the spin. I wish you luck.

A hundred years ago this town was split in half by the City of New York when the Ashokan Reservoir was built. I would hate to see a

4 5

(Richard Hochmann)

further rending of this town through the Route 28 corridor with traffic that is not supportable by this town without a question made by the lead agency on that matter to the DEIS.

Thank you very much for your time.

ALJ WISSLER: Ward Todd. After Mr. Todd, we will hear from Claude Shostal.

MR. WARD TODD: Thank you very much, Judge Wissler, and ladies and gentlemen. I will identify myself.

My name is Ward Todd. I'm a resident of the Town of Shandaken and I live right on Route 28, currently president of the Chamber of Commerce of Ulster County. But prior to that selection just this past year, I served four terms on the Ulster County Legislature, as a representative from Shandaken, Olive, Denning, Hardenburgh, and Hurley. I served three terms as the Chairman of the Legislature, and I've also been a charter member of the Catskill Watershed Corporation. I currently serve as vice chairman of the board of directors of the CWC.

23

24

25

1

My purpose in attending the hearing this evening is to offer written and oral testimony on behalf of the Chamber of Commerce in support of this project. The Board of Directors of the Chamber in September of 2002, under the leadership of then President Len Cane and Chairman of the Board Jack Young, approved unanimously a letter of support for the Belleayre Resort project. A letter was submitted to the DEC to Commissioner Erin Crotty as part of this review process. Chamber gave its support after a thorough and extensive study of the economic impacts of this project, including the prospects for an expanded tax base for the town and the county, increased sales tax, as well as the employment opportunities, both during the construction phase and after. The Ulster County Chamber of Commerce believes that those economic benefits and employment opportunities are significant and will benefit a broad spectrum of county residents and businesses.

As I mentioned, I'm also a member of the Catskill Watershed Corporation. And while I'm

not speaking on behalf of the entire Board, I would wish to add a comment from that perspective as well. I make this comment to all the State and City regulatory agencies that we work with.

The upstate watershed towns agreed to sign the Memorandum of Agreement with the understanding that we would be dedicated stewards of the New York City water system as long as the City understood that our residents needed jobs and economic growth in order to survive. And I feel this project is the first test of that MOA signed in 1997.

My other comments are as a life-long resident of the Town of Shandaken, I am a descendent of a family that first settled in these mountains in the 17th century. I have, therefore, a long-range, inherited perspective on a subject which has been raised by opponents of this project, namely that being community character.

It seems to me that while change is inevitable in any community, the people most justified in describing a community's character

20-

1

are those who by reason of long-time residence are able to bring a historical perspective to bear on the question. While those who have lately come to a community will, over time, have added their own influence on the future of that community, they would not seem to have a presumptive right to displace the expectations and social assumptions of long-term inhabitants.

Let me describe as what I see as one of the defining elements of the character of The town lies in a mountainous area Shandaken. with a sometimes harsh localized climate. Summers are short, winters are long and hard. The town's natural economic foundation have slowly eroded over the last hundred years or Forestry has been all but eliminated by the gradual acquisition of the state of almost three quarters of the town and a even higher percentage of the forested areas. disappeared with the New York City replacement of bluestone sidewalks with concrete. Tourism. of mainstay of the economy for over a century, began a slow decline after the Great

2

Depression. Economic survival has not been easy.

4

5

3

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But the people of Shandaken are a tough They have survived and raised their families by their own hard exertions and by a network of cooperative enterprises. Consider this: In a town with only a few thousand permanent residents, there are five separate fire companies, all operated by non-paid volunteers. There are numerous churches and several social organizations, Rotary, the American Legion, the Masonic Lodge, just to name a few. The Women's Auxiliary to the various fire companies, the PTA, among others. There is scarcely a family with long roots in this community that does not have one or more members actively involved and contributing their time and/or resources to one or more of these civic endeavors. It is the total fabric of these civic organizations which, to a large extent, defines the helping nature of this community's character.

Over the last several decades, there has been a significant influx of folks from the

City who have built or acquired second homes in the town. They contribute in many ways to the economic help of the area. First, as significant contributors to the tax base of the town, but also as customers of town businesses, restaurants, convenience stores, service stations and so forth, and as employers of the part-time help, small contractors, snow removers, landscape helpers.

But what many of them cannot do because of the intermittent nature of their residency is commit themselves to active personal involvement in many of these organizations, the ongoing activities of which are crucial to the social health of this community. Many of the most strident voices which have come out in opposition to the economic promise of the Belleayre Resort belong in this category. And for the last few years, they have presumed and still presume to define the character of this community.

Historically, then, what is the most prevalent and steadfast base for the economy of Shandaken and for Middletown, I might add, is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

tourism. Tourism has been the mainstay of the Catskill High Peaks region since the construction of the Catskill Mountain House in Whether in bungalow colonies, 1823. campgrounds, boarding houses, small inns or mighty hotels like the Grand Hotel, accommodations for visitors from the City desiring outdoor recreation and escape from metropolitan bustle have been the mainstay of our local economy. Even today the bulk of economic activity is centered around those tourism-based assets, and the largest employer in the town remains the Belleayre Ski Center. Tourism, then, is both the town's past, but also its present, and most emphatically its future.

Where have these mighty hotels been situated? Well, of course they've been on mountains. Mountaintops and hilltops. The Catskill Mountain House, the Kaaterskill, the Overlook, the Grand, the Stony Clove, Scribner Hollow, Breezy Hill, the Takanassee all have been placed where the views were most dramatic and the likelihood of cooling breezes most

prevalent. That is our history. That is our character. It shaped our lives, and I know it shaped mine.

My fondest personal memories as a teenager were weekends spent with new friends from the Big City and places like the Arlington and DePitts Hotels at a time when the Village of Fleischmanns was a Mecca for tourists. During the summer the volume of pedestrian traffic on the sidewalks literally forced shoppers and vacationers out onto the streets, and there were dozens of stores. I can remember more than 40 in two blocks in Fleischmanns, shops bustled with activity. There were four supermarkets on Main Street in Fleischmanns, including an A&P. The economy was robust. Everyone I knew had a job related to tourism, including the Todds.

The family's business was the first place most visitors saw in this region of the Catskills. The bus stopped first at A.H. Todd & Sons where my father and his father before him and his father before him sold shiny new Ford cars and trucks, and gasoline and fuel oil

and kerosene and coal. Their business would not have been able to succeed and, in fact, to thrive for decades without tourists staying in the hotels to the east and west of Belleayre. This was at a time when there were thousands and thousands of beds for tourists in these hills and mountains. According to the figures from the Belleayre Lodging Bureau today, between Margaretville and Phoenicia, there are not thousands and thousands of rooms, there are Is there any wonder why we have 110 rooms. become a one-day destination for visitors? There are no places for them to stay. drive up from the City, ski, hike, bike, tube or relax, and then drive back the same day, unfortunately very little impact on our local economy.

If anyone, anyone has a question whether our region is better off today than we were 40 years ago, drive through the Village of Fleischmanns today. I cannot tell you how depressing it is to see an area that my ancestors helped build in such a state of disrepair and neglect, caused not by any one

particular person or group, but by the absence of places for travel visitors to stay and outdoor recreational activities to attract them here in the first place.

In my opinion it is abundantly clear that a project that includes hotels and golf courses like this project is very much in keeping with our longstanding, nearly two decades -- I'm sorry -- two centuries old community character.

Thank you very much.

MR. NORMAN TURNER: As co-chair of the Conservation Committee of the Catskill Mountains chapter of Trout Inlimited, I initiated and am coordinating the Birch Creek project, a cooperative effort of the NY DEC, U.S. Fishing & Wildlife Service and several teen chapters to reconnect and improve habitat on Birch Creek. Thus, I have an interest in negative environmental impacts from the Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park if built as proposed.

I bring to your attention a publication of the United States Golf Association,

1.0

(Norman Turner)

"Environmental Principles for Golf Courses in the United States." Contributing organizations include the American Society of Golf Course Architects, the Golf Course Superintendents Association of America, Golf Digest, the Royal Canadian Golf Association and the United States Environmental Protection Agency. Item 4 in its entirety reads, quote, "The presence and extent of some types of sensitive environments may render a site unsuitable or in some cases less suitable for golf course development. Examples include but are not limited to wetlands, habitat for threatened or endangered plant or animal species, and the third item is sensitive aquatic habitats."

Buried in Appendix 20, the title of which should but does not include the word "fish," are documents submitted by Michael J. Flaherty, Region 3 senior aquatic biologist pertinent to aquatic habitats. Of the nine identified streams to be influenced by this project, Birch Creek is classified BTS, which means trout spawning waters.

It is the opinion of Region 3 fisheries,

(Norman Turner)

according to these documents, that five more of the nine be upgraded to this classification, a fact not consistently reflected in the DEIS.

We are talking about a valuable and fragile aquatic resource surrounding Crossroads

Ventures property on all sides.

Birch Creek, Lost Clove Brook, Emory Brook and their tributaries are already impacted by constructive or straightened channels, flood plain incursions from roadways and buildings, runoff pollution from roadways and lawns, water withdrawals for potable water and snow making, acid rain, man-made burrows to fish migration and sewage affluent from the existing Pine Hill plant.

Further impacts from large scale

development above steep slopes feeding into

these drainages can only do more harm.

Possible effects include, and I quote from a

position statement of the American Fishery

Society, "direct and indirect mortality,

habitat nullification or destruction, stream

flow depletion or modification, pre-productive

and behavioral changes, and many others."

(Norman Turner)

I agree with the United States Golf
Association. Sensitive aquatic habitats make
the upper reaches of Belleayre Mountain
unsuitable for golf courses, not to mention
large scale development of any sort.

Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Claude Shostal, then Rollie Devendorf. After Mr. Devendorf, we'll hear from Robert Spiegel.

MR. ROLLIE DEVENDORF: Tourism is the world's largest industry and has been the sustaining business in central New York from the Hudson Valley to the Adirondacks. All businesses in one way or another are dependent on tourists coming to the area with their wallets. Even people who own weekend homes are essentially tourists but account for a great deal of the service and trade business income.

From the mid-1800s when the logging,
quarrying and other industries disappeared, the
region from the Catskills to the Adirondacks
became a tourist-based economy. The state
built ski areas and recreational facilities and
set aside millions of acres as National and

1.7

State parks to complement the tourist hotels attractions.

Since the late '60s, the state, through the Office of Economic Development, the Empire State Development Corporation, the DEC, the State Office of Parks, and other state agencies have spent billions of dollars on the "I love New York" and Catskill advertising campaigns and other programs promoting tourism. Articles 4 and 5A of the Economic Development Law of the New York constitution was specifically enacted to promote tourism and make possible incentives for the private sector to build hotels, golf courses and other recreational tourist facilities. The State itself, through the DEC and Office of Parks, has set aside 300,000 acres as fishing, water sports and recreational areas, campgrounds, in the local state and national parks and operates 27 golf courses, many in our area, all this in an effort to bolster the local tourist-based economy.

In our immediate area, which is Ulster and Sullivan Counties, where there used to be close to 50,000 hotel rooms in the mid-'60s, there

23

24

25

are new fewer than 3,000. The area between
Pine Hill and Fleischmanns alone accommodated
10,000 visitors at a time in the early 1900s.
Today there are less than 80 available hotel
rooms in this area. Is it any wonder now that
the State and federal government have
classified these counties as depressed areas
with one of the highest unemployment rates in
the country caused by the disappearance of the
hospitality industry jobs and related service
and trade businesses?

The Crossroads Ventures project, although considered by some to be large for this area, is small by comparison to what used to be in Pine Hill or the old hotels that used to be in the Catskills such as the Concord, Grossinger's and other more recent projects at Hunter and Windham. The project will, nevertheless, create hundreds of jobs in construction, services, trades as well as generating substantial revenue for the area's local businesses, as well as increase in property values with the rejuvenation of the area.

To the environmental groups, most of whom

do not live in the area, it should be pointed out that of the 1,960 acres owned by the resort developers, less than one quarter of this acreage will ever be disturbed. The remainder will be kept under conservation easements, as open space, never to be developed. And of the less than 500 acres that will be improved, over 400 of those acres will remain green, essentially golf courses, parks, lawns, only developing 500 acres. What can I tell you?

Compared to the several hundred thousand acres of State and New York City-owned lands within the Catskills, this is less than a drop in the bucket.

For the people that voiced concern over water problems, when Pine Hill accommodated several thousand people, there never was a problem. Belleayre Ski Area uses more water in three months of snowmaking than these hotels would use in a whole year, and that water goes right back in the ground in the spring when it melts.

These environmental groups, along with New York City DEP, are trying to impose bans and

restrictions on any building and economic growth in our area. This puts Mayor Bloomberg, the DEP and other opponents in direct opposition to the State's economic and tourism development programs that date back over four governors' administrations to Rockefeller at a great effort and expense. The environmental impact studies of the Crossroads Ventures project have shown that there would be no undue strain on the ecology or residents of the area. The few hundred people that would use the hotels on a weekend and would drive on Route 28 would have far less effect than the 10 to 15,000 skiers that currently go to Belleayre every weekend.

The opponents of this project are interfering with the local's rights to earn a living, keep a roof over their heads and put food on the table by fighting the State-promoted improvements to the one sustaining industry in the area, tourism.

Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Robert Spiegel. After Mr. Spiegel, we'll here from Thomas Emerick.

б

MR. ROBERT SPIEGEL: My name is Bob

Spiegel. I probably get the award for

traveling the furthest tonight to come to this

public hearing. I'm actually coming three

hours in from Edison, New Jersey, and I guess

I'm one of these environmental groups that was

just mentioned, but I'm really here to talk a

little bit about this project for a variety of

reasons, because it's really more personal to

me.

Talking about dollars, tourism dollars, this area, the Catskills, I've been coming to for quite some time and I came here because exactly that reason, the eco-tourism of the area. I love this area. Where I live in Edison, New Jersey, 25 years ago, we used to be all farms. We were all farms, we had a lot of open space and we had the same kind of life that you guys have now. We had clean water we could drink out of the ground, we had plenty of animals in the area, and then something happened. The developers came in. And they made the same kind of promises to the community that these developers are making to you

tonight. There's going to be no impact on water quality. This isn't going to hurt the environment. As a matter of fact, they used to tell us things like this development is going to help the environment, you know, this parking lot is going to improve drainage, and they would bring in their experts, they would bring in their consultants and they would put together their views.

And at the time, our regulators and our elected officials for a variety of reasons allowed these developments to go in. And now I have to come up here because where I live, you can't drink the groundwater anymore. It's too polluted. There is no more open space for the wildlife. That's gone. And in many cases the developers are long gone too. So the communities are left picking up the bill when the developers go belly up and walk away when they have run into financial problems because as you know, an LLC means -- well, I'm not going to go into what it means. I'll tell you privately.

But if this development goes through, I

can tell you that I'll be looking for another place to go. I have a daughter that's 15 years old and a son that's 13. My brother comes up here, we come up here and we spend money. We spend money in the shops, we spend money in the gas stations, we spend money in the hotels, and we don't just come up for a day, we normally come up for a weekend. This is the place to come. If you live in the city, if you live in New Jersey, this is the place to come.

I can go through point by point the DEP's letter that they sent why they don't like this project, I can talk about the water quality, I can talk about the soil, I can talk about the runoff, the pollution, the pesticides. You know, the DEIS has so many holes, even Stevie Wonder could see it.

The Applicant would have you believe that this is going to benefit you. There's a lot of articulate people that have gotten up so far and a lot more that are going to get up, so I'm going to let them speak, but you guys don't believe that news letter, what's it called, the doublecross -- the crosswalk or whatever it's

called. I looked at that, and don't believe a word of it. This development is not balanced. That's the key word here is balanced. It does not have any balance. It's going to come in like a giant weight and it's going to impact this entire community, and it's not going to do anything to help the jobs, it's going to draw folks like me that come up here and spend our money to look elsewhere. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Thomas Emerick. After Mr. Emerick, we'll hear from Joel Benton.

MR. THOMAS EMERICK: Hello. My name is Tom Emerick. I'm with the Catskill Mountains Chapter of Trout Unlimited, chair of the Conservation Committee. I have concerns that this project will degrade the ecological aquatic ecosystem of the Birch Creek and its tributaries. I have concerns about the clear-cutting of 600 acres of trees, that it will cause increased runoff, increasing subfacing of the stream and affect insect population and the spawning trout that Mr. Turner talked about earlier.

The trees, these are oaks that all of us

(Thomas Emerick)

have made here. Just look at a field and see the snow drift away. That water is not being held there. That water is not available to melt and to provide water through filtration to the springs down below, to the groundwater that is very important to the survival of the trout in these streams as noted by Mike Flaherty in this document, the DEIS document.

Trees slow down raindrops and decrease their impact on soil and direct the rain along their trunks and roots into the soil. isn't rocket science. This has been documented many times before. This allows for infiltration and recharging of groundwater supply. The shading by the trees also moderates soil temperatures and influences the temperature of runoff. If you take away the trees, you have a rain event, you have warmer runoff. I think it's been noted before that trout are a very temperature-dependent. other words, if the water temperatures exceed 70 degrees for any length of time, trout can parish because of oxygen depletion in the The warmer water holds less oxygen than water.

(Thomas Emerick)

colder water.

I have concerns about fertilizer runoff from this project, and I don't think anybody in this room can say that fertilizer runoff won't occur or that episodal events won't occur beyond what has been, you know, predicted by the weather.

This past summer provided many good examples. I think those of you over in Roxbury experienced a four and a half inch rain event and then those of you maybe 15 miles away only experienced a half inch rain event on the same day. So to predict what the rain event will be, and I'll apply the fertilizers and the pesticides to coincide with those rain events, I'm suggesting that's a very unpredictable thing, and I don't see how they can state with any certainty that there will be no adverse effects.

In addition to the fertilizer runoff, we also have sewer plant effluent and combined nitrogens and phosphorus from these effluents and fertilizer runoff which cause algae growth. During sunlight periods, we have

(Thomas Emerick)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

photosynthesis, maybe you have increase in oxygen in the stream. But at nighttime when those algaes respire, they inject carbon dioxide into the stream which is known to tie up oxygen in the water molecule and produce carbonic acid. Again, oxygen deletion. haven't known any trout to put on scuba gear at night to make it through until morning when photosynthesis starts again. So I do have concerns about the trout and I think it's been well said before, we don't appreciate what we have until it's gone, and Birch Creek is one-fifth of the Esopus Creek flow at Allaben. It's very important to the Esopus Creek trout fishery and it should be protected. you.

ALJ WISSLER: Joel Benton. After Mr. Benton, we'll hear from Frank Fallon.

MR. JOEL BENTON: My name is Joel Benton.

I live in Shandaken. I'm really nervous to be standing here tonight, but I felt as if I had to get up and speak out against this project.

There are a couple of specific points that I wanted to point out from the DEIS that I wanted

(Joel Benton)

to bring out, although there are hundreds of points and reasons why this project should not be allowed to go forward.

My first point is the DEIS itself, you know, I tried searching this document and it was so difficult to search on the web, it's unwieldy, there is no index. If there is something specific you want to find, you can't find it. You know, I became frustrated and angry.

This is something that's going to affect thousands of people adversely, and this is -this document should be accessible to everyone and it should be readable, and I think an extension should be granted, at least 180 days, for review by the public and by the DEP. And the more that I searched, the more that it seemed to me that the people who developed this DEIS and who are responsible for disseminating this don't want us to see it. They don't want us to understand what's in it, and it makes me wonder why.

Another point that I wanted to bring up, it's been spoken -- it's been brought up

(Joel Benton)

several times, is traffic. I worked in the construction industry all my life and most of the people have been talking about what seems to be traffic by cars. But I see what heavy traffic can do, what heavy trucks can do to a road. You know, if they plan on the 5 or 600 acres that they want to clear-cut, all that timber has to be brought out with logging trucks. Dump trucks are going to be hauling out blasted rocks, you're talking about thousands and thousands of incidents of trucks going up and down 28.

And my question is, when that road has to be resurfaceed, who is going to pay for that?

Is Dean Gitter going to pay for it or are the taxpayers going to pay for it?

My second point is the water. The gentleman that just spoke before me, I think brought up a good point. No one can predict the weather and no one can predict the future. I don't care how sophisticated your computer model programs are. People and computer programs can't predict the weather. We had a draught here two or three summers ago, and the

(Joel Benton)

reservoirs were virtually dry. And, you know, I'm just wondering what will happen if we get two or three years of draught. What's going to happen to the aquifers? Are they going to stop watering their golf courses? I don't think so. I think to me that may well be the very serious, the most serious problem that we face.

I guess that's all I have to say. Again,
I would like to reiterate, I think the need for
an extension exists. I think it's really
important that it be scrutinized because it
does affect so many people. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Frank Fallon. After Mr. Fallon, we'll hear from Bill O'Neil.

MR. FRANK FALLON: Frank Fallon, I'm a resident of Mt. Tremper in the Town of Shandaken since 1995. I'm concerned about this proposal to build the Belleayre Resort in the heart of the Catskill Park. I have grown to love the natural beauty and quiet of this area. I hike regularly on the trails that are maintained by the DEC, and am aspiring to membership in the Catskill 3500 Club because I

(Frank Fallon)

love to climb the big peaks and see the views.

The Catskill Forest Preserve and Catskill
Park were formed out of a concern to preserve
wilderness areas while still allowing people to
live in them. I feel that a \$300 million
development many times the size of the Wal-Mart
shopping center in Kingston is overwhelming in
scope and does not belong here. My sense is
that it will permanently disrupt our community
with the politics of big money.

In order to oppose this project, I am asked to read a 3,000-page Environmental Impact Statement and present factual counter-arguments to the claims of the developer and his highly paid consultants. What private citizen can read and digest this encyclopedia? How can we stand before you in a hearing and intelligently question the scientific and financial data presented in it?

Yet, you have granted only 75 days to evaluate one of the most complex proposed developments on record for this area. I believe an extension is called for so that experts hired by the Town of Shandaken and by

(Frank Fallon)

New York City's Department of Environmental

Protection have a chance to fairly evaluate,

test and intelligently reply to the claims in

this document.

We who live here and those millions of citizens whose water supply comes from this area must have a voice in these hearings that reaches beyond the vested interests of a handful of private investors. A public trust like the Catskill Park should not be exploited on this scale for the economic benefit of the few. It is my hope that DEC will not grant approval or permits for this resort and will instead redirect development within the Catskill Park boundary to smaller projects.

ALJ WISSLER: Bill O'Neil. After Mr. O'Neil, you'll hear from Thayer Case.

MR. BILL O'NEIL: Good evening. My name is Bill O'Neil, and I'm a resident of Mt.

Tremper. I lived in this area in the mid-'90s and I moved back last summer. I love the old rolling beauty of the mountains, rivers and the friendly towns of Catskills.

When I heard that there was a proposed

(Bill O'Neil)

mega-resort, I want to know how it's going to affect my town. How high are the taxes going to rise? How many people would be moving here? How many more students would be in the schools? And, what is going to happen to the river that runs by my front door?

I want to look at the DEIS for the answers to these questions, but I've had a really hard time getting ahold of this. In mid-December when I learned that the review process had begun, I wanted to find this impact statement. The developer's web site was down for several weeks, and the DEC web site only contained the executive summary and the table of contents.

It's going to take me a long time to go through this document and understand what the impact is going to be to the mountains, rivers and communities that I love. I'm not a lawyer or a scientist, but I am a computer consultant who has worked for Wall Street firms. If I were going to post a document on the Internet that I did not want anyone to read, I would do it exactly the way the developers have done.

I brought a prop. This is one of my

(Bill O'Neil)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

computer manuals. It's 1,300 pages long. publisher included a PDF file which is the same format as the DEIS. I can do a computer search through all 1,300 pages of this book and be shown every instance of the word in that document. Each chapter is also shown to me on the left side of the page. I can select any chapter and immediately go to it. This is the way someone who wanted to make their publication accessible would format it for downloading from the web. If I were going to download this document from a standard phone modem like an AOL account, it would take 18 minutes. If the DEIS had been formatted this way, it would take a little less than an hour The DEIS as formatted on the to download. developer's website, the Town of Shandaken's website and the small portion that is on the DEC website would take 49 hours and 36 minutes to download.

The other environmental impact statements on the DEC website are searchable, bookmarked and similar in size to the one that came with this manual. The developer's DEIS cannot be

(Bill O'Neil)

searched, the chapters are not bookmarked. In fact, because of the size of many of these documents, just scrolling through it is virtually impossible on most home computers. This type of technical obstruction concerns me. It makes me wonder what the developer is trying to hide.

This hearing is designed to look at the viability of this document, to ensure that the Draft Environmental Impact Statement has been properly completed. I have not been able to review this document because I have been unable to gain proper access to it. For this reason, I am asking the DEC to reconsider the request of the DEP to extend the review period 180 days.

As the DEP stated in their letter to the DEC, given the number of comments expected, coupled with the technical nature of the review, providing a shorter review period than 180 days would be a disservice to the essential purpose under SEQRA of subjecting projects to a hard look. I echo this request. It will give me the time that I need to review this document

and hopefully answer my questions.

Thank you for your time.

ALJ WISSLER: Thayer Case. After Mr. Case, we'll here from Susan Bolitzer.

THAYER CASE: I will be speaking on behalf of the Zen Environmental Studies
Institute, otherwise known as ZESI. We are not-for-profit religious corporation based in Mt. Tremper and in the Adirondacks providing education on the environment from the Zen Buddhist perspective by conducting workshops and ecological workshops.

For example, for the past two years, we have conducted a year-round monitoring program that tests the quality of water and its environment at our Catskill and Adirondacks sites. We also have a team of environmental lawyers, biologists and ecologists who act as advocates for those who cannot speak for themselves; the trees, rivers, plants and animals, all that live with us here in the Catskills.

We have all been given a very short amount of time to give this important document the

3 .

thorough-going review it deserves, and we would request for 180-day extension as well.

But even a general glance raises some serious concerns about the proposed Belleayre Resort and the validity of the core of this First and foremost, we question the document's exclusive use of computer modeling to measure potential environmental impact. A former biological research scientist who is a ZESI member was one of the first to explore the limits of computer simulations. She found that these simulations are not adequate indicators of complex ecological systems such as our precious watershed. Her research made clear the need for actual hard data over and beyond the crunched numbers of computer simulations in order to achieve a realistic picture of possible outcomes. We are greatly disturbed by a project of this magnitude being founded on such questionable evidence.

The insufficient nature of computer model science is acknowledged by even the designers and programmers of GLEAMS, one of the three types of simulation software used by the DEIS.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

On page 18 of the Appendix 15, it is claimed that, quote, the GLEAMS model was developed by the U.S. EPA to predict runoff and leaching losses, end quote. This is in direct contrast to the statement issued by GLEAMS itself, which states clearly that, quote, GLEAMS was not developed as an absolute predictor of pollutant loading. This is a tool for comparative analysis. GLEAMS itself clearly admits that it is not a sufficient predictor of environmental impact. On these grounds, and these grounds alone, this draft of the environmental impact is inadequate.

But we don't want to rush to conclusions, so we decided to focus on a single section of the draft to get a closer look at how this scientific method of modeling was applied, and also because that was the only amount of time we had to do it in.

We chose a 67-page Appendix 15 on the risk assessment of fertilizer and pesticides.

Through this up-close reading, our concerns about the methodology and the hypothetical conclusions of the DEIS were only increased.

Consider the following examples:

On page 10, recommended levels for pesticides in drinking water were available for 11 of the approximately 50 chemicals tested. The acceptable levels of the remaining 39 chemicals are, as yet, unknown. Despite this fact, the DEIS uses a blanket level of 50 parts per billion as an acceptable level for these chemicals in drinking water. We feel this blanket level is arbitrary and incomplete science. According to the data provided by the Environmental Protection Agency and others, two of the proposed chemicals are known carcinogens, eight are completely lacking data on their biological and health effects, three are not found in available online indices of pesticides at all, and 24 have suspected major health hazards, such as birth and growth defects, liver toxicity and/or lung problems.

Two, another question about the simulation model of the DEIS. How can a soil's maximum absorption rate of chemicals in combination with each other and their solvents and surfactants be adequately measured in a model

3

2

1

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

without actual examples of real soil from the real site of the proposed golf courses? How can the real interactions between these real chemicals be accurately surmised from a virtual simulation?

Three, furthermore, the simulations of the DEIS seem to account for the use of each chemical in isolation. But in actuality, multiple chemicals are used together, not to mention the effects of degradation products, solvents and surfactants.

Four, throughout this appendix, there is an expectation that the chemicals will disappear in a short amount of time. This seems highly unrealistic. The degradation products of these chemicals are likely to bind to soil in ways similar to their original compounds, creating an unaccounted-for chemical buildup which in time could lead to unpredicted pollutant runoff.

Number five, this is all the more alarming because the models were based on a period of one year. Considering that the half life of some of these chemicals stretch between 90 and

2000 days, or roughly six years, we wonder about the validity of a test that can't measure the more long-term effects of the chemicals, the potency of which will still be considerable at the end of assimulated test period.

Six, further, we have concerns about the use of 1996 weather patterns in the draft.

Using 1996 as the model year in no way accounts for the changing weather patterns of the last eight years, changes that saw record rainfall for this region last year, like the person who was speaking before was talking about.

Seven, the data on all chemical and fertilizer application is based on the assumption that only one or two applications will be made in a year period. Given the fact that these chemicals are said to be spot applied on demand and not on a predetermined schedule, does the draft give a realistic sense of how often Belleayre Mountain will require such spot applications. And where do we get the number of one or two applications per year? How do we know more won't be needed?

These seven shortcomings found in this

67-page appendix alone are enough to raise serious concerns about the accuracy of this 3,500-page DEIS. It seems clear that additional real hands-on science is needed in order to get an adequate sense of what the impact of this development would be on our watershed and on our communities and on our lives. Please let's take the time to look at this more closely.

This said, we need to ask ourselves what would happen if there was significant water pollution as a result of this development. For starters, it would force New York City, as we've been mentioning before, for the first time in history to filter its water and foot the bill for a multi-billion dollar filtration system, a filtration system that would in no way address the pollution of our own local drinking water, let alone the health of our waterways, its fish and the communities of children and families who enjoy bathing, tubing, swimming and fishing.

ZESI believes we must be responsible to the community of one of the few remaining

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

wilderness areas in our country. We should remember the legislation that was passed by the people of New York in the 1800s that created the Catskill Park and the Adirondack Park. These regions were entrusted to the DEC to uphold the balance of land use and conservation. ZESI understands the DEC to be the state-sponsored steward of the rivers, streams and forests of our Catskills. expect an agency that publishes the 11 award-winning magazine "The Conservationist," 12 and leads education programs that, quote, encourage the stewardship of natural resources 14 and the enhancement of environmental quality, 15 end quote, to be meticulous in their evaluation 16 and reevaluation of the DEIS and open to the 17 concerns of the public to whom they ultimately 18 19 serve.

The scale of this project seems excessive and irresponsible. Is there really a need for two private adjacent 18-hole golf courses in the New York City watershed region when the Catskill already enjoys 50 golf courses, including 16 courses in Ulster, 9 in Delaware

and 13 in Sullivan, especially when, according to the <u>Wall Street Journal</u>, the golf industry, quote, threatens to become a king size bust?

As we consider this proposed development, we strongly encourage anyone who loves these mountains and rivers to continue to reflect deeply on how a development of this kind and scale will affect our communities.

In closing, ZESI appreciates this forum set up by the DEC to publicly express their views and the views of the public. What happens to the Catskills is up to us. We need not be passive spectators of the destruction of our community, nor passive recipients of an ill-conceived future we did not choose. In the months ahead, it will be us who determines the shape of these mountains and rivers and the health of our future generations. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Susan Bolitzer.

MS. SUSAN BOLITZER: My name is Susan
Bolitzer and I'm representing this evening the
Esopus Creek Conservancy in Saugerties. As
designated in our bylaws, the Esopus Creek
Conservancy, located in Saugerties, New York,

(Susan Bolitzer)

is an organization founded to conserve open space in the Esopus watershed. We are concerned that the proposed Belleayre park Resort poses a substantial negative environmental threat to our region, as well as your region, and in particular to the Esopus Creek and its watershed.

The Esopus will be receiving runoff from the eastern portion of the project, which is the largest portion, about 80 percent of the project, and which will also entail blasting for construction. Turbidity in the Esopus, already a serious problem threatening our water quality, fish and wildlife, as well as recreational use of the creek will certainly get worse if this development is approved.

We applaud the work of the Friends of the Catskill Park, and we agree with them in the following statement, and I quote: A mountaintop development of this magnitude requiring massive deforestation to make way for hundreds of acres of buildings, roads, parking lots and golf courses, removes the natural water filter that a forest provides. Storm

(Susan Bolitzer)

water runoff from deforested land and impermeable surfaces will open the way for potential pollutants to enter the waterways that empty into the two reservoirs.

So much of what is here has been said and so I'm cutting it out, but I think it's important for all of us to remember that we're saying these things over and over again, but these effects are going to affect us all over and over and over again for maybe hundreds of years and further down the creek and further into New York City. So I apologize for cutting out some of what I'm going to read. I'm going to get it here, but it's pretty drastic, the effect that it's going to have.

Just in addition to the above, we are concerned that the negative impact on the area caused by proposed clear-cutting of trees, introduction of pesticides, herbicides, fungicides and fertilizers, depletion of water in the region, increased traffic on our roads and marring of mountain views. Although the lands we seek to protect below the reservoir are well downstream from the prospective

(Susan Bolitzer)

Belleayre project, the potential for certain effects on human, animal and plant habitats will be permanent and disastrous.

I'm speaking tonight for the Board of the Esopus Creek Conservancy, and they've asked me to let you know that. Barry Benepe, Vernon Benjamin, Rosemarie Brackett, Diana Bryan, March Gallagher, Mark Grunblatt, Josepha Gutelius, Ron LeBlanc, Kelly Myers, Mary O'Donnell, Chris Olney, Leeanne Thornton, Tara Schatz and Benno Schmidbaur, and especially I would like to thank all of you who are doing this wonderful, very detailed and technical and time-consuming work, I know it's overtaking your lives, and helping to protect us in the way you're protecting the land here.

Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Joanne Davis on behalf of Councilman Steve Knight. After Ms. Davis, we'll hear from Dan Ahouse.

MS. JOANNE DAVIS: Steve Knight of
Woodstock wanted very much to address this
forum at its original time, but his
responsibilities as a town councilman do not

(Joanne Davis)

permit him to come today. He has sent a brief statement, messages to the forum.

"In this polarized situation, we find the mass of residents of this area arrayed against the Crossroads Ventures plan. While on the pro-Crossroads side, we find business entrepreneurs and elected officials. What's wrong with this picture?

As an elected official, I know that there are times when you need to have the people listen to you and times when you need to listen to the people. This is a time to listen to the people.

"The enormity of the Crossroads project will change life for all who live in this area, who have invested our lives in a place of natural beauty, rural simplicity and relative safety, all things we deeply desire for ourselves and for our children. If the small and ambitious minority favoring this huge development project gets its way, the rest of us will find ourselves in a revved-up world foreign to our intentions and our hopes. The Route 28 corridor, in which this school stands,

(Joanne Davis)

will become a more dangerous place for us all, and especially for our children. The economic dynamic in which we have found places will be skewed to benefit a small group of folks who find the dollar more persuasive than anything else.

"There is a critical difference between business interest and the public interest, a difference that some would like to obscure. To the powers that be, I plead, respect the land as it is. Respect the people. Do not turn your backs on us. Listen to us and allow us to live as we have chosen to live. It's the right thing to do. Steve Knight."

ALJ WISSLER: Dan Ahouse. After Mr. Ahouse, we'll here from Jay Dailey.

MR. DAN AHOUSE: Thank you and good evening, ladies and gentlemen. I'm here this evening representing Congressman Maurice
Hinchey. Unfortunately Congressman Hinchey is in Washington listening to the State of the
Union this evening and couldn't be with us. He did give me a statement that he asked that I read this evening, so I will do that.

23

24

25

"I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments this evening regarding the development proposal submitted by Crossroads Ventures, LLC for the Belleavre Resort at Catskill Park. This is an issue of great importance to the Towns of Olive and Shandaken and for the entire Catskills region, as well as the 9 million people who depend on the Catskill/Delaware Watershed for their drinking water. the Department of Environmental Conservation for holding this important meeting to begin substantive public discussion on this proposal whose impact will certainly be far-reaching.

"From the onset of this project, I have encouraged both the DEC and the New York City Department of Environmental Protection to assume lead agency status for the environmental review of the project. I did so because I believe it to be imperative that proposals such as this one receive careful and thorough analysis to determine their impact on the surrounding communities and environment. It is particularly essential to understand fully the effects such developments may have on the New

York City Watershed and the surrounding
Catskill Forest Preserve. Both the DEP and DEC
possess the resources and expertise necessary
to thoroughly evaluate potential impacts of the
project. While the DEP is not serving in a
lead agency role, its continuing active
participation in the review process is
critically important to ensuring the protection
of safe drinking water supplied by the
Catskill/Delaware watershed.

"The New York City Watershed is the most important natural resource in all of New York State. It provides clean drinking water to over 9 million people downstate and thousands of people in Ulster County and elsewhere along the aqueduct as well as to the smaller reservoirs and wells supplying water locally. Protecting water quality in the watershed is necessary to ensure the health and well-being of all of those who use these water supplies as well as to preserve the economic vitality of our local communities in the watershed.

Degradation of water quality in the watershed would jeopardize New York City's compliance

with the Filtration Avoidance Determination granted by the Environmental Protection Agency and force the construction of a multi-billion dollar filtration system. At that point, one of the purest and most wholesome water supply and distribution systems ever constructed will have suffered a serious and irreversible decline. Maintaining water quality in the Catskills is also critical to protecting the fisheries that attract so many visitors to the region and the economic benefits that they provide.

"The 300,000 acres of forever wild Forest Preserve land within the Catskill Park also serves as an important recreational area and ecological and scenic reserve. It remains one of only two constitutionally protected open spaces in the State of New York. Revenue generated by the more than one-half million annual visitors to the affected areas remains the lifeblood of the economy for communities within this part of the Catskills. Any action that would negatively impact the scenic and ecological resources within the Park would have

significant adverse consequences to the tangible economic benefits currently being realized within these communities. It is important to note that the proposed project is sited adjacent to the single largest track of forever wild land in the Forest Preserve, which further raises concerns about the impact of this proposal on these lands.

"The development proposed by Crossroads

Ventures is of a scale never before seen in the

Catskills. Because of its size and its

environmentally sensitive location, it is

imperative that the project receives rigorous

scrutiny. I encourage the DEC and the DEP to

use every opportunity to ensure that the

proposed project is thoroughly and scrupulously

examined in light of the high standards set for

development within the watershed and that any

effect on the region's most valuable resource,

water, be carefully and completely considered

and clearly understood.

"It is critical to the future of this region and the important natural resources that it contains that this proposal's impact on the

environment and surrounding communities are fully evaluated by the DEC. The potential negative effects of this development on the watershed and its surrounding environs will have tremendous long-term implications. I encourage the DEC to work with all interested organizations and individuals, many of whom share concerns that I have raised here this evening, in order to provide the clear understanding of all potential impacts of the proposal and conduct the required environmental review carefully, cautiously and exhaustively.

"Thank you again for considering my remarks, and please don't hesitate to contact me if I can be of any further assistance regarding this important matter.

Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Jay Dailey on behalf of Assemblymember Kevin Cahill

MS. JAY DAILEY: I am here to represent Assemblymember Kevin Cahill. He asked me to join you tonight to hear your thoughts and concerns. Assemblymember Cahill believes in the importance of allowing all parties the

(Jay Dailey)

1.3

1.8

opportunity to make their voices heard. This process is about striking a balance among responsible economic development, community sensibilities and environmental stability. Mr. Cahill believes in the integrity of the process in place to review proposals such as this and equally respects the views of each person in the community. Please be assured that our office will continue to monitor this issue closely. Thank you for your time and for sharing your thoughts.

ALJ WISSLER: Bruce Duffy. After Mr. Duffy, we'll hear from Angela Caponigio.

MR. BRUCE DUFFY: My name is Bruce Duffy.

I've been life-long resident of Catskills. A

little bit of background about me. About the

last several years I've had the opportunity

with New York City DEP and a couple other

agencies to study the science of

fluvial-geomorphology, how the land reacts to

the storm water. Also with Ulster County

Environmental Management Council, I'm chairman

of water quality. I've attended many

state-given seminars on storm water management,

so I feel qualified to talk about storm water runoff tonight. I'm also the executive chairman for the Catskill/Delaware Natural Water Alliance. I'm also heavily involved in Trout Unlimited, and tonight our comments will be coming from the Catskill/Delaware Natural Water Alliance.

We feel that the Environmental Impact
Statement for the Belleayre Resort does not
properly address impacts to the brooks and
streams that drain the land that this project
is to be built on. These same waters are world
class trout streams and unfiltered drinking
water supply for millions that live in New York
City. This qualifies them for the highest
classification of stream protection in New York
State.

The land change from mature forest to golf course lawn and/or meadow will create a storm water runoff that can be as high as 10 to 15 times greater than the present runoff. In a forest, over 50 percent of a rain event never reaches the ground. The trees absorb and evaporate the majority of the precipitation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The remainder of the precipitation that reaches the ground is mostly absorbed in the ground by the root systems in the forest. Belleayre Resort states that it will comply with all the most resent storm water regulations. regulations are designed to address first flush runoffs, which are the most toxic. Any amount after the first flush runoff is runoff. Belleayre Resort also stated that it would recycle runoff for irrigation and other uses. The reality is that once your containment devices are full, the rest is overflow and runoff, which finds its way to the nearest brook and stream at a rate that could be as high as 10 to 15 times higher than normal. handle this increased runoff, streams have to adjust, which means they widen, they deepen, they establish larger flood plains, and in some cases even change course.

The science of fluvial-geomorphology

describes this process as high erosion,

elevated rates of sediment bed load transport,

increased levels of turbidity. To quote Dave

Rosgen, an expert in stream restoration, the

process can take 50 to a hundred years before a stream naturally stablizes itself. Increased sediment and bed load will eventually find its resting place filling the Ashokan Reservoir.

The same is also true for the portion of the project which drains into the Pepacton Reservoir. During this process, aquatic life would be severely impacted, bridges designed for a 50-year flood may not be adequate, and homes that were not in flood plains may soon be in newly established flood plains.

Just recently, a stream restoration project on the Esopus Creek in Phoenicia was completed. The project used cutting-edge geomorphic practices based on 50 years of stream gauge data that established discharge curve used in calculating the project design. This restoration project protected 10 homes with septic systems and a county bridge, and the project cost \$900,000.

The deforestation of 500 acres for golf courses, not to mention impervious surfaces such as roads, parking lots, buildings, which contribute to runoff, will severely impact the

,24

normal discharge curve of this region. The entire downstream watershed will be jeopardized, including 900,000 restoration project, from streams resizing to handle the increased runoff.

We strongly recommend that the DEC consult with Don Lake, one of the experts on storm water runoff, Fishneck Engineering, designer of the restoration project, and FEMA to discuss possible impacts to flood plain insurance.

We also believe that this environmental review process is flawed. Why is Belleayre Resort exempt from the standard requirement to list alternatives to this project? We expect New York DEC to hold this applicant to the same standards as other applicants. Thank you.

MS. ANGELA CAPONIGIO: Angela Caponigio.

I can only come to you with my heart, my
experience and my doubt. I worked in a
corporation where one of my jobs was to
actually type up the itineraries for executives
that went to resorts. Not one itinerary for
either a business or a summer itinerary
included a trip to the local town, not one. So

(Angela Caponigio)

therefore, I have a doubt about the 11.81 million off-site spending.

I've participated in three gentrifications of three neighborhoods where they promised jobs and housing. The union came in. I laughed, with an inflated rack across from my house, and the unions came in, and when the project was finished, we could no longer afford to live there. So for the 20.5 million wages and salaries per year, I have a doubt.

I participated in the displacement of a corporation for a 78-story multi-million dollar housing project, and whether the businesses will even still be there that they promised, they weren't there. And because of this, I have a doubt. So those businesses were definitely gone way before this building was finished.

So because of the doubt in the hearts of the people that I feel and because of the doubt that I feel and the doubt that's very palpable in this room, I ask that you extend, that the 180-day extension be granted. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Karen Charman. After Ms.

Charman, we'll hear from Keith Barkett.

MS. KAREN CHARMAN: Hi. My name is Karen Charman and I'm a resident of Shandaken full time. I'm also an investigative journalist and I specialize in writing about environmental and health issues. I have serious concerns about the environmental impacts of Crossroads

Ventures' proposed Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park because of the wild lands that it will destroy, what it's proposing to build, and the traffic, environmental degradation and increased financial cost to town residents that would inevitably result from such an enormous development.

One of my concerns is the proposal to put two golf courses up on the ridge above Pine
Hill. The developer has tried to cast his project as a model of environmental responsibility, but the list of fungicides, insecticides and herbicides for the golf courses that show up in the DEIS belie that claim.

Here are just a few from the list.

Carbaryl, an insecticide, has a wide range of

harmful health effects from acute toxicity, suppression of immune system functions, and behavioral problems to cancer, genetic damage and reproductive problems in both males and females.

Other insecticides listed in the DEIS, acephate, bendiocarb and trichlorfon, are known to cause headaches, nausea, rashes, aching joints, disorientation and various forms of cancer, as does the fungicide chlorthalonil.

Thiram, another fungicide, is a nervous system toxin, as is the insecticide chlorpyrifos.

Chlorpyrifox is commonly known as Dursban.

Dursban, by the way, was banned from most indoor and outdoor residential uses by the EPA three and a half years ago because of its toxicity, especially to children.

Dicamba, an Herbicide, or weedkiller, that also shows up in the DEIS, is toxic to unborn babies. Pendimethalin, another herbicide, is toxic to the liver. Now, it is true that these products are legal. However, that does not make them safe.

We're fortunate enough to live in a place

with a relatively low use of pesticides, compared to the suburbs in their quest for perfect lawns or agricultural areas that depend on chemical control to grow large acreages of crops. According to a 1991 New York State Attorney General's report, "Toxic Fairways," that looked at groundwater contamination from pesticides on golf courses on Long Island, golf courses use about 18 pounds of pesticides per treated acre. That's almost seven times the amount that farmers use per acre and twice as much as what homeowners use in caring for their lawns themselves.

Pesticides are showing up in water and soil throughout the nation. In my opinion, any operation that requires intensive pesticide use, as golf courses and luxury homes with heavily manicured lawns do, has no place in the middle of what is both the Catskill Park and New York City's watershed.

I have some things to say about this watershed in a wider context. The world is running out of fresh water. That is not hyperbole. That is a fact. According to Tony

Clarke, director of Canada's Polaris Institute and co-author of the book "Blue Gold," worldwide consumption of water is doubling every 20 years. That's twice the rate of population growth, and by the year 2025, demand for water will outstrip supply by 56 percent, because of the damage that has been done and is continuing to be done to the earth's hydrological cycle. Fresh, uncontaminated water is necessary for the health and survival of all of us. My point with this is that this watershed that we are lucky enough to live in is a very precious resource and we need to protect it vigilantly.

I also want to say something about the impact of this proposed development on the rural character of the central Catskills. I have a map here that James has from the Pacific Biodiversity Institute that is compiled from satellite images that shows every road in the country. Now, if you look at this map, you can see that there are very few white areas. The white areas are where there are no roads. The Catskills, up here, is one of those few areas

where there aren't that many roads. As you look at this -- I just said that.

so this is one of the few places in the eastern United States that is not choked by roads and the development that they inevitably bring. Although I am lucky enough to work from home so I don't have to commute, I would hate to see the huge increase in cars and traffic that a development like this would bring.

I'm not unsympathetic to calls for economic development and jobs that would benefit citizens in our community, but I don't think a destination resort that, as the DEIS itself reveals, would not staff the top-paying jobs with locals, but instead offer low-paying unskilled jobs for which we don't even have enough unemployed people to fill and that would likely draw business away from the hamlets, I don't think that's the way to go. In fact, not only is mega-development in a unique Forest Preserve that happens to be the watershed supplying water to more than half of the state's residents not the way to go, it is exactly the opposite of the kinds of economic

development we should be pursuing.

As many people on this podium before me have stated, people come here for the quiet beauty of the mountains, the charm of the hamlets and because it is not like everywhere else, over-developed, crowded and polluted. We should be looking at how best to develop the resources we have, things like heritage tourism, bolstering the arts, the artisans that live here and other low-impact activities that would sustain and not destroy what is unique about our area.

while the proposed Belleayre Resort may enrich the coffers of those who build and perhaps even run it -- although without a casino, I doubt it -- I believe the cost to the rest of us would be enormous. When Dean Gitter first announced this project to the community at the Shandaken hall about four years ago, I remember he said his vision was to make this place like Vail, Colorado. That is, the swanky resort in the Rockies for the wealthy. Well, let's take a look at what has happened in Vail. I'm going to read a few paragraphs from

the <u>New York Times</u> story datelined in Vail from November 1998.

Quote, "Decades of following laissez-faire housing policies are catching up with Vail as about 2,500 jobs may go begging this winter. The cause for a labor shortage in Colorado's most popular ski town is as clear as the advertising lineage in a recent issue of The Vail Daily. The newspaper printed 586 inches of classified advertising offering jobs, but only 30 inches of housing.

"For years Vail could ignore rising housing prices, content to allow the portion of its work force who live locally to dwindle to 30 percent. With the median price of a condominium here approaching \$300,000, a recent survey calculated that a worker would have to hold down five full-time jobs at \$10 an hour to live here," end quote.

The story goes on to say that to remedy the situation, the Vail Town Council approved a public housing project that would provide subsidized housing for local workers, paid, of course, by the local taxpayers.

If the Belleayre Resort is allowed to go ahead, that may well be our fate. Instead of, quote, saving us from the depths of poverty, as Gitter has said many times in the past, this could well ensure that many of us could no longer afford to live here, because as the housing prices rise, so do property taxes.

And Vail is not an unique example. The New York Times story says that the situation also exists in California, Canada and other mountain resort towns.

Let's not repeat their mistakes. We have something very precious here in the central Catskills and we must preserve it. Thank you for the opportunity to speak.

ALJ WISSLER: After Mr. Barkett, we'll hear from Steven Dawes.

MR. KEITH BARKETT: My name is Keith Barkett, and I live in Mt. Tremper. Thank you.

Let me begin by reading a paragraph from a speech given by Vice President Al Gore January 16th.

"As for the enforcement of environmental

(Keith Barkett)

protection laws for the last three years in a row, the Bush Administration has sought to slash enforcement personnel levels at EPA.

Officers were told to back off cases. Gore said, the problem extends even to America's national parks. Just ask the coalition of more than 100 retired career park service employees who wrote a letter saying that their mission to protect the park's natural resources has been changed to focus on commercial and special interest use of parks. Gore went on to say, these are not small shifts in policy, they are radical changes that reverse a century of American policy designed to protect our natural resources."

Yes, I know tonight we here are talking about a New York State park area and not a national park. That is true. But this contentious issue is being wrestled with throughout our nation. We all here are being forced to define the future character of these Catskill Mountains and rivers, the future of influencing our nation in the same regard, and ultimately we are asked to define our own

(Keith Barkett)

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

character, all of which will, of course, resonate well into the future generations. What will we be leaving behind?

I now speak directly to those who will make the determination as to whether the truth is being revealed as a whole considering all the mountains of technical abstract data that has been supplied. I say "God bless you" for this task, for this responsibility to your job and to the children and to the families of this community. It is a test. This project is not It's about people and about right or wrong. this wild land. It is about the spirit of the law and not just about the letter of the law. May you make your final decisions when your minds are the quietest, when the memories of your children are the strongest, and when the vision of these mountains and rivers and the people who live in them are the clearest.

Truly, God bless you and God bless these mountains and rivers. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Steve Dawes. After Mr. Dawes, we'll hear from Pamela Schad.

MR. STEVEN DAWES: Before I speak

22 23 24

specifically about the DEIS, I just want to
tell you a little bit about myself. I've
worked at three different golf courses totaling
a time span of about five years, and one of the
courses I worked at was at a mega-resort even
larger than the one proposed here. It had
three 18-hole golf courses, and it even had -held a PGA tournament every year. So these are
two out of the three courses I worked at were
actually top-notch courses that maintain very
high standards for the appearance and quality
of the courses.

I've worked in just about every job

position and done about every task at a golf

course that you can do, except for management

positions, course superintendent, licensed

spray technician. I'm not a scientist and I

don't have a degree in horticulture, but I've

seen firsthand what it takes to maintain high

quality golf courses.

The reality of what I have experienced working on several courses raises many questions and doubts for me as to the validity and reliability of what's being presented in

the DEIS. Specifically in regard to the turf management sections and Appendix 15, fertilizer and pesticides risk assessment.

On page one of the Integrated Turf

Management Plan, it states, and I quote,

"Implementing this ITM plan" -- ITM stands for

integrated turf management -- "on Belleayre

Resort" -- excuse me -- "implementing this ITM

plan on Belleayre Resort golf courses means

there will be a curative approach to pesticide

use rather than a preventive approach.

What does that statement exactly mean, curative? Does it mean that instead of preventing pesticides damage to the turf, they'll wait until damage actually occurs and then treat the area? In other words, instead of preventing pesticide problems, they'll wait until one actually arises and then, quote unquote, cure it?

If that's what they're implying by using a curative approach rather than a preventive approach, then that just doesn't make sense. I mean, there are going to be people there paying top dollar to play on these golf courses, to

stay at the resorts. They're expecting high quality turf to play on. If you wait until damage has already occurred on the turf, it's too late and the golfers will see that. mean, with this economically, it doesn't make sense. They'll end up taking their business elsewhere. The quality of the course has already suffered. The golfer is not getting what he or she paid for, and believe me, the golfer wants an impeccable high-quality turf. They want to get what they're paying for, so they're going to go somewhere else.

Now, on page two of the ITM plan, it states that "the flexibility and economic feasibility ultimately determine the long-term success of the ITM plan, " so it's based on economic feasibility. So does that mean if it's not economically feasible, it's not going to be implemented? Is it implying that the ITM plan is just based on economics? Does the environment even, is it even considered in this plan?

I mean, it states right there on page two that the long-term success of the IT programs

21

22

23

24

are ultimately determined by the flexibility and economic feasibility. I don't see the environment mentioned anywhere in that sentence.

Just from my own experience of assisting spray techs and working closely with superintendents when they make their assessments of course conditions, I've seen that pesticides, herbicides, fungicides, algicides, fertilizers are primarily used in a preventive approach. It's easier to run a golf course that way, and they're going to take the easiest route possible, and that's what it comes down to. They can say whatever they want to on paper, but I've seen what happens behind the scene. The name of the game is to keep the golf course green and protect it from insects and diseases. And if they don't do that, they don't have a job, basically. So they're going to take the easiest route and that's pesticides and other chemicals.

I've witnessed firsthand, just to give some specific examples, a small section of fairway turf being damaged by mole crickets.

б

7

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

That afternoon, every fairway on the golf course was hit with mole cricket bait. Now, is that a curative approach?

I've seen little sections of a green indicating the presence of web worms and just a few hours later, every green on the golf course was sprayed for web worms. Is that what they mean by a curative approach?

On page 24 of the ITM under the section Chemical Control, it states that in the past, pesticide residues have been associated with adverse environmental effects resulting from improper or overuse. It goes on to list some of the negative effects.

Reduction of certain predator bird populations, appearance of pesticide residues on aquatic ecosystems, implications of many pesticides as potential carcinogens, and it goes on to list many others.

My question is, what is defined as improper or overuse of pesticides? Are there specific guidelines in place to prevent overuse or improper use? And who is in charge of making sure these guidelines are being

followed? Are the golf courses responsible for monitoring and policing themselves?

I can remember the superintendents of the courses I worked for being responsible for keeping logs and records on what fertilizers and pesticides were used and they're responsible for turning them in. To me that seems like a major conflict of interest issue.

On page 25 of the ITM plan, there is a list of some very general guidelines for pesticide use. These guidelines are categorized as additional measures that would be taken to further minimize potential impacts from pesticide use. Some of them are, no pesticides would be applied to an irrigation system. That's just simply standard practice. I've never heard of any golf course putting pesticides in the irrigation system, and they're calling that an additional measure. Pesticide containers will be disposed of in a proper and safe manner. That's an additional measure? And the list goes on and on.

I think it's very misleading to label these quidelines as additional measures when

б

they are really just standard practices and state and federal requirements that every golf course has to follow.

By saying these guidelines are additional measures, it actually misleads the public into thinking that this proposal is more comprehensive and environmentally friendly than it actually is.

On a website of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, I found this information. It states that most Americans assume the basic toxicity testing is available and chemicals in commerce today are safe. This is not a prudent assumption.

It goes on to say that only 7 percent of a 3,000 high production volume chemicals in use have what is considered the barest minimum set of basic testing data on toxicity. 7 percent out of 3,000. Are the chemicals proposed for the use on these golf courses among the 7 percent that have had the basic testing on toxicity? And if not, then how can they accurately forecast what the impacts on the watershed and the environment are going to be,

if they don't even have basic testing on these chemicals? Is the pesticide and fertilizer risk assessment located in Appendix 15 a scientifically sound and accurate document? If they don't really know how much of these chemicals impact the environment, how they actually affect the living organism? How can the computer modeling be valid and reliable if the model used and the factors that enter into the model which are the chemicals aren't even studied thoroughly? Do the results of this kind of testing provide enough assurance that the severe negative environmental impact won't result?

I've witnessed what it takes to run a golf course, and the general public really has no idea of the vast amount of chemicals and fertilizers that it takes to run these courses. They use large industrial-sized equipment, huge spray rigs with spray arms that fold down so they cover a larger area, and you'll have spray technicians for each golf course and they'll spend eight hours of the day spraying continuously, driving from spot to

spot. This is a standard practice.

Teams of two to four workers will spend all day spreading fertilizer several times a week. Other workers are often recruited throughout the day to run tractors that pull 500 to a thousand gallon tankers of chemicals and fertilizers. It's a continuous onslaught of chemical applications. And this was at a course that was at a mega-resort that held a PGA tournament. So this is the type of course we're talking about here.

At every one of the courses I've worked, I've seen major fish kills. They call the lakes retention ponds but they're really I mean, I think one of them in the massive. DEIS said that it contained 1.4 million I mean, that's a lake, and I've seen qallons. entire lakes completely wiped out because they didn't forecast the weather correctly and they happened to have a heavy rain that day. And, of course, the birds start eating the fish and the birds start dying and in every case, it was kind of a big coverup. All the workers, they gathered up all the workers and we had to clean

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

it up before the EPA caught wind of it. I've seen this with my own two eyes.

I'm convinced that the agencies like the DEP, the DEC and the EPA don't have the proper policies and procedures to police what is actually -- what actually goes on behind the scenes on these courses. I've witnessed spray techs using illegal concentrations of pesticides on more than one occasion. One time I even confronted a spray tech about this and he told me that really nobody followed the regulations. Who is going to prevent this kind of thing from happening at the Belleayre Resort?

Finally, I just want to say, of all the places to put a golf course, why on earth would you want to put it in a pristine wilderness area in close proximity to a watershed that provides water for millions and millions and millions of people? I mean, the threat of pollution is just too great. And does this DEIS really provide enough reliable and valid data to counter the risk to the environment and the watershed? I don't think so. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Ms. Schad. And after Ms. Schad, Pamela Schad, and after Ms. Schad, we'll hear from Reverend Finley Schaef.

Pamela Schad?

Okay. Then, Reverend Finley Schaef.

REV. FINLEY SCHAEF: Thank you, Judge
Wissler. Greetings to the stenographer, good
evening to all the persons here that make this
evening possible.

My name is Finley Schaef. I'm a Methodist minister and the president of the North American Coalition for Christianity and Ecology, and the leader of an earth keeping circle of the Reservoir United Methodist Church in Shokan, which is open to all, meets next Monday night at seven o'clock.

In the 20th century, astronomical science revealed to us the immensity of the universe, God's creation, but it not just that the creation is incredibly large, but it is also of immense inspiration and beauty. We too easily neglect the reality of earth's nourishment which goes well beyond food, air and water. The cultural historian, and he called himself a

geologian father, Thomas Berry, reminds us that our entire life in its physical, aesthetic, emotional, intellectual and spiritual dimensions, depends on the well-being of nature. If we lived on the barren moon, he says, our lives would be equally barren, devoid of wonder and delight. We seem in these days to be moving toward transforming our beloved planet into a moon.

The decision of how we use or do not use our natural surroundings is of utmost importance to our well being. This decision should not be made by giving profits, which last a short lifetime and benefit a few, more weight than a natural environment which abounds with life with an infinite array of forms that has enthralled many generations in the past, and will continue to bless future generations.

Nobody has made the case better than the

16th century Saint Teresa of Avila. She said,

If we learn to love earth, we will find a

labyrinth, gardens, fountains and precious

jewels. A whole new world will open itself to

us. We will discover what it means to be truly

alive, unquote.

Let us not forget that in the beginning,
God created the world and called it good.

Genesis 1:31, "God saw all that he had made and
it was very good." We who were made, it would
be vulgar and unseemly of us who were made in
God's image to look upon this creation and call
it big bucks, to regard it as enrichment for
the few at the expense of the many.

Paul Hawken in his book Ecology of

Commerce lays out the specific political and
fiscal policies necessary for sustainability.

He returns repeatedly to the concept of nature
as the measure by which the human economy must
be ruled and regulated if it is to be healthy
and restorative. The commercial culture must
mimic nature at every step, he says. We are
all here together at the service of and at the
mercy of nature. Paul Hawken. We are all here
together at the service and mercy of nature.

Much of the Judeo-Christian traditions support this point of view. In the book of Job, you find these words. "Ask the animals and they will teach you, or the birds of the

4 5

air, and they will tell you, or speak to the earth, and it will teach you, or let the fish of the sea inform you."

And in the 24th Psalm you all know, The earth is the Lord's and the fullness thereof.

Jesus tells us to consider the lillies of the field, for Solomon in all his glory was not arrayed like one of these.

St. Paul told his disciple Timothy and all of us, We brought nothing into this world and it is certain we can carry nothing out. And having food and raiment, let us be therewith content. But they that will be rich fall into temptation and a snare and into many foolish and hurtful lusts, which drown men and women in destruction and perdition."

Nature has heart and soul, and we are earthlings who yearn to be truly alive in it.

Let the land remain as it is.

ALJ WISSLER: Quinn Ferris. After Quinn, we'll hear from Valerie Linet.

MR. QUINN FERRIS: Hi. My name is Quinn Ferris. I am a full-time student and member of this community. I have lived here all my life,

(Quinn Ferris)

which is not very long, 16 years, compared to the much longer and more venerable time that other members of this community have spent in this beautiful environment. We are blessed to walk in the richness and the beauty that we do, and because of that richness and that beauty, that is what draws people to share our community and to share our environment. That is what draws people to this place.

By moving or even allowing for this mega-resort to go through, we are allowing the degradation of that beauty. Every time I leave my home and go somewhere and I come back, it is the mountains that welcome me home. The mountains are my home, and when I leave for college in two years, it is not because there are not enough opportunities in this community that I need, you know, come back and attain a higher management job at a mega-resort, it is because I want to see what else is out there. But when I come back, I want the mountains to be the thing that welcomes me home, not a resort.

This is not what is best for us. This is

(Quinn Ferris)

not what is best for our youth or our elderly or our pets or anyone. This is not what is best for our community. This is what is best for Dean Gitter and the Crossroads Ventures.

And now my friend has a statement.

FRIEND: Hi. I represent your future.

ALJ WISSLER: Can you give us your name?

MS. BECKY SETTINGER: Becky Settinger.

ALJ WISSLER: Thank you.

MS. BECKY SETTINGER: You may question why we're saving the Catskills. Well, can you imagine having to explain to your kids what trees look like? Well, I'm only 14 and if we carry on making up excuses to destroy the environment, I might have to. Please, please leave the Catskills for me to enjoy. I have a really great time and there's really nothing more beautiful. I've traveled a lot of places and I've never seen -- every time I come back home, there really is no place like this.

ALJ WISSLER: Valerie Linet.

MS. VALERIE LINET: My name is Valerie

Linet and I live in New Paltz. I want to thank

Judge Wissler and everybody else who has come

out tonight and who has spoken. Like everyone else here, I care deeply about this Belleayre development issue and the outcome of the process.

Over the years I spent countless hours backpacking, writing, hiking, farming and learning in the Hudson Valley. I saw my first snowy owl, orange salamander and deer in the Catskill Mountains. I learned how to make fires and read topographical maps. I love the region for the quality of our streams and creeks, the rising high peaks that hug the small towns off Route 28, and the individuality and character of each hamlet and village itself. The massive Belleayre Resort proposal in its current incarnation threatens the balance of all these elements, and I therefore oppose it.

I believe the proposed development on Belleayre Mountain would devastate and permanently alter the things I love most about this region. I'm going to speak tonight about one area of great concern. It's an aspect of a project that I find most disturbing. It's the

11 '

-- other people have spoken to it tonight too.

It's the private control and use of our vital resource, water, in order to economically benefit the developer and those invested in the project.

I would like to place the matter of a Belleayre proposal's water sources in a global context. A quote from a Fortune Magazine article says, quote, "Water promises to be to the 21st century what oil was to the 20th century, the precious commodity that determines the wealth of nations. And in "The Nation," Maude Barlow and Tony Clarke write in their article, "Who Owns Water?" Quote, "The world is running out of fresh water. Humanity is polluting, diverting and depleting the wellspring of life at a startling rate. simply, unless we dramatically change our ways, between one-half and two-thirds of humanity will be living with severe fresh water shortages within the next quarter century. Everything is now for sale, even those areas of life such as social services and natural resources that were once considered the common

25

1

heritage of humanity. Governments around the world are abdicating their responsibilities to protect the natural resources in their territory, giving authority away to the private companies involved in resource exploitation.

"Water must be declared and understood for all time to be the common property of all. In a world where everything is being privatized, citizens must establish clear perimeters around those areas that are sacred to life and necessary for the survival of the planet.

Water must be declared a public trust, and all governments must enact legislation to protect the fresh water resources in their territory.

It's strikingly clear that neither governments nor their official global institutions are going to rise to this challenge. This is where civil society comes in," end quote.

Water is a basic necessity for life, and no one individual or corporation has the right to turn it into a commodity and profit from water use at the expense of the public. I'm concerned that the Belleayre project as currently proposed would do just that. One

question I would like the DEC to investigate is, would the developer's use of Pine Hill and Fleischmanns water limit the organic growth of the communities?

For the last 200 years, most water utilities have been managed and owned by the public. In 1999 to 2000, Shandaken was prepared to purchase the privately owned Pine Hill water company comprised of three water sources which the village has been using for over 60 years. In a series of complicated political maneuvers over which there is now a lawsuit, Dean Gitter managed to purchase the company and then get the DEC's approval to fragment the water supply system so he could use one of Pine Hill's former water sources, Silo A, for the resort.

The DEIS cites Silo A as the backup source for potable water for the Big Indian Plateau region of the proposed development. I ask that the DEC seriously examine if Pine Hill will have sufficient water without Silo A. Pine Hill has tapped Silo A as a resource on more than one occasion during dry years as well as

during a major draught in 1960s. A professional hydrologist working for the Pine Hill Water Coalition has brought into question whether Pine Hill has adequate water supply without its third source. The DEIS treats silo A as if it were not integral to the Pine Hill system, but I ask that the DEC look closely into this matter. It should not allow Silo A to be considered for the resort if it's necessary for public use.

The Village of Pine Hill grew up where it did precisely because of the availability of water, and the DEC has a mandate to ensure that water resources are handled fairly. The DEC's public water supply program was -- and I found this on their website -- was set up to, quote, protect and conserve available water supplies by assuring equitable and wide use of these supplies, end quote. One of the questions in the DEC's public water supply permit program, standards for issuance checklist is, quote, will the proposed project be just and equitable to all the affected municipalities, particularly with regard to their present and

future needs for water supplies?

I ask that the DEC, with significant power as the lead agency in the Belleayre development proposal, really take this matter of Pine Hill's water source into consideration. should all be very concerned about this issue and the implications of allowing the developer to use what has been a necessary resource to the village for 60 years for personal financial gain. What is Pine Hill's fate in future draught or dry years? And if Mr. Gitter sells the development, as he's indicated he will, it's very likely that a major, if not, multi-national corporation would be in control of Pine Hill's former water supply. The DEC's public water supply program introduction states that the public policy of the State of New York is, quote, to conserve and develop the waters of the state for all beneficial uses for the public, end quote. The public needs sufficient municipal water supply. This is all of our water.

The other point I would like to raise in regards to water sources is regarding the

1

2

3

23

21

22

24

DEIS's claim that it will buy the Village of Fleischmanns' municipal water in order for drinking and irrigation purposes in the Wildacres section of the proposed development. The DEIS also states that there is, quote, an excess supply and capacity of village water.

I'm not aware of Fleischmanns having agreed to sell their municipal water, yet, to the developer. And if they haven't, the DEC should consider the DEIS inadequate in its accounting for a water resource for the western portion of the development.

Other people have mentioned this month is the hundredth birthday of the Catskill Forest Preserve's designation as forever wild. It's time for us to give this wilderness one small gift for the innumerable, diverce ones it gives us. Let's not sign it away to be permanently damaged by this resort.

And I would just like to add that I was extremely moved by the handful of residents and small business owners at the Margaretville hearings who pinned their hopes for economic improvement on this Belleayre proposal. I want

local businesses and residents to thrive also, but unfortunately the developer has tried to make us believe that this project is the In reality, it would be in direct competition with a small, wonderful businesses below it. A resort as now proposed will be a destination, one-stop city on a hill offering more low-paying work than we have employable people for. We have other options and we need to be creative about putting our energy into them. Local groups like the Catskill Heritage Alliance, Catskill Watershed Coalition have a mandate and monies for community revitalization and support of local business and tourism. Communities like Beacon in Dutchess County offer us models of how local businesses, residents and non-profit organizations, such as Scenic Hudson, can come together and use natural resources to create successful, sustainable economic development.

Our greatest treasures here are our natural resources and each other. That's why we live where we do. Let's not forget that by selling out our mountains, waters and

2324

22

communities. This developer will very likely be gone from the area in ten years, but we have the rest of our lives in these mountains.

Thanks.

ALJ WISSLER: Chester Straub. Mathew Pokoik. After Mathew, we'll hear from Hank Rope.

MR. MATHEW POKOIK: Hello. Can everyone hear me? My name is Matthew Pokoik. I live on the lower slopes of Mt. Tremper. I would like to speak on two points of personal interest in regard to the Belleayre Resort in the DEIS they have presented.

where I live is half a mile from Route 28 as the bird flies. And yet, I can clearly hear the road from my home. We're in the mountains. Sound can travel really far. Every weekend beginning Fridays, there is a dramatic increase in the noise volume I can hear from the road. That volume has a direct impact on my quality of life that I came to the Catskills for. Every time a truck goes by, I can hear it in my bedroom with the windows shut half a mile from Route 28. Suffice to say, I'm deeply

concerned about the increase traffic will bring.

On the questions of truck and construction traffic, as far as my analysis of the DEIS can tell, nowhere is there a consideration of the increase in traffic noise. Somebody earlier spoke very well and clearly about that, what construction traffic can bring, and I'll skip over a little bit, but this should have been included in the DEIS and it's not mentioned. We're looking at eight years of heavy construction, thousands of trucks.

Again, while I'm not an expert on traffic analysis, it seems clear to me that the data within the DEIS on traffic analysis is not adequate. This project could double the population of Shandaken, and yet the developer claims in the executive summary of the DEIS that, quote, an increase in traffic of this magnitude will typically not be noticeable.

Other people have spoken, will talk about how many beds and jobs this will contain. It could be anywhere between, you know, 1,500 at a minimum to 3,000, potentially doubling the size

of the town. It's logical to conclude that a project that nearly doubles that population or even 50 percent of the population, conservatively, will have a dramatic increase in the number of cars on Route 28. I cannot imagine how we consider that that possibility of the number of people drawn to this destination resort that would not be noticeable.

The second point I would like to address is the questionable economic benefit of the local economy. I myself am a 28-year-old small business owner, operating a small, yet growing photography and digital imaging company. I have recently relocated this business from New York City to Mt. Tremper. I am an example of new business coming to this area, something the developer claims is not happening. Part of the reason I was able to do this is the current computer and Internet technology which allows for the decentralization of the marketplace.

Ten years ago I would have had to live in or around New York City, but now technology allows me and many others like me to work and sustain

vibrant businesses in a decentralized rural community.

I would like to note, the DEC allowed the developer to use 1990 census data in its investigation of the impact the development would have on the local economy and the character of this community. This data is 14 years out of date. Like I said, 10 or 14 years ago, I would not be able to operate my business here in Shandaken. Now I can.

It has been said by proponents of this development that we need the jobs this development would provide to keep young people in the community. People at the Margaretville hearing talked about that more extensively than we've heard tonight. Well, as a young man, as a business owner, I would say the type of jobs this development would offer would be a disaster to the long-term economic vitality of the younger generation. We need jobs that provide the opportunity to be trained in skills that provide the ability to eventually start one's own business.

As an example, anybody working for me is

can eventually put to use for themselves, which is exactly what I did myself. Small, locally owned businesses are the pulse and the heartbeat of this community, and they are what make Shandaken thrive and continue to attract youngsters to this natural environment who will work hard to create more mom and pop businesses that keep money local, that provide local jobs and most importantly, whose scale properly reflects the nature of Shandaken.

And what type of future do the young people in Shandaken have if this development is built? In the DEIS it states that the resort will, quote, absorb demand for off-site lodging for second home ownership and reduce the ski area's growth-inducing effects. Let me repeat that. It will reduce the ski area's growth-inducing effects.

In other words, the future for young people, according to Crossroads Ventures in Shandaken is the Belleayre Resort. The DEIS also states that the resort will, quote, compete head-on with existing lodging, leading

to a decrease in demand for existing lodging. In other words, existing businesses will be hurt by the Belleayre Resort. It says it in black and white in the DEIS. It's saying the local economy will be negatively impacted. No wonder the public has had such a hard time accessing this document when it states the negative impact on small businesses in such matter of fact language.

And just what type of jobs will be available? Well, in the DEIS, it states high-paying jobs will be filled by people from outside the regional community.

The DEIS states roughly what percentage of the 873 on-site jobs will be high-paying. It also states the percentage of mid-level jobs. What it doesn't state, funny, is the number of jobs that would pay between \$16,000, which it says is the entry level salary for a full-time job at the resort, to \$20,000 a year.

Well, what's left when we subtract the mid and high-paying jobs which shall be given to outside workers? What we're left with is 23 percent of the work force, roughly one out of

4 5

every four jobs will pay below the poverty
line. One out of every four jobs, just about
the number of unemployed people there are in
Shandaken and Middletown according to 2000
census data. Don't worry, if you're young and
in need of work in Shandaken, I'm sure you'll
be able to get work scrubbing toilets, washing
dishes and mowing the golf course at the great
and so-called visionary Belleayre Resort, but
will you be able to raise a family on that?

Which brings me to my last question. What will be the future for our, may I say visionary youngsters when they see a future for themselves that is other than being one worker in an Army of 1,000 working for a corporate entity? I'll tell you, they will leave this community in a mass exodus. We need to provide opportunities for our future citizens that give them the ability to make something new or to expand something old, to walk in their own shoes and to march to the sound of their own drummer. The massive size of this development will stagnate all prospects of growth in Shandaken other than its own growth, leaving

the small, independent business person literally out in the cold.

Lastly, WARC reporter Allison Dunn recently asked Dean Gitter about the people who were against this project and how he plans to deal with their opposition. Mr. Gitter said, quote, We have made a 15-volume, 3,500-page, \$4 million document that should now speak for itself.

In that case, I now ask the DEC to let this document stand for itself. Extend the public comment period so that this massive document can receive a proper review.

Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Hank Rope. After Mr. Rope, we'll hear from Geoffrey T. Hoderath.

MR. HANK ROPE: Good evening, your Honor.

I'm Hank Rope speaking on behalf of the

Ashokan/Pepacton Watershed Chapter of Trout

Unlimited. We will be submitting a more

detailed written statement shortly.

Trout Unlimited is not opposed to reasonable development, and in the past has worked side by side with developers, farmers

23.

(Hank Rope)

and government agencies in an effort to promote sound environmental practices. The proposed resort at Belleayre Mountain has failed to take into consideration the effect development will have on two intermittent streams it plans to surround with its golf course.

Section 2.2.5 of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement indicates effluent from the treatment plant will be discharged to the surface of an unnamed tributary of Emory Brook. Section 3.2.2.1 indicates further that two streams will be crossed as many as five times by the golf course.

Lacking a plan to mitigate the effects of the project on the streams is a serious matter and calls for further investigation into the effect the project will have on the trout that spawn and raise their young in these streams.

Let me also call your attention to New
York Department of Environmental Conservation
Division of Water and Technical Operational
Guidance Series 1.3.1.b. A memorandum issued
June 1st, 1989 and subsequently reissued
recommends a Waste Assimilative Capacity

(Hank Rope)

analysis when dealing with low flow streams. I find no evidence at all in the DEIS that such was performed, and ask the DEC to require such a study. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Geoffrey Hoderath. After Mr. Hoderath, we'll hear from Zachary Sklar.

MR. GEOFFREY HODERATH: Good evening,

Judge Wissler. My name is Jeffrey Hoderath,

and I'm the first vice president of the

Catskill 3500 Club. Please accept my

appreciation and thanks for the opportunity in

speaking this evening.

The 3500 Club is an established organization of outdoors men and women. We hike year round in the Catskills and actively promote conservation and ethical use of the wilderness. The club regularly partners with and financially supports the efforts of the ADK, the American Hiking Society, the New York/New Jersey Trail Conference, the Catskill Center and the DEC on behalf of the Catskill Forest Preserve. As but one example of that ongoing commitment, the club has paid for and built the new lean-to on Balsam Lake Mountain.

(Geoffrey Hoderath)

My comments this evening will be brief and will focus on four issues. Degradation of scenic views, light pollution, changes to the central Catskills rural character, and land use ethics.

First, as to the viewshed, the proposed resort complex would be unavoidably visible to the north from the trails up Balsam and Rose Mountains. In addition, the resort will be exposed to view from myriad other locations; the Hunter Mountain fire tower, the many exposed ledges on Hunter itself, the south side of the Halcott Mountain, and from off-trail viewpoints on Panther and Westkill Mountains, among others. The site of an out-sized resort complex is not something that any hiker wants, either as a trail companion or as the reward for making a summit.

Tonight, starlight fills the winter sky.

Nothing is more wondrous to a hiker after a

successful outing than that glittering sight.

Yet, a super-sized resort with its artificial

light would be seen like an airport landing

beacon from miles around. That would have a

(Geoffrey Hoderath)

major visual impact on one of the Catskills' true treasures, the truly dark night sky.

The central Catskills are a preserve of unparalleled beauty in which hamlets and villages nestle in the deep valleys, where people find refuge from their harried, everyday lives and have a chance to just slow down, reconnect with nature and their neighbors, and experience communities that are truly their The developer's proposal offers two 18-hole golf courses, two resort hotels and untold timeshare units. Those amenities would quickly dominate and overwhelm the surrounding communities. Such a complex is entirely out of keeping with everything that is most valuable and vital in the Catskill Forest Preserve. The current balance by and between and among the Catskill Preserve, the New York City Watershed and the existing communities is a gentle one. Those three interests co-exist in a harmonious equipoise that should not be disrupted.

In preparation for these remarks, the 3500 president introduced me to the work of the noted environmentalist Aldo Leopold. Allow me

2425

(Geoffrey Hoderath)

to share his thoughts with you. In the 1949 book, A Sand County Almanac, Leopold urged a new paradigm for our place in nature, quote, From conqueror of the land community to plain member and citizen of it." He advocated humility and respect in dealing with wild animals, plants, water and soil. He further proposed a land use ethic and wrote,

"Quit thinking about decent land use as solely an economic problem. Examine each question in terms of what is ethically and esthetically right. A thing is right when it tends to preserve the integrity, stability and beauty of the biotic community. It is wrong when it tends otherwise."

To conclude, the Catskill 3500 Club opposes the Belleayre Resort project as proposed because of the likelihood of adverse effects from a resort complex of this size on the natural resources, communities and general character of the central Catskills.

Thank you again.

ALJ WISSLER: Zachary Sklar. After Mr. Sklar, we'll here from Dennis Ladner.

б

MR. ZACHARY SKLAR: My name is Zachary Sklar. I own a home and have lived in Olivebridge, New York for 16 years.

I would like to speak about the effect of the proposed development on me and my neighbors in the Town of Olive, unique character of the community we love and why it is so important to us that it not be destroyed.

I speak as a city boy. I was born and raised in Los Angeles, California, lived and worked in New York City for 15 years. I moved to Olivebridge in 1988 because I had become very ill from the stresses and pollution of city life. I knew I could never get well if I continued to live in the city. Living in Olive, I have returned to good health. This place has nurtured me and I feel obliged to defend it when it is threatened.

Olive is a small town of several thousand people. We enjoy a quiet life here. We have little traffic and no traffic lights. We have open space, clean water and clean air. Our skies are unspoiled by light pollution and we can still see the Milky Way at night. We are

б

,

blessed with views of beautiful mountains, rivers and streams. We can still hear bird song in the morning and the chattering of cicadas the night. We love this way of life and it is the primary reason most of us have chosen to live here. It is about a 20-minute drive south and east on Route 28 from the proposed Belleayre Resort to Olive.

Route 28 is the main artery through our town which is composed of five smaller villages split by the Ashokan Reservoir. Since virtually all traffic from New York City to the proposed development would have to go through Olive on Route 28, the influx of vehicles estimated by the developer to increase by more than 500 cars per hour using outdated baseline numbers would have a great impact on quality of life in our town.

Since September 11th, 2001, the traffic on Route 28 has increased enormously. As one who did it myself, I do not begrudge anyone the right to move here or to vacation here.

However, I do feel that increased development of this area must be handled with care and

proper planning so that it does not endanger the very things that make people want to come here in the first place.

We have already been adversely affected by New York City's ill-advised anti-terrorism rules that require us to drive out of our way on bad roads to get from one part of our town to another, and it is already difficult to make a turn from Reservoir Road, the main link between the hamlets on one side of the reservoir and the other, onto Route 28. proposed mega-development were built requiring enormous amount of construction material to be trucked in over eight years, we would no doubt need not just traffic lights, but also a significant widening of Route 28 or a bypass. This would disrupt the tranquility of our lives, not just for a few seconds, as Dean Gitter suggested earlier, not just during the widening period, not just during the eight-year building period, but forever more. Everything we most value here would be threatened by this The size of our town would mega-development. grow dramatically, the traffic and noise would

1

increase dramatically, the light pollution and the stress of daily life would increase dramatically. Our well waters and streams would be more polluted from the chemicals used on golf courses, our taxes would go up to pay for more police and fire personnel, more road maintenance and more school facilities.

The developer argues that these changes would be worth it because he would bring new jobs to our community. But he has stated that virtually all the jobs for locals would be low-paying. The better paying jobs would go to skilled workers from elsewhere.

The Town of Olive was faced with a similar argument in our own backward from a developer named Harry Fotopolous in 1999. Mr. Fotopolous wanted to develop an Indian theme park in our town, much smaller than the one Dean Gitter has proposed. He said it would bring jobs and it would help poor indians. He never publicly acknowledged that what he had had in mind was a casino. That dreaded word.

He met with 300 town residents in Samsonville Church and he told us he fully

Instead, he was shocked to find that nearly everyone in Olive was against his proposed development. Sound familiar? Why? Not because we don't need jobs. We welcome decent jobs. We objected because we do not want our way of life destroyed in exchange for the dubious promise of a handful of minimum wage jobs. We do not want to sacrifice the beloved character of our community just so that Harry Fotopolous or Dean Gitter or any other developer can make a financial killing.

Mr. Fotopolous's development was rejected and withdrawn and the vast majority of Olive residents are very happy about that today.

This example should tell state officials something about the character and values of the Town of Olive. That character and those values, I am happy t,o say have not changed since 1999. We were not fooled by Fotopolous then, we are not fooled by Dean Gitter now.

We understand that when some developers propose theme parks or golf courses and hotels, they are really planning on casinos. We know

how our way of life can be destroyed by greed and by lies and by politicians who want reelection. We ask state officials to examine this project carefully, to give great weight to the views of the people who know best, the people you've heard so loud and clear tonight right here, the people who live here and who will have to pay the price for this greed-driven, ill-conceived, dangerous proposal.

In the name of our future and the future of the communities in the Catskill Park we love, we urge you to reject the Belleayre Resort mega-development. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Dennis Ladner. After Mr. Ladner, we'll here from Graham Cox.

MR. DENNIS LADNER: Dennis Ladner, I'm a resident from Shandaken. I've been a resident of Shandaken for the last 20 years. I've raised my children here. I really wasn't that involved in local politics or anything. I thought the people who had always been here knew better than I did.

Over the past several years, the people of

Shandaken have been repeatedly bullied, insulted and manipulated by the developer of Crossroads Ventures and his spokespeople. One of his best known insults was labeling our town as a rural slum, and his most famous manipulation was the acquisition of the Pine Hill water supply. Now he's continuing to manipulate the community and the DEC through his DEIS.

Those of us who have had to put up with Mr. Gitter over the last four years are used to him making statements in the newspapers such as the unemployment rate is this, the number of people that are on welfare is this.

I only happen to know officials from his agencies have to correct him because he was always using outdated information. And he continues to do this in the DEIS. He uses, as was previously pointed out, information from the 1990 census.

One of the problems I have with the DEIS is all of the information comes from experts that he has paid for. If people still depend on tobacco industry studies to determine that

cigarette smoking was dangerous, then many more people would still be smoking happily, oblivious to the truth.

As you can see from the people who spoke, there are many issues that the DEIS has not adequately addressed. There are many areas in the DEIS that at first glance of a rosy glow, but there are environmental, economic and social problems that will almost certainly arise if this resort is allowed to be built.

I'm going to speak about the subject that my wife and I have had some experience with, people trying to raise a family on inadequate salaries.

According to the DEIS, the majority of the workers will earn \$6 to \$8 per hour. Yet, also in the DEIS they say the average salary is \$27,000 a year. I'm not a mathematician. It doesn't work. If a person works 35 hours a week at \$6 an hour and is lucky enough to be employed full time for one year, that person will earn \$10,920. At \$8 per hour, that person would earn 14,560. And remember, in the DEIS he said the majority of people will earn 6 to

\$8 an hour. If a husband and wife worked together at the resort, they might bring home, say, somewhere in the 22 to \$29,000 a year, depending upon how much each earned. If they had two children, they would fall well below the 44,220 figure listed by the Albany Office of Fiscal Policy as the amount needed for a family of four to be self-sufficient in Ulster County, which means they would need help from the county, from the federal government, from the State.

U.S. Department of Agriculture and the Ulster County Office of Employment and Training both consider \$15 an hour or roughly \$31,000 per year as low income for an individual in Ulster County and neither the person earning \$6 an hour or the one earning \$8 an hour comes close to being self-sufficient.

At the income level Mr. Gitter is offering a family of four would have a very difficult time finding affordable housing, a reliable used car, even paying their monthly bills, not to mention daycare costs, health insurance costs. What would a single parent do on such a

small income? This is not a living wage.

The only residents in this town that could really afford most of those jobs are students still living at home. I don't know how Mr. Gitter would be able to staff his resort with hundreds of high school students. Who would work during the day hours when the kids were in school? He's going to have to entice hundreds of people to move here and accept these low wages, and these people would not be able to find housing. Housing costs have sky-rocketed in this area for the past few years. The costs of buying a house has come up over 50 percent in the last two years. That's statistics from Ulster County gotten out of the paper recently.

The agencies in Ulster County who monitor housing, Family of Woodstock and Ulster County Department of Social Services, have stated that there is really a zero vacancy rate when it comes to affordable housing, zero. Social Services tells their caseworkers to do anything possible to keep people from being evicted because we have no place but welfare hotels to

9

8

7

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

put them. Those are people with income, but there is no affordable housing. We're a paradox. We're a rural area that the cost is incredibly high to live because of all the people coming up from the city have pushed the price of housing up.

So if Mr. Gitter has to bring in workers, it's because the unemployment rate in Ulster County -- I know they said we're a depressed area, but it's not that there aren't jobs here, the unemployment rate, the last rate I saw a couple months ago is 3.9 percent for Ulster County. That's not what the developer tries to project. And anybody who lives in Ulster County goes to any stores, sees all the Help Wanted signs. There are plenty of jobs available where you can't afford to pay your living expenses.

So where will these people live if they came here since there is no affordable housing? I think Mr. Gitter has a plan because I think he's not that dumb that he doesn't know he has to import people. He's probably going to have to build a low rent village. Let's

see, a Gitterville. He would have to provide transportation for them to get to work since they would not be able to afford cars.

None of this is considered in the DEIS.

He just glossed over the whole idea because the reality is the way he split the population here on support and non-support was he started talking jobs, and politicians are doing it now, the governor is doing it, the president is doing it. But they're not talking about the kind of wages any of these jobs pay. And people didn't ask, partially because he's got the support of a couple of local politicians who have been here a long time and people trust them, so they never went any further than the words "jobs." They never looked into it.

But if you read the DEIS, you'll see it's low-paying jobs. The higher-paying jobs are going to people coming out, probably coming out from Marriott. He's admitted he's going to sell. The construction jobs, we don't have the right kind of construction jobs in our area to hire. They're going to have to bring in outside construction companies. I'm not sure

2
 3

what benefits we're getting out of this.

So the way I see it, there's lots of mistakes. It's not what this area needs, nor is it what we want. The resort is a very bad idea, falsely packaged to appeal to local people who want to improve their life. But if Shandaken is allowed to continue to grow in a gradual pace the way it has over the past few years, there will be more and more small businesses starting up, and hopefully they will be a more manageable size not so dependent on low-paying service jobs, nor will they be so destructive to our environment and our community.

And the only other thing I have to say to local people, you should be at the town meetings because especially in Shandaken, the new Town Board has already started selling us down the river on this issue and they need to hear from you.

ALJ WISSLER: Graham Cox. After Mr. Cox, we'll hear from James Nevin.

MR. GRAHAM COX: Looks like the seventh inning stretch.

My name is Graham Cox, and I am coordinator of Audubon New York's forest and wetland programs. I have a Master's of Science in economics and a Ph.D. in what is probably strange to all of you, it's called ecological economics. Both degrees are from RPI.

Ecological economics, to put it very simply, the economic study of sustainable development.

And we'll get back to that in a few moments.

Audubon New York is a conservation organization with 45,000 members and 30 chapters across New York. The mission of Audubon New York is the protection of birds and other wildlife and the habitat that supports them. We are part of a national organization with a half a million members throughout North and Central America.

The following chapters represent members in the area impacted by this project. The Northern Catskill chapter, the Capital Region chapter, Delaware-Otsego, Orange County, Sullivan County, as well as our New York City chapter, which alone has 10,000 members. We have seven chapters in the metropolitan area,

in Putnam, Westchester and Rockland Counties.

These are all members who are served by the metropolitan water supply, both east and west of the Hudson River. Put simply, we have a lot of members with a big interest in this project.

It's obvious that many of our members in the Catskill region and metropolitan area chapters will be directly impacted by the adverse impacts of this resort project.

Beautiful description of a shining resort on a ridge. They will be impacted by the likely changes in water quality and by the tax and fee bills they will be presented with as a result of the adverse impacts of this resort project.

Audubon New York is guided by several policy resolutions which pertain to the Catskill Park and the New York City Watershed counties. In summary, we oppose any projects that will adversely affect the drinking water resources of the region. We oppose projects that can harm bird habitat, especially at the higher elevations of the Park and the watershed. And we support the state's habitat

conservation and bird protection measures for the designated Bird Conservation Area in the park and watershed. In this particular instance, the Catskill High Peaks have already been designated by the governor as a Bird Conservation Area.

Audubon New York has a resolution specific to this Belleayre Resort project. It states in part that we will be active in the SEQRA process, that we will scrutinize the likely impacts of this project on the habitat, the regional water quality and water flows and that we oppose the project as proposed and we'll do so until such a time that the developer and the state can show that the environmental, economic and social benefits can by far outweigh the costs both locally and regionally. We don't think it will happen.

The reasons for our opposition were outlined almost a year ago in a letter to the State DEC when the first draft of this 3,000-page document was released for review and comment, and I'm just going to just very quickly summarize what those points were that

we made then.

The project involves clearing and altering the terrain on the square mile of high elevation habitat of the two watersheds. We've heard about that, we know about that. We don't have to say more about it. We support maintaining the system, that is the watershed system, in a way that the city water does not have to be filtered at considerable tax cost to all taxpayers.

We are concerned about protecting the integrity of the higher elevation matrix hardwood forests as a habitat for an array of bird species. Though there is no one species that has been determined as threatened or endangered in this particular site, it is the conservation of the variety and population numbers that is of most concern to wildlife biologists. This could only be done by protecting the forested habitat as a whole, and this is the prime purpose of the Forest Preserve within the Catskill Park. Protecting the forest habitat has a secondary benefit of protecting the watershed and the water quality

for all other dependent uses.

The project -- and this is our third point -- the project is totally out of proportion to the needs and resources of the existing communities along the Route 28 corridor through the Catskill Park. This pertains to the ecological, economic and social environments in total. We are dealing here with the scale and location of the facilities. In essence, the proposal is akin to a Wal-Mart being located in a struggling rural community. It may look attractive to the economic developers, but it is devastating to the existing businesses and social structure. It will destroy the existing businesses, take away their customers, raise their property taxes to support the services needed and to cover the additional costs of a host of social services. Further, it will generate and concentrate traffic problems, take precious drinking water resources from the local communities, result in surface groundwater pollution and so result in the degradation of the watershed resources.

The fourth point we made, we'll make it

25

1

2

again, is that we believe that there are better alternatives to this project which have not been considered seriously by the developer and the State DEC. These alternatives would provide many more benefits to the ecological and social communities to the watershed.

Investing in the existing communities, putting golf courses and conference centers in existing communities, investing in the small businesses, that is the shops, the restaurants, the bed and breakfast units along the length of the Route 28 corridor are some suggestions.

We believe that this sort of alternative is small scale, fitting into the existing communities, minimizing impacts and following what's called the precautionary principle of not being -- have not been seriously considered in this impact because the developer is locked into the two properties they purchased.

The first review of the draft impact statement as published now has not changed our opinions about this project.

Our prime concerns focus on the smart growth implications. That is to say, this does

not follow the smart growth principles which are now becoming accepted by the planning community across this and many other states and which are now being embodied in the policies of this state governor and the state government.

They are summarized by the governor's Quality Communities executive order of January 2000, the report on the Quality Communities Task

Force of February 2001, and in state

legislation introduced in sessions of the State

Legislature since 2000. Let me remind you very briefly what those principles are.

And I should say parenthetically, Audubon
New York has organized three state conferences
on smart growth in 1999, 2000 and 2001, so we
have a little experience figuring out with what
these ideas are and what the principles are.

First, the basic idea is to encourage the use of the existing development infrastructure. That is, the existing development infrastructure, rather than foster sprawl, and thereby preserve open space, natural habitat and agricultural resources where this is applicable. It is to enhance

urban centers and neighborhoods, support traditional cities, villages and hamlets, and where possible, support the continued viability of rural communities. Invest in what you got, not something like a shining resort on a hill.

This can be achieved, the second point, can be achieved by local communities developing a collaborative smart growth plan of their own, laying out their own vision for their own communities. This does not include a vision imposed from outside, nor does it include development of such a scale that it overwhelms the communities and their resources.

Third, third point dealing with principles is this. Principles work to enhance a sense of community. They protect investment in the existing communities in neighborhoods, they protect environmental quality and conserve open space, they protect farming community, decrease congestion by providing alternative modes of transportation, they use energy conservation as a foundation for planning and design and make efficient use of limited public financial resources.

The key to all of this is coordinated planning at the community, regional and state levels. And if there is a fault of the whole SEQRA process, and I've been involved in it for 25 years plus, it's because SEQRA does not recognize the whole comprehensive planning needs of the community. It's a spot by spot, piece by piece kind of thinking that just doesn't work.

We're of the opinion that these basic principles are violated by the sheer size and character of this project. Furthermore, the process of community involvement and collaboration on a development plan for this region that is of an appropriate scale and character has been ignored and thwarted by the developer and by the state. But bluntly, this is not by any measure a development that could be considered a sustainable development project. It violates all the principles that I've listed above.

On a personal note, I spent several years of my life working on a little group called the Adirondack Commission, Adirondack Park in the

21st century. I sat in a similar spot organizing hearings around the state to get people's comments on that project on that particular report.

The one comment that stands out over and over again that we got from New York City to Buffalo when they looked at the -- the proposed kind of developments that go on there, it was simply this: The park is a park, treat it like a park.

I would say the same thing about the Catskills. The Catskill Park should be treated with the respect due a 100-year-old park.

Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: James Nevin. After Mr. Nevin, we'll hear from Paul Tobin.

MR. JAMES NEVIN: Thank you, Judge
Wissler, the DEC and the Onteora School
officials for providing all of us here and my
fellow citizens and myself the opportunity to
voice our opinions regarding the proposed
Crossroads Belleayre Resort project. I'm
speaking tonight in total opposition to the
project, based ultimately on the lack of

credibility of the authors of the DEIS.

Yes, indeed, we are certainly at a fateful crossroads here. The project, in my opinion, is really about three things; priorities, power and process. Before I continue, let me tell you where I'm coming from.

I am a 15-year resident of Pine Hill. am a local taxpayer. I am a local small business owner. My Internet consulting business has generated local employment. also a self-supporting new media artist, and at one time I worked for over seven months on a PGA golf course as a groundskeeper. I am also an enthusiast of outdoor nature activities. cross-country ski on the local Pine Hill railroad tracks right past where Silo A is located in the winter, and in the warm weather, I run beside -- daily beside Lower Birch Creek that we've heard so much about Birch Creek and the water. So I believe I know something about both sides of this so-called priorities question of environment versus economy that the project poses.

Frankly, we have been misled. Here in the

middle of the Catskill Park, the environment is the economy. The proposed project will be highly destructive of this environment started on day one with blasting of the Belleayre ridge, and in the broader sense, destructive of the tight-knit Pine Hill community and its local character. And I would add to that also the communities of Olive that we've heard about and the other surrounding local hamlets. We do not need Disney World on Belleayre Mountain.

The project is also about power. Local community power versus Wall Street power. The famous detective saying is, follow the money. This \$300 million project is financed by Wall Street millionaires who do not have the local community's interest at heart. The developer himself has openly admitted that if approved, the project package will be sold and operated by another major outside corporation, probably Marriott. Opposition to the project has come from local citizens' groups who have drawn on their own resources to build an effective opposition.

Finally, the project is about process,

the development process, the review process and the media process. The developer is simply put, not trustworthy. This was amply demonstrated by the developer's supposed white knight in the dark of night purchase of the Pine Hill Water Company, which happened to the public dismay of the Town of Shandaken, which was in final negotiations with the owner. It is no coincidence that our white knight secured for his project a potential water source from the company before agreeing to sell the rest to the Town.

The DEC is to be commended for following the review process. But frankly, is also suspect for its obvious self-interest in the adjacent Belleayre Ski Resort.

And last, the local media, with the exception of the <u>Phoenicia Times</u>, I have to say, has jumped on the bandwagon, no doubt excited by the prospect of advertising revenues from the proposed project, if approved. It's not approved yet. This income has already begun with full page smear ads by proponents of the project in the recent Shandaken election.

We can only hope that our priority will be the existing park and its local communities.

We can only hope that the power of local citizens is greater than the power of Wall Street. And we can only hope that the process will, in the end, not be about who has the best political connections, but about what is best for all citizens and our beautiful Catskill environment. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Paul Tobin. Marcy Woodruff.

Kate Woodruff. Loren Quinby. After Mr.

Quinby, we'll hear from Larry Bauer.

MR. LOREN QUINBY: I'm Loren Quinby from Phoenicia, and I'm very proud to say I'm one of the founding members of the group Friends of Catskill Park. And you'll may be relieved to hear, I'm going to be quite brief because, you know, it's an honor to share this podium with so many people who have come before me and spoken so eloquently about their love of the land.

I would like to just talk a little bit about something that's bothered me in this whole process, this review process. It started

out with a little problem called segmentation.

I would like to tell you a story.

Once upon a time back in April of 1999, a gentleman by the name of Dean Gitter put a letter in the paper, <u>Catskill Mountain News</u>. Lo and behold this letter said, and I'm not quoting, mind you, but I do have a copy of the letter and I would be happy to show anybody. But it basically said in effect, Don't worry, local folks, about the recent change in zoning that allows golf courses, because there's no place on the mountains you can put them.

Well, wouldn't you know the land was already -- the land which is now in the parcels which are now being considered in this review, Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park, was already being purchased before that letter was ever written, and it wasn't too long after that, about six months later, I believe, that he was presenting to the Shandaken Town Board his proposal for this mega-golf resort on top of Belleayre ridge.

So normally when a zoning change is made,

I believe that the process is supposed to

entail a review. Well, now we're looking at a review of a \$300 million golf resort completely separate from a review of a zoning change.

This is segmentation.

I bought my first home in the Town of Phoenicia about four or five years ago and I, like many people who have moved to the area, came because of what it is, not because of what it's not. It never occurred to me to move to the glorious Catskills because, God, someday it might look like Disney World, or maybe I can only hope, maybe someday it will look more like Westchester where I grew up. That's not why I moved here and invested my money in the beautiful Catskill Park.

I love these mountains. I love the fact that wilderness is side by side with these private holdings in such a harmonious manner, and this organic conglomeration of people, the people who have been here for generations and generations and the newcomers, be they from New York City or wherever they're from, I've got a neighbor who recently bought, and I believe she's from Germany and she loves this place.

You know, what a treasure we have, this unique landscape.

We've heard -- we locals have heard too much from certain proponents of the resort, and I don't really know if they honestly believe this when they say it or if it's just more hype. I can't answer that. Only they can answer that, I suppose. That the people who are opposed to this resort are afraid of change. Well, to that I would like to say two quick things.

I have a background in forest biology, an educational background. I work as a carpenter right now. Partly because I love to be self-employed because I like to spend a lot of time hiking, among other things. But any biologist could tell you that evolution is a process that has a lot to do with change, obviously. But you know, one of the primary sources of evolution in any given species in a biological community is mutation, okay? By far and away, almost 99.something percent of mutations that occur in any natural system die out. Change in and of itself is not positive.

So I think I really just would like to quote Gandhi on the subject because he said it much more succinctly and eloquently than I'm trying to here. Gandhi said, speed is irrelevant if you're going in the wrong direction. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Larry Bauer. It is now five minutes of 11:00. We will take a ten-minute break. Be back here at five after 11:00.

(The proceeding recessed at 10:55 p.m.; reconvened at 11:13 p.m.)

ALJ WISSLER: Our next speaker is going to be John Carney, followed by Sparrow, is all I have on the card here, from Phoenicia.

I would remind folks that pursuant to the notice, that written comments can be submitted in this matter until February the 24th. If you feel that issues that are important to you are particularly of concern to you have been articulated by your neighbors, you know, do feel free to submit a written comment.

I'm the guy that reviews them. The DEC reviews them, too, as part of the DEIS, but I will read them and I will -- they will receive

(John Carney)

the exact same weight as if you came up here to the microphone and spoke.

In addition, the speaker card, you can fill out your concerns in writing on the speaker card and give that to us and that will become part of the record of this hearing also.

John Carney.

MR. JOHN CARNEY: Good evening. Thanks for sticking around. My name is John Carney. I live in Hardenburgh. Actually, I've lived there since 1992, and Hardenburgh is on Rider Hollow Road which is on the other side of this proposed project, and that's where I shall be living and I'll have the honor and privilege of driving through this wonderland as I go over Todd Mountain Road to go to my house, all the happy people, I'm sure, waving gingerbread houses and fairy tales and perhaps pots of gold, because I do a lot of hiking. Maybe I'll find some gold up there.

I've spoken with a lot of people about this, actually, and one thing that comes through to people I find who are for this

(John Carney)

think that Mr. Gitter would never do anything to harm this area. Mr. Gitter has the best interests of this area at heart, and nobody would ever catch him doing anything wrong. But I don't think what they take into consideration is the fact that Mr. Gitter is the front man. He won't be doing anything wrong. He'll just be laying the foundation. Some nameless conglomeration is going to come in here and they are going to be doing the stuff that's wrong, and Mr. Gitter can happily dance away with whatever profit he's made from this.

So pesticides and herbicides and fungicides and herbicides show up in our water, well, we don't blame Mr. Gitter, he would never do that to us. We can blame that faceless conglomerate, and good luck blaming them and getting them on the phone when the night sky is illuminated seven days a week, all year round, not just for snow making at Belleayre after midnight, we can call the conglomerate. When traffic is backed up all the way to Kingston or when it's going out Oliverea Road out to Frost

(John Carney)

Valley, we know Mr. Gitter didn't do that, he just let some conglomerate do that in someone else's name. That's my first comment.

My second comment is, I want to know why it needs to be so big. Why does it have to be so big? It reminds me when I go to a movie and I want to just get a Coke and a popcorn and somebody says to me, Well, you can get a medium Coke and a popcorn, but for 50 cents more we'll give you a 55-gallon drum and a hefty bag of popcorn. And guess what, we'll refill it for you when you're done with it.

I am thinking, what am I, a squirrel? I'm going to take it home and dig it in the ground for winter. The reason those movies do that is because they make more money when they super-size it. The reason Mr. Gitter says it has to be more big is because they have to pour more cement, more girders, blow off more dynamite and make more havoc, which will be more profit. Of course, it won't be him doing it, it will be some conglomerate. I don't know.

I don't think we should let him super-size

the Catskills' ecological future. That's not something I think we should do.

And third point is, there's going to be 400 lodging units, 351 timeshares, 832 bedrooms, and to me that says a lot of garbage, lot of garbage, lots of garbage. And garbage I love bears. Prior to moving attracts bears. to the Catskills, my only experience with bears was a fellow named Yogi and his friend Ruble who wore a tie and a hat and he was rather The bears I've since run into since clever. I've lived in the Catskill are magnificent creatures that wander through the park and they are attracted to garbage. And if they're not cleaning this garbage up and if they're not taking it away and making sure it's not being, you know, handled by the bears, we're going to have bear problems. Garbage is like heroin to Bears start acting differently once they get that and we're going to have problems, there's going to be an accident and some knuckle head is going to pull out a gun like they did last summer, shoot one of these bears and it's going to keep going on and on and

25

that's going to be, I guess, a public relations disaster, not to mention a horrible tragedy for these magnificent beasts that live in the park.

Last week also when I was at the Margaretville meeting, some folks would say, well, you know, these ecological lunatics from somewhere else -- they're all from somewhere else -- would object to Belleayre Ski Center had they been around when it was being proposed. And there is a difference between the Belleayre Ski Center and this golf course. Belleayre Ski Center, if I'm not mistaken, is on a hill. You go to the top and then you go to the bottom, you go up to the top, go to the bottom, go up and then go the bottom.

We're going to build a golf course on a hill. You don't go "fore" and hit the ball to the bottom. So, we're going to blow it up and flatten it and put the golf course there, which is like blowing up the Tetons to put in a bowling alley. I guess the idea is, we have to destroy that mountain to save it.

My final point is about water. When I

first was coming up here with my wife, we were looking at houses. We were renting, and a real estate agent was showing us -- a really nice guy, personable fellow, he would make snide comments about the watershed, the DEC this and, you know, watershed that, and, well, you can't do this, you can't do that and he thought he would make a little joke. He said, well, you know, I always get my revenge. I go to Pepacton once in a while and I urinate in it. It wasn't a joke. He didn't say exactly those words, but I indicate that's what he meant.

And I said to myself, I told him I lived in New York City at this time and, you know, you just told me you urinate in my water, and is this a joke? And then I realized, he didn't care and he was probably banking on the fact that either I agreed with him or I just shut up because I'm not going to get in an argument with the guy. But that was a while ago and I'm not going to shut up anymore. I'm not going to hold my tongue, and I don't think anyone here should told their tongue either and be treated as an outsider.

If there is resentment now before this resort about the watershed and having to protect the water for those New Yorkers, which it's just not New Yorkers -- well, New Yorkers, yes, New York City people, which is some kind of bad word -- what are they going to think when we have to spend \$8 billion for filtration and everybody's going to have to pay for that?

As far as I know from the DEIS, I haven't read this thing, but there is nothing in there that says that Delaware County and Ulster County people don't have to pay for filtration. The whole state is going to have to pay for that thing and it's going to be happening if we destroy this water, this precious watershed that we have. And that's all I have to say. Thank you very much.

ALJ WISSLER: Sparrow. Sophie Franzen.

Peter DiModica. Peter, you'll be next.

SPARROW: The habits of millionaires do not fascinate me. Certainly I read about them in the gossip columns of the New York Post, but my interest is not abiding. A moment later I

(Sparrow)

have forgotten their mansions and personal airplanes.

But when a gang of millionaires chooses to enter my neighborhood, shave off the top of a mountain and pour toxins in the water supply of a large city, all for an inane golf course and resort, which will likely lose money and be transformed into a casino, I take notice.

We mountain dwellers endure snow and frozen pipes, wind and leaking roofs, but we do not appreciate social engineering. We are not subjects of an ambitious experiment. When the millionaires draw up their catastrophic plans, we fight.

I have one belief. The cartel building this golfing haven does not wish to create jobs or improve our spiritual life. They have one aim, to generate profit. And I have advice for them. Put your money in the stock market. I can even recommend a particular stock, Halliburton. Watch your money grow and leave us alone.

ALJ WISSLER: Peter DiModica. Chandra Lencina.

MR. PETER DI MODICA: Dear friends and the DEC officials, thank you for the opportunity to speak here this evening. I speak tonight as a resident for over 25 years, a local business owner for 20 years and as a previous Shandaken town supervisor. I moved to this area from New Jersey in the mid-'70s to get away from the unlimited growth that was happening in my neighborhood and because I loved the country. I have been spending a lot of time back there lately to care for my father during the last few weeks while he is recovering from surgery. Last week I was horrified when a friend's 15-year-old daughter was beaten unconscious by gang members. This activity did not exist there when I was growing up.

I had no illusions about getting rich here, but instead came to enrich my life. I settled here because I was surrounded by the Catskill State Park and I felt this was a place where with hard work and a little sacrifice, one could make a living and live in a place where other folks come to get away for vacation. The Belleayre Mountain Ski Center's

growth was controlled by the New York State constitution to preserve the integrity of the Catskill Park.

This DEIS presents an overly dire outlook for our region if this project does not get built, and it presents an extremely rosy outlook if it is successful. As a former supervisor of the Town of Shandaken, I saw the incredible growth that took place in our region. This growth has been going on for nearly a decade and it is reflected in the 2000 census. In fact, we are one of the fastest growing areas in this part of New York State.

Many of you here know that since the World Trade Center tragedy, there has been an additional major spurt in growth and property values in our area. With the further sprawl of New York City and its suburbs, I believe that we will have more than enough growth to keep businesses growing. In fact, the Catskill Park is a wonderful asset to the people downstate who in these frightening times have a place to vacation where there is beautiful mountaintop scenery, world class fishing, great skiing,

moderate traffic, lovely hamlets with great restaurants and interesting shops. This is the true character of the Catskills. This is why people come here. If they wanted to stay at a mega-destination resort, they could find that in the Poconos.

This project is a character killer. With its cadre of restaurants and stores, it will suck the life out of the hamlets. With its increased traffic, it will make the area less accessible to the visitors that enjoy the Park at present. With its golf courses and erosion and warm water effluent, it will threaten the trout spawning in our world class streams, and the clearing of the mountaintop and added impervious surfaces, it will endanger the lives of anyone now living downstream.

I read Governor Pataki's State of the

State speech and he said, quote, "Together

we've led the nation to our commitment to clean

air and water. Let's be the nation's leader in

clean and renewed energy technologies, and

preserving open space. Today, I will advance a

newly environmental agenda to achieve both of

these goals."

This seems at odds with this project of this scale being proposed in the Catskill Park and in the center of the New York City Watershed.

Governor Pataki also stated, "Every child, regardless of where they live, deserves clean air, clean water and pristine open space. Our programs are guaranteeing that," end quote.

Why, then, are we considering allowing the mega-resort project to open the door on developing the pristine open space that we already have? Why are we fixing what ain't broke? The Catskills are presently the most pristine open space within an easy drive of over half the population of the state.

The governor says, "Let's pledge over the next five years to make New York the safest of any state in America." Another laudable goal, but with over 800 workers, many of them in low-paying jobs, and a doubling of the population of the town, crime will increase. With a large increase in traffic, accidents will increase. And as I mentioned before, with

less forests on top of the Belleayre Ridge, floodwaters will increase.

This year marks the hundred year anniversary of the Catskill Park and, in fact, the governor is rightly proud of his record of establishing new state parks. Is this the way we celebrate the establishment of one of New York State's greatest assets? The Catskill Park is constitutionally protected and the intensive use area of Belleayre Mountain Ski Center is limited by the state's constitution. Indeed, it's the DEC's mandate to enforce that protection. Yet, this seems to be an end run around that constitutional mandate that protects against the expansion of the intensive I realize that with the record use area. setting years experienced by the Belleayre Mountain Ski Center, the area has a need for more lodging. The ski center has been a good and responsible neighbor for the most part and with its family friendly atmosphere, I want to see it succeed. But with a mega-development comes mega-impacts and it will be many, many years before we see any tax benefits.

25

Will the people in the area and their families be able to hold onto their property long enough to enjoy the benefits, if they ever come, or will they be the ones that have to commute to the meager jobs at the resort because they can't afford to live here anymore? This project needs to be cut down to a size that we can all afford. This area needs sensible growth, not irresponsible, out-of-control growth. With careful planning, we can have prosperity and still preserve the beauty that we all cherish here.

My store is located in a big, old victorian house in a good, visible business location. And although I have done lots of work on it over the years, it still needs a lot more. As a result, I get nearly as many people interested in my building as I get interested in my antiques and custom furniture. Several times I've spoken to people who are looking for a place to set up a small business, usually computer or Internet related since we now have access to broadband and they can work anywhere they can get that access.

These folks were often looking for a place that is big enough to allow for some expansion of their business if it is successful, and they need to add employees. They like the small town character and the attributes that attracted many of us to the area, but when they hear about this project, they decide to look somewhere else.

This project is being presented around the state and in Albany as our only hope. being said that we can't survive without it and things will be great with it. One of the tools the DEIS uses is a business survey that included many businesses owned by the developer, but did not include the survey that was commissioned by the Town of Shandaken Comprehensive Planning Committee. That survey showed low regard for golf courses, mega-resorts, cluster housing, gambling casinos, but showed high regard for protecting groundwater, maintaining quality of the environment, hiking trails, fishing, hunting and developing in our hamlets. I understand that this is the developer's job, but I

25

challenge Governor Pataki and the DEC to take a look around.

Look at the Renaissance that is happening here because it has not been overdeveloped.

Belleayre is enjoying record attendance, property values have sky-rocketed while adding little burden to services, and the per capita income has grown substantially. It is difficult to find help in the small stores and lodging places. Foreign exchange students are being brought in to fill jobs.

This document is a failure. The content is an embarrassment and full of conflicting and incomplete data, and this project as proposed will destroy our beautiful and unique area.

Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Chandra Lencina. After Ms.

Lencina, we'll hear from Jack Conaway. Jack

Conaway? How about Doris Bartlett? Okay.

Doris will be next.

MS. CHANDRA LENCINA: The Catskill Park belongs to the people of New York State. The Department of Environmental Conservation is a steward of our park, and it has appointed

(Chandra Lencina)

itself to be the lead agency in this SEQRA review of the Belleayre project. The Belleayre project would occupy 2,000 acres in the very heart of our park. Can the DEC assure us that it will act in our best interests to conserve the environment, protect the wilderness, clean water, clean air, our star-filled night and our rural communities? I truly hope so.

A 300 million dollar glittering bauble has been dangled before our eyes. With it come promises of monies flowing from the bauble into the coffers of the state, county, local government and private individuals. The downside of turning the heart of our park into a private compound for the wealthy has been either minimized or ignored by the DEIS.

Hundreds of low-paying jobs in our area where unemployment is already low will necessitate imparting the workers. Affordable housing is very scarce. There is not enough to house our working poor residents and our senior citizens. Will our town governments be forced to look for grants to provide housing for project employees? This hardly seems fair when

(Chandra Lencina)

the current residents cannot find affordable housing.

"In addition, the demand for rental units is not expected to increase as a result of the new workers. Many current area non-homeowners, e.g., renters, are among the un and underemployed and commuters to distant job markets who are in fact the workers most likely to seek stable and close-to-home jobs at the resort." This is an assumption, not a fact based on the scientific study, and it certainly minimizes a potentially serious situation.

Another excerpt from the executive summary of the DEIS, "The local school systems are not operating at capacity. Correspondence with the schools demonstrates that they have sufficient excess capacity to serve the very limited number of children who may be added to the system." I believe this statement ignores the impacts on our schools, with hundreds of new workers and permanent new residents in our area, our schools will be forced to build new facilities and hire more teachers. Our school

(Chandra Lencina)

taxes will go up much faster than the taxes come in from this project, since we will not see the full amount of taxes until 2025. We, the current taxpayers, will foot the bill.

All of this, the influx of low-paid workers, scarce housing, increased tax burden for services and schools, are some of the main ingredients in the time-tested recipe for rural slums.

In the past 12 years, the local economy has grown at a steady pace, fueled by our magnificent surroundings. No one has set a dollar value on wild forests, clean water, clean air, quiet, but all of this is our true economic engine. We live in a treasure, and we ask you, the DEC, to protect this treasure for us and future generations. I ask you to say no to the Belleayre project.

ALJ WISSLER: Doris Bartlett. Laurie Kirby, you will be next.

MS. DORIS L. BARTLETT: I'm Doris

Bartlett, a resident and homeowner in Shandaken

for more than 20 years, and a business owner on

the Route 28 corridor. I would like to comment

(Doris Bartlett)

on a few aspects of the DEIS.

One, the process by which this document has been put together -- before the public is far from a, quote, model of environmental or any other kind of responsibility. Documents were not presented in manageable format. The files are gigantic and were not text searchable. The time period allowed for review is far too short, especially during a period of major holidays. A request for the extension of 180 should be granted.

Two, the proposal calls for clear-cutting large areas of which they say no more than 25 acres of unstabilized soil will occur at any given time. Our town regulations limit the clearing of land for construction of residential or commercial structures to five acres at a time. Even five acres of unstabilized soil will create problems with storm runoff and erosion. 25 acres could be a disaster.

Three, the document proposes allowing the project to be registered as a petroleum bulk storage facility and as a chemical bulk storage

(Doris Bartlett)

facility. What in the world would justify creating the need to put such facilities on top of our mountains in the middle of the state Forest Preserve in the middle of the watershed and uphill from people's homes and gardens?

Four, the developer's promises to protect our town from future uses of this project are empty promises. Nothing in this document or in his public statements provides protection against the purchase of this site and its buildings by individuals or entities that are eligible to introduce casino gambling. The size of the hotels proposed is comparable to established gaming sites and is unnecessary. Earlier promises to use local contractors for the work of constructing these buildings have also vanished.

Number five, reasonable alternatives have not been considered. It is all or nothing.

All for a few and nothing for the rest of us.

This project should not be approved.

ALJ WISSLER: Chris Olney. Mr. Olney will be after Ms. Kirby.

MS. LAURIE KIRBY: My name is Laurie

(Laurie Kirby)

I'm a resident of Woodstock for 19 years. Last spring I hiked up Belleayre Mountain along the DEC trail, part of which goes through the Crossroads Ventures' land, along the edge, in fact, of one of the proposed clear-cut areas. Just at that point I met a porcupine, our paths crossed, we checked each other out and went our separate ways. But in that moment, I made a promise to speak up for that porcupine because it doesn't have a It came from the west out of what is slated to become, I guess, the backyards of some luxury weekend homes. Those chainsaws and bulldozers are going to mean for it the loss of its home, the loss of its habitat and therefore, most likely, the loss of its life.

Tonight, the DEC has heard rather clearly the voice of the people. I urge you to take into account also the voiceless; the wildlife who is already so under siege. I was struck by one word Mr. Gitter used at the beginning of the evening tonight, the word mitigate. We can take steps to mitigate the environmental impact.

1

(Laurie Kirby)

Two things. First, that's an admission that there is a bad environmental impact. And second, it's a claim that they can make it better. Now, there's nothing you can do to mitigate the death of an innocent creature.

Finally, please let us bear in mind a very useful concept, the word green washing. No amount of green washing can hide the fact that the basic purpose of this project is to line the pockets of the few already wealthy individuals. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Chris Olney. Anique Taylor, you'll be next.

MR. CHRIS OLNEY: My name is Chris Olney.

My wife and I are full-time residents of the

Town of Shandaken. We live and own property in

Highmount, just one mile from the Crossroads

property. The proposed project, as planned and

if built, will have considerable impacts on our

neighborhood and on the whole Catskill region,

impacts that must be taken very seriously by

the permitting agencies and by our political

representatives.

It is my opinion that this project is

simply way too large and way too burdensome for this unique situation, and there are many reasons I cannot accept or support what is being proposed.

My primary concerns of this project are focused almost exclusively to the Belleayre Ridge portion of this resort, on the Belleayre ridgetop east of Belleayre Ski area. believe that there are positive things that can be accomplished in the Highmount Wildacres area, building on existing roads in unobtrusive locations. A hotel and a conference facility can be positive developments there. Wilderness Activity and Youth Center sounds like a neat idea. But mountaintops and ridge lines on scenic Catskill Park should not be bulldozed and blasted to make way for golf course, all types of timeshare units, and a highly exclusive, self-contained resort that has no quarantee of succeeding or bringing the promised benefits, economic or otherwise, to the people of the area.

Each of the resort components slated for the Belleayre ridge would be inappropriate for

2 3 4

1

6

5

8

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

a ridgetop setting in and of themselves, let alone all together. Just the 150-room hotel with two restaurants, ballroom and spa sited on a mountaintop would create controversy. 18-hole championship golf course and clubhouse all by itself on a high elevation ridge would incite justified opposition. A 400-acre clear-cut on the steep-sided ridge is inappropriate. 52 acres of parking lot, 6 miles of new roads and other impervious surfaces and their associated runnoff is inappropriate. Blasting, filling several hundred cubic yards of materials is inappropriate. A proposal for just the 77 XXX and XXXX timeshare buildings, comprising 183 units up on the ridge would have people up in Even just four tennis courts, swimming pool, numerous lights on top of a high elevation, scenic ridge line is too much. Taken all together, this project is a colossal environmental mess, an obtrusion on local residents, and an insult to the integrity of the New York City Watershed and character of the Catskill Park.

The overwhelming size of the DEIS is symbolic of the overwhelming potential for negative impacts from this project. There are so many, I hardly know where to begin.

Massive clear-cuts, blasting, earth moving will induce erosion. Slope maps show that the hillsides descending from the Belleayre ridge are steeper than any ski run at Belleayre. roads and parking lots will send silt, salt and warm, polluted rainwater from any storm larger than a 25-year storm, which seem to occur pretty frequently these days, rushing down steep hillsides into Birch Creek and other small trout streams. These are streams that I fish and I strive to protect in my involvement with Trout Unlimited. These are streams are critical to the overall spawning success of the renowned but already stressed Esopus trout fishery. The DEIS states that the Belleayre ridge portion of the resort will cause 3.6 percent of the Birch Creek watershed to be This is more than all of the developed. impervious surfaces currently in the watershed, including all of Pine Hill. In other words,

the Belleayre ridge portion of the resort would more than double, almost triple the amount of developed land in the whole Birch Creek watershed. The fish in these streams will be even more stressed when guests from the resort start stomping around with their fly rods, competing for casting space. The same congestion, overuse and resource degradation will also occur on nearby state hiking trails, and popular destination points such as Giant Ledge and Slide Mountain.

Many impacts can only be guessed at. Can the confined groundwater acquifer and back-up spring supplying this resort replenish themselves fast enough to maintain adequate water supply to thousands of new people, thirsty golf courses, existing water users and the trout streams? Being near the top of the watershed, there must be very little recharge area for the aquifer, and I have serious reservations about the long-term adequacy of the water supply. Will the 238,000 cubic yards of imported fill material carry the seeds of invasive species that will sprout and spread

and invade the habitat? How often will I have to hear huge trucks growling loudly as they climb up the hill from Pine Hill to Highmount for the next eight years? How much light pollution will I see out my window at night? I have to endure light pollution from Belleayre Ski area in the winter months, but the rest of the year is blessedly dark, the way this rural area should be.

Another thing many of us already endure is a flood of cars coming into the Catskills on Friday nights and streaming back out again on Sunday, with many drivers being foolish and taking dangerous, unnecessary risks. Why would we want to invite more of the same, especially when so many of the newcomers will spend all of their time and money on site at the resort and bring precious little new patronage to our existing businesses? If new visitors do stop at other businesses, it will be mostly for gas and fast food, thereby prompting interest in franchises along scenic Route 28. Who wants ugly strip development to extend any further into the Route 28 corridor than it already is?

More traffic and more clutter will mean lower property values along Route 28.

I'm also very skeptical of the promised benefits espoused by the developer and their supporters. Projected tax revenues will be many years in coming and will not comprise a large percentage of the town's total tax base. Taxes are unlikely to go down. They rarely do. And the resort will create new municipal costs for us taxpayers to pay for. The developers promise the 800 new jobs to an area that does not have nearly that many unemployed, but yet they say jobs will be filled mostly by local people, at least low-end positions, with the high-end jobs going mostly to outsiders. many young adults who are born and raised here -- how many young adults who are born and raised here will stay in the area for a job that has an average salary of only \$27,000, as the DEIS indicates for the resort? Land values are already so high that a young couple making that salary would not be able to qualify for a mortgage for a decent home in Shandaken, and the gentrifying effects of the resort will only

make that situation worse. This resort will not help local kids stay in the area; it will only help drive them out.

Another example of a false promise is the developer's appeal to all of our heartstrings by promising that a full one-third of Crossroads Ventures profits will go directly to Crossroads Foundation to benefit community projects and non-profits. This may be true. However, it must be kept in mind that Crossroads Ventures is not planning on owning and operating this resort. They intend to make one quick profit and then get out. So there is no guarantee that Crossroads Ventures

Foundation will be around more than a few years.

Speaking of guarantees, who is to say that an Indian tribe won't be more interested than a Marriott Corporation in purchasing this resort from the developers? We can all guess what the ramifications of that will be, no matter how much the developer professes to oppose casinos themselves.

As for the adequacy of the Draft

1

22

23

24

25

Environmental Impact Statement itself, I feel 2 that the developer and lead agency have been 3 negligent in their responsibility to provide to 4 the public adequate analysis and comparison of 5 project alternatives. It is devious and 6 7 irresponsible to avoid presenting a smaller-build alternative, perhaps breaking the 8 project down into smaller components. 9 told that having only one hotel, one country 10 11 club, one golf course, et cetera, is 12 economically unfeasible, but this assumption should be corroborated with studies and not 13 simply inferred. There should also be an 14 alternative outlined in the DEIS that removes 15 the numerous timeshare residences and 16 single-family homes from consideration, since 17 these in and of themselves constitute a huge 18 component of the project's overall 19 environmental impacts and economic costs. 20 Furthermore, there are portions of the 21

Furthermore, there are portions of the DEIS that provide inadequate or misleading information. One example of this is the developer's failure to provide any analysis of potential secondary home development impacts,

claiming that this will be negligible. There is a similar dismissal in the claim that the resort will not add a noticeable amount of children to the local school system.

Another example is questionable average precipitation values used for the water budget analysis. An example of misleading information is found in the viewshed analysis. consultants visited the summit of Hunter Mountain, walked the trails, took a photo from the fire tower and declared that the Belleayre ridge was not visible from the fire tower or from the top of a ski lift at Hunter ski area. They neglected to say, however, that the ridge is visible from a rock ledge vista along one of the hiking trails, at a spot marked as a view point on the trail maps. And they also neglected to actually climb up the fire tower where the Belleayre ridge is visible from the top. And so the DEIS contains a photo only from the base of the tower, and therefore shows only a wall of trees.

If the public cannot trust the completeness and professionalism of the

23

24

consultants who prepared a simple viewshed impact analysis, then how can we be expected to trust their assertions and conclusions and more serious and imposing issues such as water supply and runoff, economic impact, secondary growth and the likelihood of success of this risky, high-end golf business?

Lastly, the short public review period for this massive document is grossly inadequate.

I'll close by restating some of what I wrote in a letter to the editor back in February of 2000 when this project was first announced. The proposed resort represents a flawed vision for our area. Golf resorts with their clubhouses, hotels and timeshares interspersed among the fairways cater to a very narrow, affluent segment of our society. majority of the traveling, vacationing public today is not made up of countryclubbers, but rather they are people that, by and large, seek out beautiful natural areas with a quaintness and regional flavor, not grandiose developments that cause environmental and community degradation. Posh resorts with canned package

deals and two-week stays don't have the draw they used to. Today's traveler wants charming bed and breakfasts, coffee houses, cafes, museums and small, unique shops to browse in, one after the other.

This is what the future of the Catskills should be and it is already what the Catskills is rapidly becoming, without the help of this or any other mega-resort.

ALJ WISSLER: Anique Taylor. Kurt Rieke.

MS. ANIQUE TAYLOR: I never usually speak in public like this but I thought it was very important. People have spoken very eloquently about the scientific part of this. I'm going to speak personally. I'm chemically sensitive. I live in New York and -- in the City and in New Jersey and I was bedridden. I came up here and I'm healthy. People say small amounts of chemicals are safe, and to some people they're not. I have concerns about the loss of the clean air, I have concerns about the loss of the trees, I have concerns about the natural parkland and the mountains, the addition of chemicals and what the added

(Anique Taylor)

traffic will do. It will be a tragedy to destroy even a section of our fragile beautiful natural mountains. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Kurt Rieke. Alexander Lines.

MR. KURT RIEKE: Thank you. Good evening. My name is Kurt Rieke. I'm the Deputy Director of the Bureau of Water Supply in New York City DEP. Good evening. My name is Kurt Rieke. I work in the New York City DEP Bureau of Water Supply. I'm the Deputy Director there to my principal, who is a Deputy Commissioner in our office. Christopher Ward has asked me to read a statement into the record. For those of you who were at the Margaretville meeting, it's basically the same, essentially identical statement to what we delivered there.

New York City DEP supplies about 1.1 billion gallons per day of exceptional quality drinking water for approximately 8 million New York City residents, 1 million residents of counties, including Ulster and Orange, totalling about half the population of the

State of New York, as well as many thousands of daily tourists and commuters from New York City and Westchester suburbs. DEP's authority and responsibility for operating this water supply derives from the New York State Water Supply Act, which was enacted in the early 1900s.

Our west of Hudson water supply consists of six reservoirs with a combined storage capacity of about 465 billion gallons, covering about a million acres, which are connected to each other and to the system's users downstate by about 270 miles of aqueducts and tunnels. The system is solely reliant on precipitation and runoff to supply the reservoirs. As has been said, if the supply is unfiltered, the pollutants present in the runoff directly affect the quality of the water supply to users of the system.

As was stated in the 1997 Memorandum of Agreement that's been mentioned by others tonight, and I'm quoting, "It's the duty of DEP to protect the high quality waters from which the city's water supply is drawn and preserve it from degradation for the purpose of

24

25

protecting the health and general welfare of all the communities" supplied by water from the The parties agreed in the MOA that the system. New York City water supply is an extremely valuable resource that must be protected in a comprehensive manner. Those parties also agreed that the goals of drinking water protection and economic vitality are not It's the intention of all the inconsistent. parties to cooperate in the watershed protection program that maintains and enhances the quality of New York City drinking water supply and the economic vitality and social character of the watershed communities. parties to the MOA include, obviously the DEP, also the State of New York, the New York State DEC individually, New York State Department of Health, the EPA, many towns, including Middletown and Shandaken, both individually and as members of the Coalition of Watershed Towns, which itself is also a party, the Villages of Fleischmanns and Margaretville, and the counties of Delaware, Ulster and several environmental groups.

All the parties to this MOA agreed to the same principals and all, therefore, have a mutual obligation to thoroughly evaluate any proposed project's environmental, economic and social impacts on both the local area and the regions served by the watershed before approving its construction and operation.

The proposal by Crossroads Ventures is the largest development proposed in the Catskills in decades, possibly ever, and as proposed has many different potential impacts on the quality of water flowing to the reservoirs. The Ashokan Reservoir will receive about 45 percent of the water quality impacts from the project. About 58 percent of the total acreage of land to be disturbed is in the eastern portion of the project in that watershed.

DEP is an involved agency under SEQRA for the review as has been said here. We petitioned and we sought to be lead agency and it was determined that DEC would fill that role. But our role as an involved agency exists because certain components of the project require permits from our agency, but

also due to our responsibility for protection of the water supply under state law.

As I said earlier, this responsibility is shared by all the parties to the MOA. We at DEP are devoting both significant in-house resources as well as consultant resources to a thorough evaluation the Draft EIS. We will be providing comments in detail before the close of the comment period.

I'm not going to discuss at length the details of those comments, but I thought I would just mention some of the areas of concern that we've identified so far.

These include the sufficiency of pollutant removal achieved by the proposed wastewater treatment plant designs, and the storm water management systems, validity of the baseline data employed for the designs as well as other aspects of the impacts analysis, the nature and severity of wetlands impacts from modifications that have been made to topography throughout the development, the accuracy, sufficiency and reliability, for that matter, of hydrologic analyses and water balance calculations that

(Kurt Rieke)

are used in the design, inaccuracy, I should say, of baseline conditions as depicted and the nature of optimism contained in projections of economic benefits as well as insufficient identification and analysis of regional, socioeconomic and growth-inducing effects.

And finally, local economic, environmental and traffic as well as regional impacts that occur during the construction period, which will be approximately eight years long as proposed.

Finally, the DEP has agreed to financially support the Towns of Shandaken and Middletown to retain consulting services of their own to provide support for their evaluations of the local impacts of the proposed project.

Shandaken, we have completed those arrangements. The Town of Middletown, we are nearly underway with that and expect that those efforts will yield additional analysis of the legal impacts in the two towns who are obviously very engaged in this project.

I want to thank you for the opportunity to address this hearing on behalf of Commissioner

(Kurt Rieke)

Ward of DEP. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Alexander Lines. Joanne Kalb, K-a-l-b. Julia Walsh.

MR. ALEXANDER LINES: First, I want to apologize for interrupting Mr. Gitter as he spoke. He decided to use me as an example, the sign I was holding, Save the Catskills, and he was twisting my message and my intent to suit his interests. So I felt that I needed to address it clearly because he decided to use me as an example.

As I drove to the public hearing in

Margaretville the other day, I was struck by
how beautiful and green the hemlock and white
pine trees were as you come out of Pine Hill
down the hill towards Fleischmanns, and I spend
a good deal of time -- I live in Mt. Tremper
but I spend a good deal of time down by the
Shawangunk Mountains, and the amount of
needles, even that tract of land which is
relatively long in length but relatively short
in width. So therefore, there's at least a
third less or half less pine needles, and you
can actually see the difference in the health

and vigor of the trees in this forest and the protection they currently have in a contiguous tract of land. That would be divided six miles of the southern Catskills with the northern Catskills, would be divided by this project.

And as I drove back, I was driving through
Pine Hill and all the way to Big Indian and I
was like, oh, my God, mile after mile this
resort is going to divide our park.

So I believe we need to buffer and protect our contiguous tracts and preserve the land. Development is brimming throughout this region. We all see it coming. It's all around Roads I thought were back roads, I go the back roads and there's actually another swath of development and another swath of development. And basically we're creating a bedroom community out of our area, and I think we need to look towards sustainability and the future and protect our water. We must protect our water, we must protect our air, and we need to use the resources that currently exist to the best possible amount we can and use timber and hunting and the appeal of the beauty and

25

the inspiration that people gain from this place to its full advantage.

This isn't just happening here. It's happening everywhere. We're trying to profit by building golf courses on productive land where these rich people who play there are taking money from other lands to support their golfing hobby.

So I want to speak about a few endangered species that happen to live on this land, and I wasn't sure whether I wanted to bring these up, but I think that preserving this land and saving this land is the most important thing we must face right now. And I know that American ginseng and golden seal live in these hills and that we must protect them because they have been overpicked and their habitat has been lost almost to extinction. So it's our responsibility to preserve our woods and to preserve the plants and the wildlife which nourish us and to save this area.

The eagles have finally come back into this area. They're nesting eagles on the Rondout and the Ashokan Reservoir, and when

those reservoirs are frozen, they fish in

Esopus and Birch Creek. I grew up along the

banks of the Birch Creek. I was -- when I was

a young child, I lived there in 1981, and there

was no traffic on the roads. And we would take

walks along the roads and we lived a very

simple life and we are very happy here. And to

see a project of that scale around that small

beautiful town is just totally out of character

and out of context with it, and would destroy

it.

So I think that the press has been misleading to a certain extent in their capturing Gitter's catch phrases and misled the public in that the DEIS was accepted for review, and now open for deep scrutiny. And looking through all the pertinent information, rather than it sounded like oh, it had been accepted as complete and was like a done deal. So I think we still have time to scrutinize this document and write our written comments and that we should write to all the politicians and representatives in Albany that represent us. We must set a precedent in this area and

not open the floodgates to development of our area for the future generations. This is our life, these are our mountains, this is our hills, this is our way of life. We don't need this here. This is our choice. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Julia Walsh, Aaron Bennett.

MS. JULIA WALSH: Hi. My name is Julia
Walsh, and I come here tonight as a resident
and a village trustee in the Village of New
Paltz, and I've come to submit to the DEC a
resolution that will be voted on tomorrow night
by our board, and I've also come here to
support you in the local community in your
struggle against this development.

I'm going to read the resolution.

Resolution requesting that the DEC address the effects that the Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park will have on the water quality of the New York City water supply system.

"Whereas the Village of New Paltz, the first municipality to connect to the New York City water system in the Catskill aqueduct from the Ashokan Reservoir; whereas the Village of New Paltz pays in excess \$50,000 annually for

(Julia Walsh)

25

1

water to the New York City Water Board for its services; whereas the proposed Belleayre development resort development will create substantial storm water runoff that will lead to turbidity and suspended organics in the watershed that flows directly into the Ashokan Reservoir; whereas this proposed development will also decrease water quality due to runoff from hundreds of acres of diffused source including golf course and facilities that will flow into the Ashokan Reservoir; whereas this development will also decrease water quality into the discharge effluent from a sewage treatment plant directly into the water with the Ashokan Reservoir; whereas significant turbidity and suspended organics caused by erosion will require New York City to build a water treatment facility for the Catskill aqueduct; whereas the quality water infiltration avoidance from the New York City water supply system is not addressed in the DEIS: whereas the creation of a water treatment facility for the Catskill aqueduct will lead to an increase in the price of water to the

(Julia Walsh)

Village of New Paltz's business and residential rate payer. We call on the DEC to address the effects of the Belleayre Resort and Catskill Park will have on the water quality of the New York City water supply system and cumulative effects on the quality of water supplied to New Paltz and ensure the best use of management practices to assure water quality is part of finalizing the DEIS, and that this document, if and when approved, will be sent to the Department of Environmental Conservation, to other municipalities that are connected to the New York City water supply system to the aqueduct.

Now, I also come before you tonight -that was my part as a village trustee in New
Paltz representing the village people, and our
concerns with our water that we receive from
the Ashokan, and I also come before you tonight
as the founder and executive director of a
local youth and student led organization called
Synthesis that has chapters in three area high
schools in both the county and state colleges
here in Ulster County. And I speak for the

(Julia Walsh)

youth of this area when I say we oppose the Belleayre Resort development proposal. development will forever destroy the rural character of our beloved Catskill Mountains and open Route 28 up to a mass exodus of people, traffic and pollution problems. We, the youth of this area, want development, sustainable development. We want tourism, eco-tourism. Wе call on the DEC to extend the public comment period on the DEIS to reject this proposal, and we call on our elders, family and friends to continue to educate and organize as you've been doing until this development is stopped. Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Aaron Bennett. Ellie Winninger. Gail Allen or Alben. Donald Sanford. You will be next, Mr. Sanford.

Mr. Bennett?

MR. AARON BENNETT: Thank you. Hello. My name is Aaron Bennett. I'm a 29-year resident of Oliverea, located in the Town of Shandaken near the headwaters of the Esopus Creek. I want to share some of my many concerns as a life-long resident, a New York State licensed

1

16

14

15

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

hiking guide, and as someone with a background in water resource management, regarding this proposed Belleayre Resort project.

One concern I have is the definite impact on water quality. One of the most serious threats to a stream's health is the amount of impervious cover, rooftops, roads, parking lots and sidewalks, from the watershed.

Extensive research has been done in this field over the last 20 years. The impervious cover model derived from over 30 studies shows that one impervious cover reaches 10 percent in watersheds of first, second and third order streams, which all of those in this proposed project site are, water quality declines. notably the pollution-sensitive animals like brook trout and stonefly nymphs die off, result in an overall decline in biological diversity. A few other impacts from impervious covering including increased stream temperature, increased storm water runoff, more frequent flooding and increased flood peaks, stream widening and erosion, and embeddedness. small headwater stream like Giggle Hollow,

Woodchuck Hollow, Lost Clove, Crystal Spring and Todd Mountain Brooks, that threshold drops to about 8 percent impervious cover.

The DEIS states that 3.6 percent of the Birch Creek Watershed will be developed. Using GIS, a friend and I roughly calculated the existing impervious cover of the Birch Creek watershed, using August 1997 data, to be 2.2 percent. Taken together, that would equal 5.8 percent. This figure does not take into account Belleayre's expansion and any other building since 1997, and of course the future expansion of Belleayre Ski and day use areas and the proposed 20-plus unit subdivision proposed on Birch Creek Road. If approved, this project will severely limit any new growth within this watershed and specifically the hamlet of Pine Hill.

Also provided in the DEIS are the watershed areas of Giggle Hollow and Crystal Spring Brooks and how much of the project site line within each. However, unlike other sub watersheds of the Birch Creek and the Esopus, no percentage of impervious cover is provided.

Again, using GIS and overlying a map of the project site, we estimated that roughly 20 percent of the Giggle Hollow watershed would be impervious, if this project goes through.

Giggle Hollow Brook is a class B trout stream with recommendations by DEC to be upgraded to a trout spawning stream. A similar situation exists for the tributaries of Emory Brook watershed that drain Wildacres portion of this project.

Mitigation efforts may help reduce some of the effects of impervious cover. However, these measures have not proven to maintain the biological integrity of streams. I am sure the DEC realizes because Giggle Hollow is a class B trout stream, aquatic life is a designated use. Therefore, any impairment that results in the loss of aquatic life, whether a brook trout or stone flies, found in this or the other five class B streams draining this project site is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

As an avid hiker and guide, the visual impacts of this project worry me. Some of the vistas on trails where the project can be seen

are identified in the DEIS, some are not. For instance, Simon's Rock, a designated vista on Belleayre's south shoulder, at about 3,100 feet in elevation, is one mile away, and a marked viewpoint on Halcott Mountain which looks directly across the Birch Creek valley on this site is less than five miles away.

The DEIS repeatedly downplays visual impacts from these points because the ski slopes are more intrusive to the viewshed.

That's what they say, which is true, I guess.

In addition, because much of the project faces east or northeast, these structures will undoubtedly be visible at sunrise due to all of the glass. Anyone shown those models? I can't tell you how many times I've seen the sunset glare off of Mohonk Mountain House from our mountains, which is all a distance greater than 15 miles.

My biggest fear about this project is how it will undoubtedly change my life in ways that are undesirable. After graduating from this very school, I went away to college for four years and decided to come back home. Why did I

come back? Likely for the same reason as everyone here. The combination of surrounding landscape, history and culture, recreational activities, local people, and the absence of large corporations and their chains of stores or developments that bring more degradation than benefit.

I don't want the Catskill Mountain region to take on a different feel, a different image. My father, another life-long Catskill resident, once told me he believed the largest contributor to the downturn of the economy of this area was the construction of a new Route 28 in the '50s and '60s. With its construction, now bypassed villages and hamlets like Phoenicia, Shandaken, Pine Hill, Fleischmanns and Margaretville lost not only their identity but the tourist dollar as well. This allowed for tourists to drive right through the heart of the Catskills without ever seeing our biggest assets, unique villages, flanked by clean streams and engulfed in mountains. These villages have finally come back to life, and it's very exciting.

25

I fear that if this project is built and is as successful as indicated in the DEIS, we will be back where we started over 40 years ago. It will be a self-containing entity that sucks the life out of every hamlet and village resulting in the establishment of convenience stores and traffic lights on Route 28. This economic well-being of the Catskill region does depend on tourism. However, its sustainability is very similar to the ability of Slide

Mountain to sustain thousands of users each year. The tourists and users must be spread out. Putting all of their eggs in one basket is risky and will only benefit a small few.

In Section 1, page 8 of the DEIS, looks to Ulster County's 1977 land use plan to show praise for this type of project. The land use plan reads, quote, "Expansion of tourism is a natural and economic function of the future development of Ulster County." This is true. However, in that plan, the following statements help make the county's real vision clear.

Page 14, from that plan, "Degradation of hillsides also destroys a community's

1 2

character. The surrounding hills are an esthetic resource which gives the community its distinctive setting. Could anyone imagine the loss to Woodstock and our region if Overlook were denuded, or to Ellenville or New Paltz if the Shawangunks were stripped?"

Page 40, "Our basic philosophy is to work with the land and let it guide us, rather than superimpose a new design as one might do in the plains of the midwest. I believe this is critical from an environmental standpoint. We think it is also critical from a long-term economic viewpoint."

Page 46, "The tourist industry provides facilities and events which can enrich the livability of a community. It can also lead to a procession of billboards, strip commercial blight, traffic congestion and seasonal workers who stay on to collect welfare. Ulster County has a long heritage of small family businesses and a rich resource in artisans and others who love the environment of this area. With proper land use controls, especially along our highways, and good site planning review, the

communities have a strong position to implement a policy of desirable tourist growth and prevent the intrusion of those who would destroy our environment." I don't know why they quoted the plan in the first place.

This is the Ulster County and Catskill

Mountain region that I have come home to and

wish to live in. Please, for the benefit of

those of us that will live here for the rest of

our lives, spend the time and resources to look

at this project from all possible angles, and

I'm confident that you'll see what most of us

here tonight see, a lot of vacancies.

Thank you.

ALJ WISSLER: Donald Sanford. Susan
Robertson. Rose Doran. Elga Brown, Elga
Attison Brown. Dennis Moran. Joseph Habib,
H-a-b-i-b. Okay, you'll be next.

MR. DONALD SANFORD: Hi. My name is

Donald Sanford and I live in Margaretville, and

I'm a science teacher in Delhi. I would agree

that earlier statements concerning the

environment and the economy are true. As I

stated earlier, Margaretville and Delhi are

both in Delaware County.

Before I begin, how many of you have lived all of your life in this area? How many of you have lived here for 40 years? I have been a resident of Delaware County all of my life, 39 years. Over that time I have seen tremendous change in the Catskill Mountains that I call What once was farmland and forests has home. become a playground for the many second homeowners who now reside here, so many second homeowners that they outnumber us in Delaware County. Every activity that those residents undergo is environmentally detrimental. truth be said, I wish that those farmers that were present 39 years ago were still around the countryside, but they're not, which leads me to take the position that I take today.

A great deal of development that has gone on over the course of 39 years is what I would characterize as irresponsible, some of this prior present land use regulations. Today, as I travel the roads that cross Delaware and Ulster County, I see the numerous homes in Woodstock on hillsides, these homes which have

19

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

been set up sometimes on five acres, sometimes more or less, have strained the truly forested farm setting which was once prevalent along this region. While this may have occurred out of necessity because farming has become at times unprofitable remains a 365-day-a-year job, it is nonetheless sometimes hard to take.

Being a participating member of my family's farm, fifth generation, I know the tremendous difficulties involved in farming.

This means that this area has become industrial and tourist-driven. Tourism is the logical choice and it is the one that has been made for us through the influx of people from New York City and other surrounding areas. Therefore, people need to come here for us to succeed today.

The area in which I live is having its young people move out because they cannot make a go of it. Just as one example, a young student that I taught moved to the Carolinas because he could not find a job up here as a golf course manager. I picked out one example for a specific reason. When my wife and I have

have our three children graduate from college, who knows what there will be here, whether they'll be able to make it here. My wife, for example, commutes to Kingston one hour each way and then drives back up the mountain to Oneonta for her job. These are skilled workers who have not yet moved. When each young person who was born here leaves here, a family with local tradition goes with them. A local economy is, at best, struggling. In Margaretville we have one grocery store. The other one closed up shop a few years ago, and thus, we do not have competetive prices unless we drive. We must drive an hour to see a movie.

Our very own Margaretville Memorial

Hospital of which I was a board member four

years ago was in extreme financial difficulties

until our alliance with Kingston Hospital, and

it was only through political grant funding

that the hospital lasted long enough to have

that come to fruition. And yet, at least one

person suggested in a local paper that the

hospital may be overburdened. This hospital is

one of the area's largest employers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

School population through all of Delaware County has declined at the expense of our young people. I understand the same is happening in Onteora, and West Hurley is almost closed. This area needs economic stimulus to provide for our residents and our young people. we go about improving our tourist economy? We need to bring in more people. Many people who have already moved here want the gates closed now that they are here. How nice of them to afford others the dreams that they are sharing. That would do wonders for our sagging economy. We need to grow. How do we best do that? Do we break up many hillsides and dot them with even more homes, or do we put up a substantial development in an already improved wilderness area that I guess you would call Belleayre Ski Center?

I have only heard a few people speak of the negative impact of ski trails, et cetera. So my preference is for the resort with conditions. Looking at what has been done at Catskill Corners, looking at what is planned for the resort, I see a quality project in the

works. This area yearns for a project of this design in contrast to that presently located in the Village of Fleischmanns.

Let's take traffic for instance. What actually would happen if we had busses that stayed overnight? These busses will not stay in bed and breakfasts, and we have no hotel.

So these people head back that same day. To date, this type of destination is severely lacking and I, one of many, who feels that way. It's just that I have nothing to lose for speaking out and there are people out there that feel that way.

In closing, I respectfully request that you, the DEC, objectively look at the costs and benefits of such a project, clearly and carefully, by comparing it to the addition of the same number of homes on five-acre lots which are currently being built, because barring stopping all development and/or living in Alaska where the government pays all its residents, I see no other way to maintain or develop economic sustainability without the influx of tourists or new residents.

My name

(Joseph Habib)

2

1

Thank you.

3

ALJ WISSLER: Joseph Habib.

4

is Joe Habib. I'm a part-time resident of

MR. JOSEPH HABIB: Good morning.

5

Shandaken for 30 years and full-time

6 7

environmental engineer by profession.

8

professional experience includes ten years in

. 9

water treatment and supply, and therefore, I

10

would like to offer some technical comment on

11

that particular aspect of this DEIS.

12

My comments will be brief, they'll be

13

factual and objective from an engineering

14

perspective.

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

To start with, I would like to point out a well known principle in the engineering field. An engineering report is only as valid as the data on which it is based. Of the numerous reports and studies within the scope of this EIS as prepared by the consulting engineers, I would like to focus and comment on one in particular which has far-reaching implications of its accuracy or lack thereof.

Between January 2000 and December 2001, Alfred Geoscience, a consultant for the

developer, had conducted flow tests on numerous springs and streams in the vicinity of the proposed development. The culmination of this study is referred to as Table 1A 2000-2001 monthly spring and flow measurements, and this particular Table 1A we'll refer to is widely presented in several of the appendices and exhibits pertaining to water supply issues in this DEIS.

The flow estimations derived from the data in Table 1A form the crux, basically form the basis of many of the calculations in the water supply section, and it is therefore, Table 1A represents a crucial component of the water supply reports and engineering reports in which it is referenced.

The main problem that I see with Table 1A is that there exists two different versions of it. What is supposedly the same document and is included in several locations throughout the DEIS, are actually two documents with significant differences in the recorded flow values.

For the record, I would like to refer to

page 25, Appendix 2.1 and page 49, Appendix 2.2. On these pages, these are two of the numerous locations, again, where Table 1A is presented, and looking at these two copies of what is presumably the same document, you will note stark conflicting values in 15 of the 30 rows of data presented here. It's basically half the data.

A closer examination of the data will reveal that nearly all of the data points in these particular rows have been uniformly increased by a factor of two and a half. In other words, given a spread sheet, what looks like happened, selected rows were increased by multiplying factor of two and a half. That's not to imply that that's actually what happened, but that's what the data looks like. If somebody ever gets a chance to take a look at these two, presumably the same document.

Much of the data in question has serious implications in the determination of the critical and sustainable yield of certain springs, such as the Bonnie View Spring and Silo A. And the two versions of the study have

б

been used interchangeably by Delaware

Engineering in documentation and correspondence
leading up to the DEIS acceptance.

I site the letter to Dean Palen, Ulster
County Health Department in June 2001 and
application for water supply permit
modification June 2002.

This is a major discrepancy which brings serious question to the validity of the spring and stream flow test data and all subsequent calculations, estimations and derivations based upon it and used in the engineer's reports.

Furthermore, a couple of more points based on some of the data. In this data table, there is a critical parameter, line E refers to the Pine Hill water supply meter. This also has questionable characteristics. This data was recorded once a month and on some of the data points, specifically on January 18th, 2000, May 22nd, 2000, zero flow was recorded. This, of course, is impossible for town water supply that's under constant use. And the inclusion of the number zero as opposed to an NM designation, not measured, suggests that it's

an actual recorded data point.

Also pertaining to line E is the reoccurrence of values in consecutive samples, which is highly unusual. For example, data point was taken July 26th, 2000, the data read 114 gallons a minute. August 29th, 2000, one month later, 114 gallons a minute. One month later, September 28, 2000, 112 gallons a minute. Town water consumption is an inherently variable parameter. The data present in line E does not represent normal variation with expected town water usage. Technically speaking, the standard deviation of that data is very low.

I have examples of what would be considered normal variation, which I will submit to the DEC in written form. But the data in that table, in that particular line is also highly questionable.

I think in conclusion, I think Delaware Engineering has demonstrated substandard reporting by misrepresenting crucial data in the context of a final report.

ALJ WISSLER: Let me have a show of hands

1.2

of all -- anybody who has given me a card, has not had the opportunity to speak yet tonight?

But only those folks who have -- not

Margaretville folks, folks who have yet to have the opportunity to speak.

We'll take a five-minute break.

(The proceeding recessed at 12:35 a.m.; reconvened at 12:44 a.m.; appearances as before noted.)

ALJ WISSLER: Folks, if you can all find seats just for a moment because I have an announcement I want to make. In short, we need another night.

Okay. There are speakers who wanted to speak tonight. We're just not going to get to you. Now, I also have a couple of dozen cards of people who wanted to speak but had to leave. Those are reasonably big numbers for the kind of outpouring that we have had here. So what we are going to do is, we have reserved this space for February the 3rd, that is a Tuesday, I think, and we will begin here at four o'clock. I, as the judge, am going to change the rules this little bit. We are going

to begin at four o'clock and we are going to go straight through till midnight. The hearing will end at midnight. Anybody who has -- wants to speak and will not have had the opportunity to speak by midnight on that day, will have to submit their comments to me in writing.

Again, I can assure you that everybody who gives me a written comment, that written comment will be given the same weight as an oral comment. But very clearly, folks need an opportunity to speak to this issue. So we will begin -- this hearing will be adjourned, there will be a notification published to this effect, but the hearing will be adjourned until February the 3rd at 4:00 p.m. here at Onteora, and we will go from four to midnight. We will not be taking a break. This will not be two sessions. It will be one single session. have cards. I'm going to tell you, only those folks who have not had the opportunity to speak, they will be given first preference. If we go through those and there are some other folks who maybe spoke at Margaretville or even spoke tonight would like to speak again, I'll

25

give you that opportunity, but I'm only going to give you that opportunity if everyone who wanted to speak has had a first opportunity to speak.

VOICE FROM THE AUDIENCE: Will you use these cards as a starting point? I think that would be fair.

ALJ WISSLER: I don't disagree. I can use these cards as a starting point.

VOICE FROM THE AUDIENCE: People have been waiting for six hours.

ALJ WISSLER: I hear you. Yes, I will.

But once again, I mean, those folks who are on
the pile are here, you need to be here at four
o'clock because I'm going to call your name.

If you're not here, then if you get here at
like 7:00, then, you know, all I can tell you
is you're going to have to submit another card
and take a shot. Okay? Any questions?

I don't want to take the entire credit for it. The Applicant's attorney and the Department's attorney, we all three agreed to this, as well as the regional director Mark Moran.

VOICE FROM THE AUDIENCE: Is there any chance the document can be made more accessible to us?

ALJ WISSLER: You know what? That's a question that I guess is best left to the Applicant. I know that hard copies exist as they are required by law to exist at my office in Albany, at the Region 3 office in New Paltz and in the public libraries that are in the notice, at Fleischmanns in the town hall.

The computer access problem, I can't address that for you because I'm not sophisticated enough to know enough about computers to answer those questions as to what needs to be done with respect to that. But again, the requirement of law is that the document is to be made available for public access and they are available at those four places that I indicated.

That is it. The time is now 12:48 a.m. and I thank you all for coming and I will see you all on February the 3rd.

Again, written comments can be sent by the 24th to Alexander Ciesluk, and he is in the

(Closing Remarks) Region 3 DEC office at 21 South Putt Corners Road in New Paltz. We'll make sure that that information is in the notice of the meeting on the 3rd. (Proceeding adjourned at 12:50 a.m.)

1.
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

CERTIFICATION

I, SHARON E. CHERNY, a Certified
Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of
New York, County of Ulster, do hereby
certify that the foregoing proceedings,
taken at the time and place above
mentioned, is a true and correct
transcription of my stenographic notes.

I further certify that I am neither counsel for nor related to any party to this action, nor in any way interested in the outcome thereof.

IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand, this 17th day of February 2003.

Sharon E. Cherry
SHARON E. CHERNY, RMR, CRR