APPENDIX 14

USACOE UPDATED DELINEATION REPORT & JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION REQUEST



REPLYTO ATTENTION OF: Regulatory Branch

SUBJECT: Permit Application Number NAN-2010-00313-WOR by Crossroads Ventures, LLC

Kevin J. Franke The LA Group, PC 40 Long Alley Saratoga Springs, New York 12866

Dear Mr. Franke:

On January 28, 2010, the New York District of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers received a request for a Department of the Army jurisdictional determination for the above referenced project. The area within the project boundary consists of approximately 707.44 acres, in the Delaware River watershed, in the Town of Shandaken, Ulster County, New York and the Town of Middletown, Delaware County, New York. The proposed project would involve the construction of a golf course, ski resort, hotel and housing development to be known as the Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park.

In the letter received on January 28, 2010, your office submitted a proposed delineation of the extent of waters of the United States within the project boundary. A site inspection was conducted by a representative of this office on July 14, 2010, in which it was agreed that changes would be made to the delineation and that the modified delineation would be submitted to this office. On April 12, 2011, this office received the complete modified delineation.

Based on the material submitted and the observations of the representative of this office during the site visit, this site has been determined to contain jurisdictional waters of the United States based on: the presence of wetlands determined by the occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology according to criteria established in the 1987 "Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual," Technical Report Y-87-1 that are either adjacent to or part of a tributary system; the presence of a defined water body (e.g. stream channel, lake, pond, river, etc.) which is part of a tributary system; and the fact that the location includes property below the ordinary high water mark, high tide line or mean high water mark of a water body as determined by known gage data or by the presence of physical markings including, but not limited to, shelving, changes in the character of soil, destruction of terrestrial vegetation, the presence of litter or debris or other characteristics of the surrounding area.

These jurisdictional waters of the United States are shown on the drawings entitled "The Modified Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park Wildacres Resort & The Highmount Spa Resort Town of Shandaken & Town of Middletown, New York Wetland Boundary Map", Drawings W-2.0 and W-2.1, prepared by The LA Group Landscape Architecture and Engineering, PC, dated January 10, 2011, and last revised April 4, 2011. These drawings indicate that there are fifteen (15) principal wetland areas within the project boundary which are part of a tributary system, and are considered to be waters of the United States. The project boundary consists of the area within the "Project/Property Boundary" line, as shown on the above referenced drawings.

The first four wetlands (Wetlands AB/AC/AE, AH, AM and AN) are located on the western portion of the project boundary and are a total of approximately 2.12 acres within the project boundary. The fifth and sixth wetlands (Wetlands AD and AF/AG/AI/AJ/AK) are located approximately 800 feet east of Wetland AB/AC/AE and are a total of approximately 4.29 acres within the project boundary. The seventh through tenth wetlands (Wetlands HA/HB, HC, HD and HE) are located on the central portion of the project boundary and are a total of approximately 0.42 acres. The eleventh wetland (Wetland M/N) is located on the eastern half of the project boundary and is approximately 3.64 acres within the project boundary. The twelfth, thirteenth and fourteenth wetlands (Wetlands H/I, K/L and Y/Z) are located approximately 800 feet east of Wetland M/N and are a total of approximately 2.26 acres within the project boundary. The fifteenth wetland (Wetland HN/O/P) is located on the eastern portion of the property, approximately 2,500 feet east of Wetland H/I and is approximately 0.29 acres within the project boundary.

It should be noted that, in light of the U.S. Supreme Court decision (Solid Waste Agency of Northern Cook County v. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, No. 99-1178, January 9, 2001), the remainder of the wetlands shown on the above referenced drawings (Wetlands AL, AO, AP, CA and CB/CC) do not meet the current criteria of waters of the United States under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. The Court ruled that isolated, intrastate waters can no longer be considered waters of the United States, based solely upon their use by migratory birds.

It should also be noted that this jurisdictional determination covers portions of the Adelstein, Highmount and Wildacres parcels of land, as shown on the "Sheet Index" portion of the above referenced drawings. Areas associated with the routes of proposed water and sewer lines along New York State Route 28 are not part of this jurisdictional determination.

This determination regarding the delineation shall be considered valid for a period of five years from the date of this letter unless new information warrants revision of the determination before the expiration date. This determination was documented using the Approved Jurisdictional Determination Form, promulgated by the Corps of Engineers. A copy of that document is enclosed with this letter, and will be posted on the New York District website at: <u>http://www.nan.usace.army.mil/business/buslinks/regulat/index.php?</u> jurisdet.

This delineation/determination has been conducted to identify the limits of the Corps Clean Water Act jurisdiction for the particular site identified in this request. If you object to this determination, you may request an administrative appeal under Corps regulations at 33 CFR Part 331. Enclosed is a combined Notification of Appeal Process (NAP) and Request For Appeal (RFA) form. If you request to appeal this determination you must submit a completed RFA form to the North Atlantic Division Office at the following address:

Michael G. Vissichelli, Administrative Appeals Review Officer North Atlantic Division, U.S. Army Engineer Division Fort Hamilton Military Community General Lee Avenue, Building 301 Brooklyn, New York 11252-6700

In order for an RFA to be accepted by the Corps, the Corps must determine that it is complete, that it meets the criteria for appeal under 33 CFR Park 331.5, and that it has been received by the Division Office within 60 days of the date of the NAP. Should you decide to submit an RFA form, it must be received at the above address by **OCT 15 2011**. It is not necessary to submit an RFA form to the Division Office if you do not object to the determination in this letter.

This delineation/determination may not be valid for the wetland conservation provisions of the Food Security Act of 1985, as amended. If you or your tenant are USDA program participants, or anticipate participation in USDA programs, you should request a certified wetland determination from the local office of the Natural Resources Conservation Service prior to starting work.

The drawings entitled "The Modified Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park Wildacres Resort & The Highmount Spa Resort Town of Shandaken & Town of Middletown, New York", Drawings W-3.0, W-3.1, W-3.2, W-3.3, W-3.4 and W-4.0, prepared by The LA Group Landscape Architecture and Engineering, PC, dated January 10, 2011, and last revised April 4, 2011, indicate that approximately 2.08 acres of trees would be cleared within jurisdictional waters, and approximately 263.5 linear feet of jurisdictional waters would be crossed by directionally bored utility lines. In addition, six elevated golf cart crossings and one arch culvert road crossing would be constructed over jurisdictional waters. As described in the above referenced drawings, no discharge of fill material within jurisdictional waters would occur in association with these activities. Our review indicates that since the proposed work does not appear to include dredging or construction activities in or over any navigable waters of the United States, the placement of any dredged or fill material in any waters of the United States (including coastal or inland wetlands) or the accomplishment of any work affecting the course, location, condition or capacity of such areas, a Department of the Army permit, in accordance with 33 CFR 320-330, will not be required provided the proposed work is executed in accordance with the referenced material.

Care should be taken so that any fill or construction materials, including debris, do not enter the waterway to become a drift or pollution hazard. You are to contact appropriate state and local government officials to ensure that the subject work is performed in compliance with their requirements.

In order for us to better serve you, please complete our Customer Service Survey located at http://www.nan.usace.army.mil/business/buslinks/regulat/index.php?survey

If any questions should arise concerning this matter, please contact Brian A. Orzel, of my staff, at (917) 790-8413.

Sincerely,

Inistopker [Spallery

Christopher S. Mallery, Ph.D. Chief, Western Section

Enclosures

January 11, 2011

<u>Via Overnight Delivery</u> Mr. Brian Orzel US Army Corps of Engineers NY District, Regulatory Branch Jacob Javits Federal Building, Room 1937 New York, NY 10278-0090

Re: Modified Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park, Town of Shandaken, Ulster County, and Town of Middletown, Delaware County, New York Project Application No. NAN-2010-00313-WOR

Dear Mr. Orzel:

On behalf of my client, Crossroads Ventures, LLC, the project sponsor for the Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park Project, I am submitting the enclosed drawings and documents. The purpose of these materials is to support our assertion that the proposed project will have no regulated impact on wetlands or other waters of the United States under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

In an April 27, 2005 letter to Richard Futyma of the LA Group, the New York District issued a Jurisdictional Determination and confirmation that an individual permit was not required for the original Belleayre Resort project. Due to changes in the geographic scope of the project currently proposed, and in anticipation of the expiration of the Jurisdictional Determination on April 27, 2010, I submitted to you a request for a new jurisdictional determination on January 26, 2010. On July 14, 2010, you met with Richard Futyma to inspect wetlands in a few small areas of the project site that previously were not going to be impacted and to re-visit some of the wetlands that you had seen previously. We are now requesting that you issue a new Jurisdictional Determination for this project and to affirm our conclusion that none of the components of this project require authorization under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

1. Project Plans

Enclosed is a plan set that includes the following:

1. A cover sheet identifying the project name, project application number and date.

2. Drawing W-1.0, Project Boundary Diagram, a 1"= 600' site location map showing the properties owned by Crossroads Ventures, LLC, as well as the off-site routes of the water and sanitary sewer lines that will serve the project.

3. Drawings W-1.1 (1"=400') and W-1.2 and W-1.3 (1"=200'), Project Master Plans. These drawings include a table of the proposed activities in wetlands that is also provided below.

4. Drawings W-2.0 and W-2.1, Wetland Boundary Maps, 1"=200' wetland delineation summary maps showing the boundaries of wetlands that exist on the lands owned or controlled by Crossroads Ventures as verified for the April 2005 Jurisdictional Determination. These sheets also contain the project site acreage and the acreages of wetlands present on the site.

5. Drawings W-3.0, W-3.1 and W-3.2, Wetland Activities Plan, 1"= 50', depicting the on-site areas where wetlands will be affected by non-mechanized clearing of trees and shrubs, elevated roadway and golf cart path crossings on helical pier supports, and directional boring.

6. Drawings W-3.3 and W-3.4, Wetland Activities Plan: Off-site, 1"=300' with 1"=40' blowups, showing where the off-site water and sewer lines will be installed under wetlands via directional drilling. Wetland acreages and or lengths are also provided on these drawings that also show a 50 foot wide corridor that is considered the off-site project area.

7. Drawing W-4.0, Site Details.

2. Proposed Activities Involving Waters of the US

The project has been planned to avoid impacts to waters of the United States. The following table, keyed to Drawings 3.0 through 3.4, lists the areas of non-mechanized tree and shrub clearing, spanned crossings, and directional bore crossings.

	Non-Mechanized Tree Clearing			
ID	Location	Wetland Number	Square feet	Acres
C1	Hole 11 - south	16	780	0.02
C2	Hole 11 - center	16	10,655	0.24
C3	Hole 11 - north	16	7,395	0.17
C4	Hole 16 - near green	16	3,206	0.07
C5	Hole 13 cart path	16	1,026	0.02

Proposed Wetland Activities at the Belleayre Resort Site

		10	12.000		0.00	
6	Hole 13 - west	16	12,080		0.28	
C7	Hole 13 - east	21	1,778		0.04	
C8	Hole 16 - near tees	21	3,732		0.09	
C9	Hole 18 - west	19	12,865		0.30	
C10	Hole 18 - east	20	32,515		0.75	
C11	Hole 3	24	1,665		0.04	
C12	Hole 7	24	2,755		0.06	
	TOTAL		90,452			2.08
	Wetland Areas Spanned by Elevated Golf Cart Boardwalks & Road Bridge					
		Wetland				
	Location	Number	Square feet	(LF)		Acres
S1	Hole 7	24	130	13.0	0.003	
S2	Hole 11 - center	16	125	12.5	0.003	
S3	Hole 11 - north	16	305	30.0	0.007	
S4	Hole 11 - south	16	315	31.5	0.007	
S5	Hole 13 cart path	16	360	36.0	0.008	
S6	Hole 16 - near tees	21	250	25.0	0.006	
S7	Road B Bridge	21	400	24.0	0.009	
			4 995			

	Wetland Areas Crossed By Subsurface Directional Bore				
		Wetland			
	Location	Number		LF	
B1	Off-Site	-	-	16.5	
B2	Off-Site	-	-	16.0	
B3	Off-Site	-	-	15.0	
B4	Off-Site	-	-	13.0	
B5	Off-Site	-	-	72.0	
B6	Hole 11 -Tee Box	16	-	20.0	
B7	Hole 11 -Tee Box	16	-	20.0	
B8	Hole 16- Tee Box	21	-	25.0	
B9	Hole 7 -Tee Box	24	-	11.0	
B10	Hole 7 -Tee Box	24	-	11.0	
B11	Hole 7 -Tee Box (stormwater)	24	-	11.0	
	TOTAL			230.5	

A. Non-mechanized Tree Removal

As per the table above, the main activities in waters of the United States, including wetlands under federal jurisdiction will be the clearing of trees and shrubs in the 12 places where golf course fairways cross the wetlands comprising a total area of 2.08 acres. All of the proposed clearing of woody vegetation will be done by hand, using chainsaws and other hand-operated power equipment. Heavy machinery, such as bulldozers and backhoes, will not be used to conduct the clearing or to pull stumps. Therefore, no disturbance of soil will take place. Detailed tree clearing protocols (see attachment) will be included on the grading and clearing plans provided to contractors.

B. Bridge Crossings

An additional activity which will take place in six of the cleared areas will be the installation of golf cart bridges. These golf cart bridges will total 148 linear feet and will cover an area of 0.03 acres of jurisdictional wetlands. Detail 1 on sheet W-4.0 illustrates the boardwalk cart path crossing. Detail 1 shows how the cart path bridges will be supported by helical piers 12 feet on center (typical) which represent *de minimus* activity within the wetlands.

There will also be a bridge on an internal roadway east of the Marlowe Mansion (road B), which will be 24 feet long and cover 0.009 acres of wetland. Detail 8 on Sheet W-4.0 shows how this crossing will be made using a steel arch culvert span with the footings for the span located outside of the wetlands.

In total there are 1,885 linear feet of wetlands crossings covering 0.043 acres.

C. Water and Sewer Lines Subsurface Crossings

Outside of the lands owned or controlled by Crossroads Ventures, LLC, the project will involve installation of water supply lines from two parcels on which wells have been drilled, and the installation of a sewer line connecting with the sewer system in the hamlet of Pine Hill. For most of their routes, both the water and sewer lines will run along the right-of-way of New York State Route 28 (see drawings W-1.0 and W-3.3 and W-3.4). Crossroads Ventures has confirmed with NYSDOT personnel that installation of the water and sewer lines can occur within the NYSDOT right-of-way, and construction drawings will be provided to NYSDOT for review and approval prior to construction. The route of the water supply line intersects four streams or wetlands, and the sewer line intersects one wetland. Together these crossings total 132.5 linear feet. However, both pipelines will be installed by directional drilling. All boring pits, entrance and receiving, will be located outside of wetlands, and the pipes will lie a minimum of 5 feet below the surface, the result of which is that there will be no disturbance of wetland soil or the root systems of wetland plants.

In addition to these off site water and sewer line crossings there are an additional 5 crossings associated with water distribution and sewer collection lines within the project site proper. See Drawings W-3.0 through W-3.2. These lines, totaling 87 feet, will also be installed by directional drilling and avoid wetland impacts.

A total of 219.5 feet of bored wetlands crossings is proposed.

D. Summary of Activities

The proposed activities directly affecting wetlands under Federal jurisdiction consist of non-mechanized clearing of woody vegetation. This type of land clearing does not require authorization under any federal law. Constructing elevated wetland crossings requires only *de minimus* wetland impacts for installation of golf cart boardwalk support members. Installation of water and sewer lines under wetlands via directional drilling results in no filling or other direct impact, and is not subject to regulation.

3. Adelstein Conservation Easement

Subsequent to your issuance of the original Jurisdictional Determination in April 2005, Crossroads Ventures has granted a conservation easement on the Adelstein parcel (see location on Drawing W-1.0) to the City of New York. This parcel is approximately 203 acres in size and contains 6.39 acres of jurisdictional wetlands.

Mr. Brian Orzel, USACE January 11, 2011 Page 6 of 6

To reiterate, we are requesting issuance of a new Jurisdictional Determination and confirmation that the project, as currently proposed, may proceed without any additional authorization from your office.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you should need any additional information to complete your analysis of this project.

Sincerely,

Kevin J. Franke for The LA group, P.C.

Encl.

Cc: Jack Schoonmaker Terresa Bakner, Esq.

THE MODIFIED BELLEAYRE RESORT AT CATSKILL PARK TOWNS OF SHANDAKEN AND MIDDLETOWN, NEW YORK.

Prepared For:

CROSSROADS VENTURES L.L.C. P.O. BOX 267 MT. TREMPER, NY 12457

Landscape Architect

the LA group, P.C.

40 Long Alley Saratoga Springs, NY 12866 TEL: (518) 587-8100

С
<u> </u>
4
Al
TE

Golf Course Architect Love Enterprises and Assoc. 215 Anguilla St. St. Simons Island, GA 31522 TEL: (912) 638-3679

drogeologic Consultant	Survey C
Alpha Geoscience	Re
1071 Troy-Schenectady Rd.	P.O.
Latham, NY 12110	Mar
TEL: (518) 783-1805	TEL

Submission: **JANUARY**, 2011

SHEET INDEX:

W-1.0	PROJECT BOU
W-1.1	PROJECT MAS
W-1.2	PROJECT MAS
W-1.3	PROJECT MAS
W-2.0	WETLAND BO
W-2.1	WETLAND BO
W-3.0	WETLAND AC
W-3.1	WETLAND AC
W-3.2	WETLAND AC
W-3.3	WETLAND AC
W-3.4	WETLAND AC
W-4.0	SITE DETAILS

Consultant Creighton-Manning Eng., LLP Automation Lane Albany, NY 12205-1683 EL: (518) 446-0396

Legal Counsel Whiteman, Osterman, & Hanna LLP One Commerce Plaza Albany, NY 12260 TEL: (518) 487-7600

Consultant ettew Eng. & Surveying, P.C. Box 808 garetville, NY 12455 .: (845) 586-2400

Architecture Consultant Emilio Ambasz and Assoc, Inc 8 East 62nd St. New York, NY 10021 TEL: (212) 751-3517

Architecture Consultant Hart | Howerton 10 East 40th St. New York, NY 10016 TEL: (212) 683-5631

US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS Project Application No. NAN-2010-00313-WOR

UNDARY DIAGRAM STERPLAN STERPLAN STERPLAN UNDARY MAP **UNDARY MAP TIVITIES PLAN** TIVITIES PLAN TIVITIES PLAN TIVITIES PLAN: OFF-SITE TIVITIES PLAN: OFF-SITE





d By: JUSTIN SANFORD Date: 11/1/2011 8:59 AM ame: G:tProj-07/074_Crossroads\u77074CAD\WFTLANDS_ACOE_J



	Proposed Wetland Activities at th	e Belleayre Resor	t Site	
	Non-Mechanized Tree Clearing			
		Wetland		
ID	Location	Number	Square feet	
C1	Hole 11 - south	16	780	
C2	Hole 11 - center	16	10,655	
C3	Hole 11 - north	16	7,395	
C4	Hole 16 - near green	16	3,206	
C5	Hole 13 cart path	16	1,026	
C6	Hole 13 - west	16	12,080	
C7	Hole 13 - east	21	1,778	
C8	Hole 16 - near tees	21	3,732	
C9	Hole 18 - west	19	12,865	
C10	Hole 18 - east	20	32,515	
C11	Hole 3	24	1,665	
C12	Hole 7	24	2,755	
	TOTAL		90,452	
	Wetland Areas Crossed by			
	Elevated Golf Cart Boardwalks &			
	Road Bridge			
		Wetland	-	
	Location	Number	Square feet	(
S1	Hole 7	24	130	13
S2	Hole 11 - center	16	125	12
\$3	Hole 11 - north	16	305	30
S4	Hole 11 - south	16	315	3:
\$5	Hole 13 cart path	16	360	30
<u>S6</u>	Hole 16 - near tees	21	250	25
S7	Road B Bridge	21	400	24
	TOTAL		1,885	172
	Mathematica Conservation			
	Subsurface Directional Para			
	Subsurface Directional Bore	Watland		
	Location	Number		16
D1	Off Site	Number		10
B1 B2	Off-Site	-		16
D2 D2	Off Sito			10
B3 B4	Off Site			1.
D4	Off-Site	-	-	
DD DG	Hole 11 Tee Box	- 16		20
50		10		20
B/	Hole 1C Tee Box	10	2.00 7.01	20
DO DO		21		2:
D9	Hole 7 Tee Box	24	22 55 4 1964	1.
D10	Hole 7 Tee Dox	24		1.
DTT		24		1.
	IUTAL			230







B NULBHIN

Wetland ID # and Line(s)	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	Isolated?
(1) AB/AC	75,240	1.73	no
(2) AD	25,081	0.58	no
(3) AE	13,218	0.30	no
(4) AF/AG/AI/AJ	133,888	3.07	no
(5) AH	519	0.01	no
(6) AK	27,986	0.64	no
(7) AL	77,910	1.79	yes
(8) AM	1,866	0.04	no
(9) AN	1,024	0.02	no
(10) AO	3,285	0.08	yes
(11) AP	1,488	0.03	yes
(12) HA/HB	4,296	0.10	no
(13) HC	3,294	0.08	no
(14) HD	3,774	0.09	no
(15) HE	6,271	0.14	no
Map Sheet 1 total	379,140	8.70	
Sheet 1 isolated wetlands	82,683	1.90	
Sheet 1 non-isolated	278,822	6.80	

20 A.		-		
10	17		2.00	

HIGHMOUNT All wetlands shown on this drawing are owned by Crossroads Ventures, L.L.C. Refer to drawings JD-09-04 and JD-09-05 for additional wetlands outside of the property boundary.
Wetland boundaries were delineated by Barbara B. Beall, Roger J. Case, and Richard P. Futyma of the LA Group, P.C., between September 14 and November 9, 1999. Additional investigation and delineation occurred on November 9, 1999. Additional investigation and delineation occurred on May 18, 2007 (the former Museum outparcel), July 10, 2008 (top of former Highmount Ski Area), July 31, 2009 (off-site water line route), and August 24, 2009 (off-site sewer line route).
All wetlands shown on this drawing were survey located by Rettew Engineering and Surveying, P.C. and were confirmed by the Jurisdictional Determination issued to Permit Application Number 2000-00748-1-YS by Crossroads Ventures, LLC on April 27, 2005. TOTAL AREA OF WATERS OF THE UNITED STATES ON PROJECT SITE:12.99 ACRESTOTAL AREA OF NON-JURISDICTIONAL (ISOLATED) WETLANDS:2.49 ACRESTOTAL WETLANDS AREA ON PROJECT SITE:10.50 ACRESPROJECT SITE:738.83 ACRES 2.49 ACRES 10.50 ACRES 738.83 ACRES 707.44 ACRES AREA FOR JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION: LEGEND SYMBOL ISOLATED WETLAND NON-ISOLATED WETLAND

PROPERTY LINE

COUNTY LINE





I NULBAL **33 (**

Wetland ID # and Line(s)	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	Isolated?
(16) M/N	158,490	3.64	no
(17) CA	16,213	0.37	yes
(18) CB/CC	9,787	0.22	yes
(19) H	16,661	0.38	no
(20) I	54,891	1.26	no
(21) K/L	24,276	0.56	no
(22) Y/Z	2,653	0.06	no
(23) not on current site			
(24) HN/O/P	12,517	0.29	no
Map Sheet 2 total	295,488	6.78	
Sheet 2 isolated wetlands	26,000	0.59	
Sheet 2 non-isolated	269,488	6.19	



18y: JUSTIN SANFORD bate: 1/10/2011 8:12 AM ima: G:Proj-07/07074_Crossreeds07074/CADNVETLANDS_ACOE_JJ LEGEND PROPERTY LINE EXISTING CONTOUR PROPOSED CONTOUR PROPOSED CONTOUR EXISTING TREELINE TREELINE (LIMIT OF CLEARING) ISOLATED WETLANDS NON-ISOLATED WETLANDS NON-MECHANIZED WETLAND CLEARING BORING LOCATION/UTILITY TRENCHING SILT FENCE STORMWATER PIPE FORCE MAIN SEWER WATERLINE

they are

1

-x-x-x-x-

ROAD

and have been all the

-x-->

C9





d By: JUSTIN SANFORD Date: 1/102011 8:12 AM



JUSTIN SANFORD 1/11/2011 10:52 AM G/Pro-D707074 CR tted By: /e Detec Name:

LEGEND PROPERTY LINE **EXISTING CONTOUR** PROPOSED CONTOUR EXISTING TREELINE TREELINE (LIMIT OF CLEARING) ISOLATED WETLANDS NON-ISOLATED WETLANDS NON-MECHANIZED WETLAND CLEARING BORING LOCATION/UTILITY TRENCHING SILT FENCE STORMWATER PIPE

LB

15

41

5

6

55

2

0

 \sim

JET !!

40

m

1990

FORCE MAIN

SEWER

WATERLINE



4

43







Pictuad By: JUSTIN SANFORD Save Date: 1/11/2011 10:54 AM File Name: G:Vroy-07/07974_CM

Wetland ID # and Line(s)	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	Isolated?
(A) WA/WB	N/A	N/A	no
(B) WC/WD	N/A	N/A	no
(C) WE,WF	N/A	N/A	no
(D) WG/WH	N/A	N/A	no
(E) WP	6,519	0.15	yes
(F) WN/WO	10,377	0.24	no
(G) WM	N/A	N/A	no





Q the LA group Landscape Architecture and Engineering, PC 40 Long Alley Saratoga Springs New York 12866 P 518/587-8100 F 518/587-0180 www.thelagroup.com Unauthorized alteration or addition to this document is a violation of Section 7209 of the New York State Education Law © the LA Group 2010 Design Drawn JTS Checked KJF/RPF Submission: FOR REGULATORY APPROVALS ONLY U U 457 1 D Ž nper ads 267 Crossro PO Box Mt. Trem ark Ω atskill Resort at C SITE UTILITIES ų t δğ Ť Belleayre Ξ 0 Ż ω⊢ SE Š TIVIT σ ð Modifie AC. AND S N ð ⊢ ₹¢ 3 Key Plan NORTH Project: 07074
Date: 01/10/11 Drawing W-3.3







Plotted By: JUSTIN SANFORD Save Date: 1/20/2011 10:59 AM File Name: G:VroJ-07/074_Crov

Wetland ID # and Line(s)	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	Isolated?
(A) WA/WB	N/A	N/A	no
(B) WC/WD	N/A	N/A	no
(C) WE,WF	N/A	N/A	no
(D) WG/WH	N/A	N/A	no
(E) WP	6,519	0.15	yes
(F) WN/WO	10,377	0.24	no
(G) WM	N/A	N/A	no

PROPERTY LINE
STREAMS/WETLANDS
SOLATED WETLANDS
FORCE MAIN SEWER



ad By: JUSTIN SANFORD Date: 1/1/1/2011 11:00 AM ame: G:IProj-07/07/074 Crossroads/07/074/CADW



TYPICAL DIRECTIONAL DRILL DETAIL

JKILL DETAIL

Q the LA group Landscape Architecture and Engineering, PC 40 Long Alley Saratoga Springs New York 12866 P 518/587-8100 F 518/587-0180 www.thelagroup.com Unauthorized alteration or addition to this document is a violation of Section 7209 of the New York State Education Law © the LA Group 2010 Design Drawn Checked KJF/RPF This drawing is not certified for the purposes of construction, unless it is specifically noted as issued for construction. Submission: FOR RECULATORY APPROVALS ONLY AK Ú S N È MH PO SCALE: 1"=NTS a X X atskill \mathbb{C} at Resort selleayre Ξ Modified ð လူလ of \square The Wildac Key Plan Revisions 07074 Project: Date: 01/10/11 Drawing W-4.0

FEDERAL WETLAND DELINEATION REPORT FOR THE

MODIFIED BELLEAYRE RESORT AT CATSKILL PARK

TOWN OF SHANDAKEN, ULSTER COUNTY TOWN OF MIDDLETOWN, DELAWARE COUNTY NEW YORK

PREPARED FOR CROSSROADS VENTURES, LLC 72 ANDREW LANE ROAD P.O. BOX 267 MT. TREMPER, NY 12457

MARCH 2000 UPDATED JANUARY 2010

ACOE FILE NO. NAN-2000-00748-WOR

FEDERAL WETLAND DELINEATION REPORT FOR THE MODIFIED BELLEAYRE RESORT AT CATSKILL PARK

TOWN OF SHANDAKEN, ULSTER COUNTY TOWN OF MIDDLETOWN, DELAWARE COUNTY NEW YORK

ACOE FILE NO. NAN-2000-00748-WOR

Prepared For

CROSSROADS VENTURES, LLC 72 ANDREW LANE ROAD P.O. Box 267 MT. TREMPER, NY 12457

Prepared by

THE LA GROUP, P.C. 40 LONG ALLEY SARATOGA SPRINGS, NEW YORK 12866

Project Staff

BARBARA B. BEALL, M.S., PWS, ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST RICHARD P. FUTYMA, PH.D., TERRESTRIAL ECOLOGIST ROGER J. CASE, B.S., CPSC, SOIL SCIENTIST

MARCH 2000

ORIGINAL JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION ISSUED APRIL 27, 2005

UPDATED JANUARY 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Backgr	round Information	1
1.0	Introduction	4
2.0	Site Description	4
2.1	General	4
2.2	Vegetation	5
2.3	Soils	14
2.4	Hydrology	14
3.0	Delineation Methods	15
4.0	Delineated Wetlands	16
4.1	On-Site Wetlands	16
4.2	Off-Site Wetlands and Streams	19
5.0	ACOE Jurisdictional Determination	24
6.0	References	25

Tables

Table 1.	Flora of the Modified Belleayre Resort Site	8
Table 2.	Soils of the Modified Belleayre Resort Site	14
Table 3.	Summary of Wetland Areas Delineated on the	•
	Modified Belleayre Resort Site	20
Table 4.	Off-Site Wetlands Delineated for the Modified Belleayre Resort Project	23
Table 5.	Characteristics of the Streams on the Belleayre Resort Site	25

Figures and Drawings

Figure 1	Site Location Map	after page 4
Figure 2	Soils Map	after page 13
Figure 3	National Wetlands Inventory Map	after page 14
Figure 4	Watersheds of the Project Site	after page 18
Drawing WD-1	Wetlands Boundary Map: Western Part of Project S	Sitein pocket
Drawing WD-2	Wetlands Boundaries Map: Eastern Part of Project	Site in pocket
Drawings PN1 through PN4	Proposed Off-Site Water Supply Line Route	in pocket
Drawings PN6,		Ĩ
PN10 through PN13	Proposed Off-Site Sewer Line Route	in pocket

Appendices

Appendix A	Memos on Site Visits to Parcel D and Museum Parcel
Appendix B	Wetland Determination Summary Forms
Appendix C	Photographs of the Modified Belleavre Resort Site
Appendix D	Approved Jurisdictional Determination Forms for the
	Watersheds of the Site

Background Information

Current Property Owners:

Crossroads Ventures, LLC Dean Gitter, Managing Partner P.O. Box 267 Mt. Tremper, NY 12457 P: (845) 688-7740

Kenneth D. Pasternak 111 Saddle River Rd. Saddle River, NJ 07458 P: (201) 845-2201

(Mr. Pasternak is the owner of the K-well property and the Q-well, or Quarry, property for which Crossroads Ventures holds purchase contracts. The remainder of the property is owned by Crossroads Ventures.)

Letters from Crossroads Ventures and Mr. Pasternak granting ACOE access to review the property follow this page.

Applicant/Project Sponsor

Crossroads Ventures, LLC (see contact information above)

Wetland Delineator

The LA Group, P.C. 40 Long Alley Saratoga Springs, NY 12866 P: (518) 587-8100

Correspondence to the LA Group regarding this matter should be sent to the attention of Kevin Franke.



RECEIVED JAN 1 5 2010 The LA Group

January 12, 2010

Mr. Brian Orzel NY District Corps of Engineers Regulatory Branch 26 Federal Plaza, Room 1937 New York, NY 10278-0090

Re: NAN-2000-00748-WOR Modified Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park

Dear Mr. Orzel;

Crossroads Ventures, LLC hereby grants representatives of the US Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE) NY District Regulatory Branch permission to access the site of the proposed Modified Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park project. This permission is granted on the condition that ACOE personnel be accompanied by a representative of Crossroads Ventures and/or their consultants when they are on the project site property.

Crossroads Ventures, LLC acknowledges that during an inspection in connection with this application, among other things, ACOE staff may take measurements, may analyze physical characteristics of the site including, but not limited to, soils and vegetation (and may take samples for analysis), and may make drawings and take photographs.

Crossroads Ventures agrees that this consent remains in effect as long as the project application is pending.

Sincerely,

Dean L. Gitter, Managing Member Crossroads Ventures, LLC

111 East Saddle River Road Saddle River, NJ 07458 Home: 201.785.0040 Bus: 201.845.2200 kpast@chestnutcap.com

RECENTED

JAN 2 5 2010

The LA Group

January 21, 2010

Mr. Brian Orzel NY District Corps of Engineers Regulatory Branch 26 Federal Plaza, Room 1937 New York, NY 10278-0090

Re: NAN-2000-00748-WOR Modified Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park

Dear Mr. Orzel;

Kenneth D. Pasternak hereby grants representatives of the US Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE) NY District Regulatory Branch permission to access a portion of site of the proposed Modified Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park project presently owned by him (known as the K-well and Quarry well sites). This permission is granted on the condition that ACOE personnel be accompanied by a representative of Crossroads Ventures LLC and/or their consultants when they are on the project site property.

Mr. Pasternak acknowledges that during an inspection in connection with this application, among other things, ACOE staff may take measurements, may analyze physical characteristics of the site including, but not limited to, soils and vegetation (and may take samples for analysis), and may make drawings and take photographs.

Mr. Pasternak agrees that this consent remains in effect as long as the project application is pending.

Sincerely,

Kenneth D. Pasternak

KDP:mw

cc: Crossroads Ventures LLC

1.0 Introduction

This report describes the wetlands regulated by the federal government that exist on lands located south of New York Route 28 and on the west side of Belleayre Mountain Ski Center in the Town of Shandaken, Ulster County, and Middletown, Delaware County, New York. These properties, which cover a total of approximately 659.5 acres, are proposed for the development of a recreation and spa-oriented resort.

Wetlands on most of the current resort site proper were delineated previously, and a jurisdictional determination was issued to Crossroads Ventures, LLC on April 27, 2005. Changes to the lands that comprise the project site, as well as the addition of off-site routing of water and sewer lines to serve the modified project resulted in the preparation of this update to the original wetland delineation report.

To be a federally regulated wetland, an area must meet three criteria: (1) it is underlain by hydric soils, (2) it has vegetation dominated by hydrophytic plants, and (3) its hydrology is typical of wetlands. In delineating the wetland boundaries, we followed the methods of the US Army Corps of Engineers (Environmental Laboratory, 1987).

Personnel of the LA Group, P.C., delineated the wetland boundaries on the majority of the resort site proper during a series of visits between September 14 and November 9, 1999. Additional investigation and delineation occurred on May 18, 2007 (the former Museum outparcel), July 10, 2008 (top of former Highmount Ski Area), July 31, 2009 (off-site water line route), and August 24, 2009 (off-site sewer line route).

Wetland areas occupying a total of 15.07 acres were identified on the project site proper. For the off-site water and sewer line routes only those portions of streams and wetlands in the vicinity of proposed crossings were delineated, and not the entire stream and wetlands were delineated. For the water line there are a total of four stream crossings, and there is one stream/wetland crossing along the sewer line route.

2.0 Site Description

2.1 General

Crossroads Ventures, LLC is proposing to develop a recreation and spa-oriented resort that would be located south of New York Route 28 in the vicinity of the hamlet of Highmount, Ulster County, and Fleischmanns, Delaware County. The modified project will be located on lands adjacent to the western side of Belleayre Mountain Ski Center, which is operated by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. The location of this development, which is known as the "Modified Belleayre Resort at the Catskill Park," is shown on Figure 1, "Site Location Map." The geographic coordinates of the center of the site are approximately 42° 8.5' N, 74° 31.4' W (NAD83 datum).



THE MODIFIED BELLEAYRE RESORT AT CATSKILL PARK, NAN-2000-00748-WETLAND DELINEATION REPORT

Figure 1- SITE LOCATION MAP







the LA group Landscape Architecture and Engineering, PC www.thelagroup.com

Page 5

There are approximately 661.47 acres that comprise the project site proper. On the west the project site proper contains lands located on either side of Todd Mountain Road. This part of the site is known as the Adelstein parcel. To the east of the Adelstein parcel and on either side of County Route 49A is the area for the proposed Highmount Resort and Spa, which includes the top of the former Highmount Ski Area. The Wildacres Resort site is located between County Route 49A and NYS Route 28 and also includes the area at the very bottom of the former Highmount Ski Area that contains the old ski lodge buildings. (Previously the project site had included all of the Highmount Ski Area, but currently most of the old Ski Area is being sold to New York State. There are approximately 1,200 acres to the east of Belleayre Mountain Ski Area that were also previously part of the project site, and most of these lands are in the process of being sold to New York State.)

More recently, two parcels on which potable water supply wells are located have been added to the site. One of these, known as the K-well parcel, is located north of the Adelstein parcel near the intersection of Todd Mountain Road with NYS Route 28. Although this parcel is 35.08 acres in size, only a 3.7-acre portion in its northeast corner, which contains three wells, is included in the area for which a Jurisdictional Determination is requested. Currently Crossroads Ventures has an option to purchase this property from its current owner, Kenneth D. Pasternak. The other parcel added, known as the Q-well parcel (Quarry parcel), is located north of the Wildacres Resort part of the site, on Moran Road near its intersection with NYS Route 28. This parcel, which occupies 9.57 acres, has only one well. Photos 18 through 24 in Appendix C show views of these two parcels.

Due to the exclusion of most of the K-well parcel, the size of the area for which a Jurisdictional Determination is requested is 630.09 acres. Figure 1, "Site Location Map," also shows the location of the off-site water and sewer lines that will serve the project. Water will be brought into the site from the west with most of the water line located within the NYS Route 28 right-of-way. Wastewater will be conveyed to the east, also within the right-of-way of NYS Route 28, before connecting to a manhole in Academy Street in the hamlet of Pine Hill.

2.2 Vegetation

The project site lies on the northwestern edge of the Catskill Peaks ecozone (Andrle and Carroll, 1988). Its topography is mountainous, with slopes ranging from nearly flat to greater than 50 percent, and elevations between 1,500 and 3,100 feet above mean sea level. Most of the site is forested, but there are openings around some houses and a former hotel.

The project site is almost completely covered with a forest dominated by sugar maple, beech, hemlock, and yellow birch. Exceptions to this are a few small developed areas where there are maintained lawns and gardens, and some open, herb-dominated areas on

stream floodplains. Thirteen ecological communities, as defined in the community classification system of the New York Natural Heritage Program (Edinger *et al.*, 2002), are found in the project area. Following are brief descriptions of each community:

- 1. <u>Beech maple mesic forest</u>. Sugar maple is a constant in this community, mixed with lesser quantities of other species, including beech, yellow birch, black birch, white ash, black cherry, red maple, and hop-hornbeam. Red oak and basswood are often found as scattered individuals. Some parts of the site have been subjected to selective logging in recent years, and bigtooth aspen is common in places where this has opened the canopy in the past decade or two. In more mature stands, shrubs may be relatively sparse, consisting of a few species, including striped maple, witch hazel, and hobblebush. Where more light reaches the forest floor, northern blackberry, red raspberry, and pink thimbleberry may be common. Common herbaceous plants of this community include Jack-in-the-pulpit, blue cohosh, trillium, violets, herb-Robert, white wood aster, mountain aster, wreath goldenrod, zig-zag goldenrod, white wood sorrel, wild lily-of-the-valley, shining clubmoss, ground pine, Christmas fern, evergreen wood fern, marginal wood fern, and hay-scented fern.
- 2. <u>Hemlock northern hardwoods forest</u>. This community is characterized by the presence of hemlock, which is usually co-dominant with hardwoods such as sugar maple, beech, yellow birch, sweet birch, and red maple. In general, the composition of this community is similar to that of the beech-maple mesic forest, although the herbaceous layer tends to be more sparse, especially where hemlock constitutes more than half of the canopy area.
- 3. <u>Successional northern hardwoods</u>. A few places are covered with a young forest that is growing on former agricultural land. Trees and saplings of species such as white pine, red maple, quaking aspen, paper birch, red oak, sugar maple, and black cherry form a relatively open canopy. Because much light may reach the ground under this canopy, there is usually a good growth of herbaceous plants, including various grasses, clubmosses, wintergreen, hay-scented fern,
- 4. <u>Hemlock hardwood swamp</u>. Within the forests, there are seepy places where wetland vegetation has developed. The dominant trees usually are hemlock and at least one or two of the following: yellow birch, red maple, green ash, and American elm. The shrub layer usually is sparse and is composed of saplings of these trees with a few shrubs such as currant, mountain maple, black elderberry, and witch hazel. Herbs present in the wetland include spotted touch-me-not, pale jewelweed, fowl manna-grass, sedges, soft rush, purple-stemmed aster, flat-top white aster, spinulose wood-fern, sensitive fern, interrupted fern, drooping woodreed, mad-dog skullcap, and foamflower.
- 5. <u>Intermittent rocky stream wetland</u>. In a number of places, there are natural drainage courses that carry water only during spring snow-melt and other times of high runoff, but which are moist enough through the growing season to sustain wetland plants. Often, these are very rocky and the plants grow in small pockets where some soil has accumulated. Usually these linear wetlands are no more than 5 to 15 feet wide and

have few woody plants. Typical among the herbaceous plants are pale jewelweed, golden saxifrage, and foamflower.

- 6. <u>Rocky headwater stream</u>. This is a small, permanent stream that flows over a bed with exposed bedrock and/or with gravel, cobbles, and boulders. The banks are steep and there is little wetland vegetation associated with the stream. In areas protected from constant flow of water, there may be some small pockets of wetland plants such as spotted touch-me-not, fowl manna-grass, and sedges.
- 7. <u>Shallow emergent marsh</u>. In some segments of drainage courses, especially where the gradient decreases, there are broad, seepy areas, often with a peaty soil. Although many of these places may have saturated soil for long periods, they may never experience inundation because of their slope. The vegetation is dominated by herbaceous plants, sometimes with a few low shrubs and tree saplings. Common plants include fowl manna-grass, sallow sedge, fringed sedge, flat-top fragrant goldenrod, giant chickweed, steeple-bush, purple-leaf willow-herb, sensitive fern, and spotted touch-me-not.
- 8. <u>Shrub swamp</u>. This is a shrub-dominated wetland, usually with some dense patches of arrow-wood and more open areas with narrow-leaf meadow-sweet.
- 9. <u>Hardwood swamp</u>. In a few wetlands there is a swampy forest of red maple, green ash, and shadbush, with a shrub and herb layer including narrow-leaf meadow-sweet, sensitive fern, interrupted fern, fowl manna-grass, flat-top fragrant goldenrod, and lady-fern.
- 10. <u>Successional old field</u>. These are open upland areas that were cleared of all woody plants, used for agriculture or other purposes (such as a landfill), then abandoned, allowing natural recolonization of plants to occur. Herbaceous plants are dominant, and may include common goldenrod, rough goldenrod, tall hairy goldenrod, common milkweed, ground-ivy, hemp-nettle, bush goldenrod, wild strawberry, various grasses, and bouncing-bet. There may also be a few shrubs and small trees, including hawthorn, northern blackberry, and red raspberry. This is a relatively young, transitional community.
- 11. <u>Mowed lawn with trees</u>. On the project site there are a few seasonal residences and hotel/motels. Their grounds include areas of maintained lawns and landscape plantings of various trees and shrubs.
- 12. <u>Pine plantation</u>. There are a few stands of trees which are obvious plantations. White pines may have been planted in some of these, and there is one plantation of red pine. It is apparent that they were planted in the early to mid-1900's.
- 13. <u>Ski slope</u>. Parcel D, near the center of the project site, includes small portions of ski slopes of the former High Mount ski area, which had been mown at least several times each year. Maintenance is no longer being carried out, and so these slopes are beginning to undergo ecological succession. The vegetation is dominated by grasses and broad-leaved herbs, with many of the same plants as in successional old field areas. In some places, there are also seedlings of trees such as gray birch, paper

birch, quaking aspen, and red maple. This is essentially a special type of successional old field.

A list of all the plant species observed growing on these sites is provided in Table 1, "Flora of the Wetlands and Adjacent Uplands on the Belleayre Resort Site."

Scientific Name ¹	Common Name	Wetland Indicator
		Category
Trees		
Acer platanoides	Norway maple	FACU
Acer rubrum	red maple	FAC
Acer saccharum	sugar maple	FACU-
Betula alleghaniensis	yellow birch	FAC
Betula lenta	sweet birch	FACU
Betula papyrifera	paper birch	FACU
Betula populifolia	gray birch	FAC
Carpinus caroliniana	American hornbeam	FAC
Carya ovata	shag-bark hickory	FACU
Fagus grandifolia	American beech	FACU
Fraxinus americana	white ash	FACU
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	FACW
Malus sylvestris	wild apple	NL
Ostrya virginiana	eastern hop-hornbeam	FACU-
Picea abies	Norway spruce	NL
Picea rubens	red spruce	FACU
Pinus resinosa	red pine	FACU
Pinus strobus	white pine	FACU
Populus grandidentata	bigtooth aspen	FACU-
Populus tremuloides	quaking aspen	FACU
Prunus pensylvanica	fire cherry	FACU-
Prunus serotina	black cherry	FACU
Quercus rubra	northern red oak	FACU-
Salix nigra	black willow	FACW+
Tilia americana	American basswood	FACU
Tsuga canadensis	hemlock	FACU
Ulmus americana	American elm	FACW-

Table 1.Flora of the Modified Belleayre Resort Site
Scientific Name ¹	Common Name	Wetland Indicator Category			
Shrubs and Vines					
Acer pensylvanicum	striped maple	FACU			
Acer spicatum	mountain maple	FACU-			
Amelanchier sp.	shadbush	FAC			
Berberis thunbergii	Japanese barberry	FACU			
Cornus florida	flowering dogwood	FACU-			
Cornus foemina	stiff dogwood	FACW			
Corylus americana	American hazel-nut	FACU-			
Crataegus sp.	hawthorn				
Diervilla lonicera	bush honeysuckle	NL			
Hamamelis virginiana	American witch-hazel	FACU+			
Juniperus communis	common juniper	NL			
Lonicera tatarica	tartarian honeysuckle	FACU			
Rhus hirta	staghorn sumac	NL			
Ribes sp.	currant				
Rosa canina	dog rose	NL			
Rubus allegheniensis	old-field blackberry	FACU-			
Rubus idaeus	common red raspberry	FAC-			
Rubus odoratus	pink thimbleberry	NL			
Salix discolor	pussy willow	FACW			
Sambucus canadensis	black elderberry	FACW			
Sambucus racemosa	red elderberry	FACU			
Spiraea alba	narrow-leaf meadow-sweet	FACW+			
Spiraea tomentosa	steeple-bush	FACW-			
Vaccinium angustifolia	lowbush blueberry	FACU-			
Viburnum dentatum var. lucidum	northern arrow-wood	FACW-			
Viburnum lantanoides	hobble-bush	FACU			
Herbaceous plants, Low Woody Plants					
Achillea millefolium	common yarrow	FACU			
Actaea pachypoda	white baneberry	NL			
Adiantum pedatum	maidenhair fern	FAC-			
Ageratina altissima	white snakeroot	FACU-			
Alliaria petiolata	garlic mustard	FACU-			

Scientific Name ¹	<u>Common Name</u>	Wetland Indicator Category
Allium tricoccum	wild leek	FACU+
Anaphalis margaritacea	pearly everlasting	NL
Anemone quinquefolia	wood-anemone	FACU
Anthriscus sylvestris	wild chervil	NL
Aralia nudicaulis	wild sarsaparilla	FACU
Arisaema triphyllum	swamp jack-in-the-pulpit	FACW-
Asarum canadense	wild ginger	FACU-
Asclepias syriaca	common milkweed	FACU-
Aster acuminatus	mountain aster	FACU+
Aster divaricatus	white wood aster	NL
Aster prenanthoides	crooked-stem aster	FAC
Aster puniceus	swamp aster	OBL
Aster umbellatus	flat-top white aster	FACW
Athyrium filix-femina	subarctic lady fern	FAC
Athyrium thelypteroides	silvery lady fern	FAC
Brachyelytrum erectum	bearded shorthusk	NL
Brassica nigra	black mustard	NL
Carex bromoides	brome-like sedge	FACW
Carex crinita	fringed sedge	OBL
Carex debilis	white-edge sedge	FAC
Carex laxiflora var. laxiflora	loose-flowered sedge	FACU
Carex lurida	sallow sedge	OBL
Carex platyphylla	broad-leaf sedge	NL
Carex scoparia	pointed broom-sedge	FACW
Carex stricta	tussock-sedge	OBL
Caulophyllum thalictroides	blue cohosh	NL
Chrysosplenium americanum	American golden-saxifrage	OBL
Cinna latifolia	slender wood-reedgrass	FACW
Clinopodium vulgare	field basil	NL
Clintonia borealis	bluebead lily	FAC
Cypripedium acaule	pink lady's-slipper	FACU
Dactylis glomerata	orchard grass	FACU
Dalibarda repens	Robin-run-away	FAC
Dennstaedtia punctilobula	hay-scented fern	NL

Scientific Name ¹	<u>Common Name</u>	Wetland Indicator Category
Dicentra canadensis/cucullaria	squirrel corn/Dutchman's breeches	NL
Dryopteris carthusiana	spinulose woodfern	FAC+
Dryopteris clintoniana	Clinton's wood fern	FACW+
Dryopteris intermedia	evergreen woodfern	FACU
Dryopteris marginalis	marginal shield-fern	FACU-
Epilobium coloratum	purple-leaf willow-herb	FACW+
Epipactis helleborine	helleborine	FACU
Erythronium americanum	trout lily	NL
Euthamia graminifolia	flat-top fragrant goldenrod	FAC
Festuca filiformis	hair fescue	NL
Festuca subverticillata	nodding fescue	FACU
Fragaria virginiana	Virginia strawberry	FACU
Galeopsis tetrahit	hemp-nettle	NL
Galium mollugo	white bedstraw	NL
Geranium robertianum	herb-Robert	NL
Geum sp.	avens	
Glechoma hederacea	ground-ivy	FACU
Glyceria melicaria	melic manna grass	OBL
Glyceria striata	fowl manna grass	OBL
Hypericum punctatum	dotted St. John's-wort	FAC-
Impatiens capensis	spotted touch-me-not	FACW
Impatiens pallida	pale touch-me-not	FACW
Juncus acuminatus	taper-tip rush	OBL
Juncus effusus	soft rush	FACW+
Laportea canadensis	Canada wood-nettle	FAC
Lycopodium annotinum	stiff clubmoss	FAC
Lycopodium clavatum	running pine	FAC
Lycopodium lucidulum	shining clubmoss	FACW-
Lycopodium obscurum	tree clubmoss	FACU
Lycopodium tristachyum	ground cedar	NL
Lycopus virginicus	Virginia bugleweed	OBL
Lysimachia ciliata	fringed loosestrife	FACW
Lythrum salicaria	purple loosestrife	FACW+
Maianthemum canadense	wild lily-of-the-valley	FAC-

Scientific Name ¹	<u>Common Name</u>	Wetland Indicator Category
Mentha X piperita	peppermint	FACW+
Mitchella repens	partridge-berry	FACU
Myosotis scorpioides	true forget-me-not	OBL
Myosoton aquaticum	giant chickweed	FACW
Oenothera biennis	common evening-primrose	FACU-
Onoclea sensibilis	sensitive fern	FACW
Osmunda cinnamomea	cinnamon fern	FACW
Osmunda claytoniana	interrupted fern	FAC
Oxalis montana	white woodsorrel	FAC-
Parthenocissus quinquefolia	Virginia creeper	FACU
Poa compressa	Canada bluegrass	FACU
Polygonatum pubescens	Solomon's seal	NL
Polygonum cilinode	fringed bindweed	NL
Polygonum cuspidatum	Japanese knotweed	FACU-
Polygonum sagittatum	arrow-leaf tear-thumb	OBL
Polypodium virginianum	common polypody	NL
Polystichum achrostichoides	Christmas fern	FACU-
Potentilla simplex	old field cinquefoil	FACU-
Prunella vulgaris	heal-all	FACU+
Pteridium aquilinum	bracken fern	FACU
Ranunculus sp.	buttercup	
Rubus pubescens	dwarf blackberry	FACW
<i>Rudbeckia</i> sp.	black-eyed Susan	
Rumex obtusifolius	bitter dock	FACU-
Sanicula canadensis	sanicle	UPL
Saponaria officinalis	bouncing-bet	FACU-
Scirpus microcarpus	small-fruit bulrush	OBL
Scutellaria lateriflora	blue skullcap	FACW+
Senecio aureus	golden ragwort	FACW
Smilacina racemosa	false-Solomon's-seal	FACU-
Solidago bicolor	white goldenrod	NL
Solidago caesia	wreath goldenrod	FACU
Solidago canadensis	Canada goldenrod	FACU
Solidago flexicaulis	zig-zag goldenrod	FACU

<u>Scientific Name</u> ¹	Common Name	Wetland Indicator Category
Solidago gigantea	giant goldenrod	FACW
Solidago nemoralis	rough goldenrod	NL
Solidago rugosa	wrinkled goldenrod	FAC
Thalictrum sp.	meadow-rue	
Thelypteris noveboracensis	New York fern	FAC
Tiarella cordifolia	heart-leaf foamflower	FAC-
Trientalis borealis	American starflower	FAC
Trillium sp.	trillium	
Trillium erectum	purple trillium	FACU-
Veronica officinalis	common speedwell	FACU-
Veronica chamaedrys	Germander speedwell	UPL
Viola sagittata	arrow-leaf violet	FACW
Viola sp.	violet	

¹Scientific and common names and wetland indicator categories are from Reed (1988) and Tiner et al. (1995).

²indicator category codes:

OBL = Obligate Wetland FACW = Facultative Wetland FAC = Facultative FACU = Facultative Upland NL = not listed

A + or a - appended to an indicator category code indicates a somewhat greater (+) or lesser (-) tendency to be found in wetlands.



NAME AND ADDRESS OF AD

2.3 Soils

Site investigations carried out as part of the planning for the Modified Belleayre Resort project included detailed mapping of soils. The soils map is presented in Figure 2. Table 2 lists the soils identified on the site, their drainage class, and taxonomic class.

Soil Series and Phase	Drainage Class	Taxonomic Class
Elka silt loam	well drained	Typic Dystrudepts
Halcott	somewhat excessively drained	Lithic Dystrudepts
Lewbeach silt loam	well drained	Typic Fragiudepts
Onteora clayey silt loam	somewhat poorly drained	Aquic Fragiudepts
Suny silt loam	poorly drained	Aeric Epiaquepts
Tunkhannock very channery loam	well drained to somewhat excessively drained	Typic Dystrudepts
Vly channery silt loam	well drained or somewhat excessively drained	Typic Dystrudepts
Willowemoc	moderately well drained	Typic Fragiudepts

Table 2.Soils of the Modified Belleayre Resort Site

Most of these are shallow to moderately deep, very stony soils formed in glacial till derived from red shale and sandstone. In the wetlands, the soils are somewhat poorly drained Onteora and poorly drained Suny soils. In some of the wetlands, saturation lasts throughout most of the year, and the upper part of the soil has accumulated enough organic matter to be mucky.

2.4 Hydrology

The project site is located in an area which experiences mean annual precipitation of approximately 45 inches (Andrle and Carroll, 1988) and an average annual runoff of approximately 28 inches (Randall 1996). Both of these figures are significantly higher than the average precipitation in eastern New York.

In walking the length of a typical stream on the project site, it is not unusual to find that a stream which has a discharge of good volume dries up completely in its lower reaches. Such an occurrence appears to be due to the stream flowing into an area with a soil marked by a high percentage of boulders, cobbles, and channers. Usually, the stream will reappear at the surface downhill, within a few dozen yards of where it had disappeared. In some cases, there is a visible dry channel between the place where the stream disappears into the ground and the place where it re-emerges, suggesting that some surficial flow occurs there during part of the year.



Associated with many of these streams, often at their heads, are broad, seepy areas where groundwater is discharged. These places usually have a good growth of wetland plants, and a surface soil horizon high in organic matter.

The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) has not mapped any wetlands on or adjacent to the project site. However, streams on site are mapped by NYSDEC as regulated streams. In the western part of the project site, this includes a tributary of Bush Kill (index no. D-70-80-10), which runs near and parallel to Todd Mountain Rd. In the eastern half of the project area, there are two tributaries of Emory Brook (index nos. D-70-80-12-2 and -3). The former has its headwaters just east of the Highmount Ski Area, and the latter is mapped as beginning at Gunnison Road, near Co. Rte. 49A. All three of these are class B streams; B(T) water standards apply to the first, and B standards to the other two.

The National Wetlands Inventory map for the project area is provided in Figure 3. Except for on-site streams, these maps indicate no wetlands occurring on the site.

3.0 Delineation Methods

The wetland delineation on the project site was performed using the routine wetland determination method (Environmental Laboratory, 1987). For most of the project site proper, a soil scientist and wetland biologists of the LA Group, P.C. delineated the wetland boundaries between September 14 and November 9, 1999. Additional areas of the project site and off-site areas that may be affected by utility components of the project were investigated in the spring and/or summer of 2007, 2008 and 2009.

Positions of the wetland boundaries were determined through observation of changes in slope, vegetation changes, and changes in soil characteristics. To mark points on the wetland boundaries, pieces of plastic flagging tape were tied to trees and shrubs at intervals of 20 to 80 feet. Each flag was marked with an identification number. The positions of the flags were surveyed and mapped, and are shown on Sheets WD-1 and WD-2.

At a number of locations, the characteristics of the soil, vegetation, and hydrology on both sides of the wetland boundary were recorded. The wetland indicator categories of the dominant plants, which are those listed by Reed (1988), were used to determine whether the vegetation is hydrophytic.

These sample plot data are presented in Appendix A "Wetland Determination Summary Forms." The locations of these sampling points are identified by the number of the nearest wetland boundary flag. For example, sample plot A-2-U is located on the upland side of the wetland boundary line near flag A-2; the wetland plot is A-2-W. Photographs

of the wetlands and uplands at some of these sampling points are presented in Appendix B, "Photographs of the Modified Belleayre Resort Site."

4.0 Delineated Wetlands

A total of 15.07 acres of wetlands and streams were delineated on the site proper. Most of these, constituting a total of 12.58 acres, were found to meet the criteria for classification as wetlands and streams regulated by the Federal government (i.e. "waters of the United States"). Five separate wetlands, comprising a total of 2.49 acres were found to be isolated and not subject to Federal jurisdiction. In addition, 0.49 acre of wetlands and streams were delineated along the off-site water and sewer lines. There are 26 separate areas of wetlands and streams.

4.1 On-Site Wetlands

Table 3 provides information on each on-site wetland or stream, including its size, ecological communities, values and functions, and relationship to the main stream of the watershed where it is located. Below are more detailed descriptions of the wetlands.

Lands on either side of Todd Mountain Road (Adelstein Parcel). All of the wetlands in this area are associated with streams or seepy drainageways coming down the surrounding hillsides. Many of these are intermittently flowing rocky stream beds with very little wetland vegetation. In other places, the drainageway is at least several yards wide and has a more or less permanent flow of water, or constant saturation of the soil to near the surface. In such places the plants present include *Glyceria striata, G. melicaria, Carex crinita, C. lurida, Myosoton aquaticum, Epilobium coloratum, Scirpus cyperinus, Aster puniceus, Onoclea sensibilis, Juncus effusus,* and *Polygonum sagittatum.* Areas such as this are found in the wetlands bounded by lines AB, AC, AD, AE, AF, AG, AI, AK, AM, AN, AO, and AP.

Because of the variability of the substrate crossed by these drainageways, the relative proportions of surficial and sub-surface flow can vary along the length of a stream. In walking along a stream with good flow, it is not unusual to suddenly find its flow reduced to a trickle, or completely disappear in an area of bouldery ground, only to reappear with voluminous flow a number of yards down the hill.

Todd Mountain Road runs along a small permanent stream, the headwaters of which are bounded by lines AB and AC. This area includes both narrow, rocky stream bed and wider, seepy areas with wetland herbs and shrubs. Small wetland patches bounded by lines AM and AN are the upper parts of small tributaries that are connected to wetland AB/AC by culverts under Todd Mountain Road. A seepy drainageway bounded by line AE also could be considered a tributary, but its connection is underground.

In the lower part of wetland AB/AC and in the small segment bounded by line AH, it becomes a narrow, rocky stream channel with a small amount of wetland vegetation.

From here, the stream flows north into an adjoining property, then crosses back into the project site. This northern segment of the stream is bounded by line AJ and parts of line AI.

Most of line AI follows the edge of a wetland and intermittent stream that flows into the stream along the road. There is a small area of shrub-dominated wetland in the lower part of the area delimited by line AI. The predominant shrubs are *Viburnum lucidum* var. *lucidum*, and *Spiraea alba*. Above this area, line AI bounds a rocky, intermittent stream.

Wetlands bounded by lines AD, AF/AG, and AK also appear to act as tributaries to the stream along Todd Mountain Rd., but with subsurface connections. These are mainly rocky, intermittent streams with some seepy wetland segments. In the lower (western) part of the wetland AK, where it runs along an electric powerline right-of-way, the shrubs *Viburnum lucidum* var. *lucidum, Spiraea alba* and *Cornus foemina* are abundant.

The upper end of the wetland bounded by line AL is a broad, seepy area with a somewhat swampy forest of *Acer rubrum, Fraxinus pennsylvanica, Amelanchier* sp., and *Populus tremuloides*, with a shrub and tree layer including *Spiraea alba, Onoclea sensibilis, Osmunda claytoniana, Glyceria striata, Euthamia graminifolia,* and *Athyrium-filix-femina*. Drainage from this area flows into a channel at its western end, but dissipates into the substratum.

Similarly, two small wetlands on the southern edge of this property, bounded by lines AO and AP, are seepy areas with wetland herbs. At their lower ends, drainage apparently seeps back into the ground.

The uplands on the Adelstein property are covered with forests dominated by sugar maple with lesser amounts of black cherry, yellow birch, red maple, white ash, beech, hop-hornbeam, paper birch, bigtooth aspen, and quaking aspen. In the north-central part of the parcel, just west of wetland AL, there is an open area with scattered young red maples and sugar maples, few shrubs such as common juniper, and a well-developed herbaceous layer with hair fescue, Canada goldenrod, rough goldenrod, flat-top fragrant goldenrod, and pearly everlasting.

<u>Stream and Wetlands associated with M and N lines</u>. This water of the United States begins as a stream entering the Wildacres site from a culvert under Ulster County Route 49A. Flags M-1 and N-1 start the lines at the east and west sides of the culvert, respectively. At this location the stream corridor is approximately 20 feet wide (top of bank to top of bank), with the water in the stream being 6 inches to 1 foot deep. There is no wetland vegetation in the stream, and the vegetation adjacent to the stream is upland forest dominated by sugar maples. At N-12 the line extends around a wetland pocket adjacent to an old spring house, and continues to N-23 where it rejoins the stream corridor. A data sheet was prepared for this wetland pocket. The M line continues downslope past an area of hemlock and hay-scented fern. The area was checked and did not have indicators of wetland hydrology or dominance by wetland plants. At flag M-27, the line continues around a wetland pocket, and a data sheet was prepared for this area as well. As the stream continues downslope, the stream corridor becomes wider, steeper and deeper, and more dominated by hemlocks on the stream banks. Another wetland pocket was flagged at N-32 through N-39. The lines ended at flags M-43 and N-50 where the stream exited the project site.

Wetlands bounded by lines CA, CB, and CC. South of the Wildacres Motel and immediately north of County Route 49A, there are two wetland drainageways that carry water that emerges at the base of a steep slope. At the north ends of these wetlands, several hundred feet from where the flow emerges from the ground, it returns to the ground, and there is no evidence of surficial flow beyond that point. Vegetation of these wetlands is an open-canopied swamp with *Acer saccharum, Betula alleghaniensis, Fraxinus pennsylvanica, Impatiens* sp., *Glyceria* sp., *Polygonum cilinode, Euthamia graminifolia, Juncus effusus, Arisaema triphyllum,* and *Epilobium* sp.

<u>Wetlands bounded by lines H and I</u>. In the vicinity of the Wildacres Motel and the Marlowe Mansion, there are two patches of wetland covered by swamp vegetation with *Tsuga canadensis, Fraxinus pennsylvanica, Acer rubrum, Betula alleghaniensis, Impatiens capensis, Cinna latifolia, Glyceria striata, Carex stricta, C, lurida, Aster umbellatus, A. puniceus, and Juncus effusus.* The shrub layer is very sparse and consists mainly of young tree saplings, and there were only a few patches of standing water. Wetland H includes a drainage ditch that borders three sides of the motel building, and a small stream which flows into the stream channel that is bounded by lines Y and Z.

Stream east of the Wildacres Hotel (Lines K/L and Y/Z). This water course is fed by wetland H/I. Its upper segment, south of the entrance road to the hotel is bounded by lines Y (8 flags) and Z (9 flags). North of the access road, the stream is not very well defined, and has the appearance of an overflow channel. The surrounding upland vegetation is forested, with the tree and sapling layers dominated by black cherry, sugar maple, American elm, and American beech. There was little herbaceous vegetation. Downslope from the starting point, the line ended at flags L-19 and K-18, where the stream flow disappeared into the flaggy soil.

<u>Stream on north side of Gunnison Rd. (lines HN/O/P).</u> This parcel is mostly covered with hardwood forest dominated by sugar maple, red oak, red maple, beech, hemlock, black cherry, trembling aspen, bigtooth aspen, and shadbush. Wetland line HN bounds a small stream in the western corner of this parcel, next to Gunnison Rd. It includes a small amount of seepy ground with *Spiraea alba*, *Glyceria striata*, *Anthriscus sylvestris*, *Geum* sp., *Lysimachia ciliata*, *Mentha* X *piperita*, and *Epilobium coloratum*.



4.2 Off-Site Wetlands and Streams

It is necessary to route the project's water supply and sewer lines through off-site areas, mainly within the right-of-way of NYS Route 28. Therefore, in the summer of 2009, all wetlands and streams that might be intersected by either of these pipelines were delineated. Information on these areas is summarized in Table 4.

<u>Wetlands along off-site water line route.</u> Between the K-well property and the Q-well property, the proposed water line crosses four streams within the Route 28 right-of way. At the westernmost crossing, the closest one to the K-well site, the water line will be buried within the roadbed (installed by directional drilling) of Todd Mountain Road (see Photo 9). Lines WA and WB were delineated on either side of a 73-foot-long section of Todd Mountain Road Brook (see Drawing PN1).

Approximately 1.1 miles east of the K-well site, the water line crosses Highmount Brook (Drawing PN3). Lines WC and WD were delineated on the west and east sides, respectively of an 83-foot-long section of the stream (see Photo 10). The delineation includes the part of the stream flowing through a culvert under NYS Route 28. Flags WC-2 and WD-3 are at the south (upstream) end of the culvert, and flags WC-3 and WD-4 are at its north end. This culvert has a small sign indicating that it has an ID number of C963037.

The third stream crossing occurs just west of the access road to the water supply of the Village of Fleischmanns and is marked with lines WE and WF (Drawing PN4). This stream is West Wildacres Brook (see Photo 11). As with the previous crossing, the delineation includes the culvert under NYS Route 28. Flags WE-2 and WF-2 are on the south (upstream) end of the culvert, and at its north end are flags WE-3 and WF-3.

Lines WG and WH mark the fourth water line route crossing, which is located to the east of the Fleischmanns water supply access road. This stream is Wildacres Brook, which flows northward for most of its course, but turns westward at flag G-2, to run alongside NYS Route 28 for approximately 80 feet before entering a culvert under the road (see Photo 12). Flags WH-4 and WG-4 are on opposite sides of the opening of the culvert.

<u>Wetlands along off-site sewer line route.</u> Between the point where the sewer line leaves the northeast portion of Wildacres and its termination at Academy Street in Pine Hill, the route crosses one and or runs near two wetlands/streams.

The WM line marks a seepy embankment in the right-of-way of NYS Route 28 between its intersections with County Route 49A and Pine Hill Road (Drawing PN11). This wetland drains into the roadside ditch, which flows southeastward, eventually emptying into Birch Creek (see Photos 13 and 14).

Wetland Group Location and Watershed	Wetland ID Number and Boundary Lines Defining the Wetland	Ecological Communities in Wetland ¹	Principal Values & Functions ²	Area of wetlands (acres)	Relationship to main stream of watershed.	Is This a Water of the US?
Western part of site, near Todd	(1) AB/AC – wetland	RH, SM	FL, RC	1.73	Encompasses the stream and abutting wetlands.	Yes
Mountain Road; watershed of "Todd Mountain	(2) AD – wetland/ watercourse	RS, SM	FL, AR	0.58	Adjacent to wetland/watercourse that acts as a tributary of the stream.	Yes
Road Brook," (3)	(3) AE – wetland	SM	FL, AR	0.3	Adjacent to the stream and connected to it by subterranean flow.	Yes
Kill.	(4) AF/AG/AI/AJ – wetland/ stream system	RS, SB	FL, RC	3.07	Encompasses the stream, abutting wetlands, and an intermittently flowing tributary of the stream.	Yes
	(5) AH – wetland	RH	RC, AB	0.01	This is a segment of that stream.	Yes
	(6) AK – wetland parallel to AI	SM, RS	FL, AR	0.64	Adjacent to a wetland/watercourse that acts as a tributary of the stream.	Yes
	(7) AL – wetland	HD	FL, AR	1.79	Water disappears into ground at lower end of wetland; no apparent connection to stream.	No
	(8) AM – wetland	SM	FL	0.04	At the head of a small tributary of the stream.	Yes
	(9) AN – wetland	SM	FL	0.02	At the head of a small tributary of the stream.	Yes
	(10) AO – wetland	SM	FL	0.08	Water disappears into ground at lower end of wetland; no apparent connection to stream.	No

Table 3.	Summary of	of Wetland	Areas D	elineated (on the	Modified	Belleavre	Resort	Site
	Summary	n vv cuana	III cub D	· cmicatea	on the	mounicu	Deneugie	I COULC	Ditt

Wetland Group Location and Watershed	Wetland ID Number and Boundary Lines Defining the Wetland	Ecological Communities in Wetland ¹	Principal Values & Functions ²	Area of wetlands (acres)	Relationship to main stream of watershed.	Is This a Water of the US?
	(11) AP – wetland	SM	FL	0.03	Water disappears into ground at lower end of wetland; no apparent connection to stream.	No
West of Wildacres Hotel; watershed of "West Wildacres Brook," a tributary of Emory Brook.	(16) M/N – stream and seepy areas	RH, HD	FL, RC	3.64	Encompasses the stream and abutting wetlands.	Yes
Area East and South of Wildacres Hotel;	(17) CA – seepy area and stream	HS	FL, FW	0.37	Water disappears into ground at lower end of wetland; no apparent connection to stream.	No
watershed of "Wildacres Brook," a	(18) CB/CC – seepy area and stream	HS	FL, FW	0.22	Water disappears into ground at lower end of wetland; no apparent connection to stream.	No
tributary of Emory Brook.	(19) H - forested wetland	HS	FL, FW	0.38	Encompasses a roadside drainage ditch that acts as the upper part of the stream and the abutting wetlands.	Yes
	(20) I – forested wetland	HS	FL, FW	1.26	Adjacent to stream.	Yes
	(21) K/L – segment of stream draining wetlands H and I	RS	RC	0.56	Encompasses the stream and abutting wetlands.	Yes

Wetland Group Location and Watershed	Wetland ID Number and Boundary Lines Defining the Wetland	Ecological Communities in Wetland ¹	Principal Values & Functions ²	Area of wetlands (acres)	Relationship to main stream of watershed.	Is This a Water of the US?
	(22) Y/Z – segment of stream draining wetlands H and I	RS	RC	0.06	This is a segment of the drainage ditch that acts as the upper part of the stream.	Yes
Gunnison Road area, watershed of "Gunnison Road Brook, a tributary of Emory Brook.	(24) HN/O/P – seepy stream headwaters, rocky stream course	RS, SM	RC, FL	0.29	This is a segment of the stream and abutting wetland.	Yes
	Total Acreage of on-site wetlands			15.07		
	Acreage of non-isolated wetlands			12.58		
		Acreage of isola	ted wetlands	2.49		

¹Ecological communities:

- HD = hardwood swamp
- HS = hemlock–hardwood swamp
- RH = rocky headwater stream
- RS = intermittent rocky stream wetland
- SB = shrub swamp
- SM = shallow emergent marsh

²Values and Functions:

AB = aesthetic benefits AR = aquifer recharge FL = flood mitigation

- FW = fish and wildlife habitat
- RC = resource cycling and export
- WQ = water quality improvement

Wetland/Stream Group	Wetland Boundary Lines	Size of delineated area (sq. ft.)	Length of delineated stream segment (linear ft.)	Ecological Communities in Wetland ¹	Principal Values & Functions ¹	Description	Is This a Water of the US?
Off-Site Water Line Route Crossings	WA/WB	1188	73	RH	RC	"Todd Mountain Road Brook" at old railroad bridge and Todd Mountain Road	Yes
	WC/WD	807	83	RH	RC	"Highmount Brook" at NYS Route 28. Sign identifies culvert as no. C963037.	Yes
	WE/WF	936	93	RH	RC	"West Wildacres Brook," just west of Fleischmanns water supply road.	Yes
	WH/WG	408	101	RH	RC	"Wildacres Brook," east of water supply road.	Yes
Off-Site Sewer Line Route	WM	917		SM	RC	Seepy roadside bank next to NYS Route 28.	Yes
Crossings	WN/WO	10,377	550	SM, RS	RC, FL	Wetland and stream running parallel to NYS Route 28. Not to be crossed by sewer line.	Yes
	WP	6,519		SM, SB	FL, AR	Isolated wetland on the south side of NYS Route 28. Includes shallow emergent marsh and shrub swamp vegetation.	No
TOTALS		21,152 (0.49 ac)	900				

Table 4.	Off-Site Wetlands Delineated for the Modified Belleavre Resort Project
	v U

¹ See footnotes of Table 3 for explanation of ecological community codes and function and value codes.

Lines WN and WO mark a stream/wetland complex west of the County Route 49A intersection with NYS Route 28 (Drawing PN6). This linear, 0.24-acre wetland is covered with shallow emergent marsh vegetation with some small green ash trees (see Photo 17). A small stream enters the wetland at its eastern end, and exits at its western end, where it flows into a culvert under NYS Route 28. This is a first-order stream which is a tributary of Emory Brook. The sewer line route runs parallel to, but outside of this wetland.

Line WP surrounds an isolated area of shallow emergent marsh and shrub swamp situated at the point where the sewer line coming down from the Wildacres area will reach NYS Route 28 and turn eastward (Drawing PN6). Photos 15 and 16 are views of this area. There are no streams entering or leaving this 0.15-acre patch of wetland.

5.0 ACOE Jurisdictional Determination

In order to assist the US Army Corps of Engineers make its jurisdictional determination (JD) for this site, information necessary for filling out the "Approved Jurisdictional Determination Form" was collected. The ACOE determines its jurisdiction over a particular wetland or water on the basis of its connection to the nearest navigable water downstream. Therefore, it was necessary to describe the hydrological setting of each wetland. Some of that information is presented in Tables 3 and 4.

The site was divided according to the five minor watersheds that contain the on-site wetlands (see Figure 4, "Watersheds"). The streams that drain these watersheds are small and do not have official names. Therefore, they were given the following names for the purpose of this discussion (from west to east): Todd Mountain Road Brook, Highmount Brook, West Wildacres Brook, Wildacres Brook, and Gunnison Road Brook. Three of these are large enough to be indicated as streams on US Geological Survey topographic maps and have been cataloged by NYSDEC: Todd Mountain Road Brook (waters index no. D-70-80-10), West Wildacres Brook (D-70-80-12-2), and Gunnison Road Brook (D-70-80-12-3). Highmount Brook and Wildacres Brook are not shown on USGS maps, but were added to the map in Figure 4 (as dashed and dotted lines), located as indicated on aerial photographs.

The East Branch of the Delaware River was taken as the nearest "traditionally navigable water (TNW)." The five streams have mouths that lie between 5.1 and 7.3 river miles from the E. Branch of the Delaware River. When traced on the USGS maps, the connecting streams between Todd Mountain Road Brook and the TNW are Bush Kill and Dry Brook. However, the NYSDEC waters catalog classifies Bush Kill as a direct tributary of the E. Br. Delaware River, with Dry Brook as a tributary of Bush Kill. The other four streams on the project site empty into Emory Brook, which is a tributary of Bush Kill. Table 5 summarizes some data on these streams and watersheds.

	Todd Mountain Road Brook	Highmount Brook	West Wildacres Brook	Wildacres Brook	Gunnison Road Brook
Area of watershed (acres)	875	300	160	144	287
Mean Annual discharge (cu ft per sec)	3.02	1.03	0.55	0.50	0.99
Type of water	RPW^\dagger	RPW	RPW	RPW (?)	RPW
Distance from mouth to East Branch of Delaware River	5.1 miles	6.6 miles	6.9 miles	6.9 miles	7.3 miles

Table 5.Characteristics of the Streams on the Belleayre Resort Site

[†]RPW = relatively permanent water

The mean annual discharge of each stream was calculated by multiplying the average annual runoff in this region, 30 inches (Randall, 1996), by the area of the watershed, and dividing by the number of seconds per year.

In accordance with post-Rapanos requirements for Army Corps of Engineers wetland jurisdictional determinations, the data presented here have been used to fill out an Approved Jurisdictional Determination Form for each of the streams. Those forms are presented in Appendix D. All of the streams on site appear to flow long enough each year to be classified as a "relatively permanent water" (RPW). The determination of whether each wetland is a water of the United States (see Tables 3 and 4) is based on its relationship with the main stream of the watershed in which it is located. Only five of the on-site wetlands and one off-site wetland were found to be isolated from streams, and therefore, not waters of the United States.

6.0 References

- Andrle, R. F. and J. R. Carroll (eds.) 1988. The Atlas of Breeding Birds in New York State. Cornell University Press. Ithaca. 502 pp.
- Edinger, G. J., D. J. Evans, S. Gebauer, T. G. Howard, D. M. Hunt, and A. M. Olivero (editors). 2002. Ecological Communities of New York State. Second Edition. A revised and expanded edition of Carol Reschke's *Ecological Communities of New York State*. (Draft for review). New York Natural Heritage Program, NYS Department of Environmental Conservation. Albany, New York. 136 pp + xv.

- Randall, Allan D. 1996. Mean Annual Runoff, Precipitation, and Evapotranspiration in the Glaciated Northeastern United States, 1951-80. U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 96-395. 2 plates.
- Reed, Porter B. 1988. National List of Plant Species That Occur in Wetlands: Northeast (Region 1). U.S. Department of Interior, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, St. Petersburg FL. 111 pp.
- Tiner, R., R. Lichvar, R. Franzen, C. Rhodes, and W. Sipple. 1995. Supplement to the List of Plant Species that Occur in Wetland: Northeast (Region 1). Supplement to: Biological Report 88(26.1) May 1988, U.S. Department of Interior, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

G:\Proj-07\07074_Crossroads\07074Enviro\06Wetlands\Modified Belleayre Resort Delineation Report.docx 1/26/2010 11:41 AM

Appendix A

Memos on Site Visits to Parcel D and Museum Parcel

MEMO

To: Crossroads Ventures File (07074)From: Richard FutymaDate: July 18, 2008Re: Visit to Highmount Ski Center Parcel D

On July 10, 2008, I visited the area identified as Parcel D in the lands of the former Highmount Ski Center. My purpose was to search for any wetlands and stream courses that may be subject to federal or state regulation. I parked at the entrance to the old ski center and walked up the maintenance road that lies west of the ski slopes. I used the Trimble GPS unit, along with an aerial photo with superimposed property lines and UTM coordinate grid to determine my location on the site. When I reached the 26.5-acre parcel D, I walked a route that took me around the edges of the parcel, as well as through its center.

The parcel is relatively uniformly vegetated with a forest dominated by sugar maples, yellow birch, and black cherries, with some beech. Striped maple is an abundant understory tree. Somewhat surprisingly, I did not see any coniferous trees (i.e., hemlock, pines, or spruces). I kept my eyes open for unusual or rare plants, but did not see any. I have additional field notes on tape that include all plants I observed while crossing the site.

I did not find anything on Parcel D that could be identified as a wetland or watercourse. It appears that all parts of this parcel have enough slope that water runs off and does not collect or get channeled into definite pathways.

G:\Proj-07\07074_Crossroads\07074Enviro\06Wetlands\07074 RPF MEMO-Parcel D Highomount.doc



View down the west-facing forested slope near the highest point on the parcel. Dominants in the relatively young forest here are sugar maple, yellow birch, and black cherry. There is also some beech in places and striped maple is common in the understory.



In some places on the slopes of Parcel D, there is exposed bedrock.

MEMO

To: Crossroads Ventures File 907074

From: Richard P. Futyma

Date: March 9, 2009

Re: Examination of the "Museum Parcel" on Route 49A

On May 18, 2007, I visited the site known as the "Museum Parcel" on the north side of Route 49A, ca. ¼ mile west of the intersection with NYS Route 28. This site consists largely of a wooded area behind two houses. The woods are relatively mature and are composed mainly of red oak (*Quercus rubra*), beech (*Fagus grandifolia*), yellow birch (*Betula alleghaniensis*), hemlock (*Tsuga canadensis*), sugar maple (*Acer saccharum*), and black cherry (*Prunus serotina*). The ground layer in this forest is typical of the upland mixed deciduous & coniferous forests of the Highmount area, with starflower (*Trientalis borealis*), Canada mayflower (*Maianthemum canadense*), tree clubmoss (*Lycopodium obscurum*), lowbush blueberry (*Vaccinium* sp.), wood anemone (*Anemone quinquefolia*), bracken fern (*Pteridium aquilinum*), and wild sarsaparilla (*Aralia nudicaulis*). Witch-hazel (*Hamamelis virginiana*) is the dominant understory shrub. A photograph showing a typical view if this forest is included below.

I walked the entire site and found it to be covered only with upland forest of this type. I did not see any area within this parcel that would meet the three-parameter definition of wetlands that is used by the federal government. Nor did I see any streams or ponds that would qualify as waters of the United States.



 $G:\Proj-07\07074_Crossroads\07074Enviro\06Wetlands\07074\ RPF\ MEMO-Museum\ parcel.doc$

Appendix B

Wetland Determination Summary Forms

DATA FORM ROUTINE WETLAND DETERMINATION (1987 COE Wetlands Delineation Manual)

Project Site: <u>Wildacre</u> Applicant/Owner: <u></u> Investigator: <u>Ric</u> ł	Date: <u>September 15. 1999</u> County: <u>Ulster</u> State: <u>New York</u>				
Do Normal Circumstances exist on the site? Is the site significantly disturbed (Atypical Situation)? Is the area a potential Problem Area? (If needed, explain on reverse.)			<u>X</u> Yes No Yes <u>X</u> No Yes <u>X</u> No	Community ID: <u>wetland</u> Transect ID: <u></u> Plot ID: <u>H-1-W</u>	
VEGETATION Dominant Plant Species 1. Tsuga canadensis 2. Betula alleghaniensis 3. Impatiens capensis 4. Cinna latifolia 5. Glyceria striata 6. 7	Stratum tree tree herb herb herb	Indicator FACU FAC FACW FACW OBL	Dominant Plant Species 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15	<u>Stratum</u> Indicator	
7. 15. 8. 16. Percent of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW or FAC (excluding FAC-). 80% Remarks:					
HYDROLOGY Recorded Data (Describe in Remarks): Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Stream, Lake, or Tide Gauge Primary Indicators: Aerial Photographs Inundated Other Saturated in Upper 12 inches X No Recorded Data Available Water Marks Drift Lines Drift Lines					
Field Observations: Depth of Surface Water: <u>none</u> (in.) Depth to Free Water in Pit: <u>7</u> (in.) Depth to Saturated Soil: <u>0</u> (in.)			Sediment Depo Secondary indicators (2 Oxidized root ch Urainage Patter Secondary indicators (2 Oxidized root ch Local Soil Surve FAC-Neutral Te Other (Explain i	sits rns in Wetlands 2 or more required): nannels in upper 12 inches Leaves ey Data est n Remarks)	
Remarks:					

SITE: Wildacres Parcel, Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park

DATE: <u>September 15, 1999</u> PLOT ID: <u>H-1-W</u>

30113									
Map Unit N	Name Id Phase):	Sunv silt loa	m		Drair	age Class: very poorly	/ drained		
_	(O)		<u>.</u>		Field	Observations	<u> </u>		
Taxonomy	/ (Subgrou	ip): <u>Aeric Epi</u>	aquepts	. <u></u>	Conf	irm Mapped Type?	Yes	<u> </u>	No
Profile Des	<u>scription</u> :				N 4 - 441 -	Touture Concretions			
Depth Inches	<u>Horizon</u>	Matrix Color (Munsell Mois	t) (Munsell M	rs oist)	Mottle Abundance/Contra	st <u>Structure, etc.</u>		_	
0-2	Oa	7.5YR 2/0				black, fibrous muck			
2-7	Α	N4				sandy loam			
7-14	<u> </u>	5YR 5/1				silt loam			
14-20	Cg	5Y 6/1	10YR 6/4		common, distinct	silt loam			
<u> </u>									
Hvdric Soi	Indicator	·c.							
	Thuluator	3.							
	Histoso	il Eninedon			Concretions	tent in Surface Laver in 9	Sandy Sc	vile	
×	Sulfidic	; Odor		_	Organic Streaking	in Sandy Soils	Sanuy CC	Ло	
	Aquic N	Noisture Regime	Э	_	_ Listed on Local Hy	dric Soils List			
_	Reducii	ng Conditions			Listed on National	Hydric Soils List			
<u>_</u>						(emains)			i
Remarks:	This is a	hydric soil.							
WETLAND									
Hydrophyt	ic Vegetat	tion Present?	X Yes	No					
Wetland H	lydrology I	Present?	<u>X</u> Yes	_No					
Hydric Soi	ls Present	?	<u>X</u> Yes	_No	Is this Sampling	Point Within a Wetland?	<u>X</u> Y	es _	No
Remarks:									
Appendix	R Photo 1	1							
(Photo 24	on roll.)								

Approved by HQUSACE 3/92

DATA FORM ROUTINE WETLAND DETERMINATION (1987 COE Wetlands Delineation Manual)

Project Site: <u>Wildacres F</u> Applicant/Owner: <u></u>	Date: <u>Septemb</u> County: <u>Uls</u>	<u>ber 15, 1999</u> ster				
Do Normal Circumstances exist on t Is the site significantly disturbed (Aty Is the area a potential Problem Area (If needed, explain on reverse.)	<u>X</u> Yes <u>X</u> Yes <u>Yes</u> Yes	No _X_ No _X_ No	Community ID: Transect ID: Plot ID:	upland H-1-U		
VEGETATION Dominant Plant Species	Stratum	Indicator	Dominant Pla	ant Species	Stratur	n Indicator
1. <u>Tsuga canadensis</u>	tree	FACU	9			
2. <u>Acer saccharum</u>	tree	FACU-	10			
3. <u>Betula alleghaniensis</u>	tree	FAC	11			
4. <u>Tsuga canadensis</u>	sapling	FACU	12.			
5. <u>Viburnum lantanoides</u>	shrub	FACU	13			
6. <u>Dryopteris intermedia</u>	herb	FACU	14			
7. <u>Oxalis montana</u>	herb	FAC-	15			
8. <u>Acer pensylvanicum</u>	herb	FACU	16			
Percent of Dominant Species that a (excluding FAC-).	re OBL, FAC	W or FAC	<u>13</u> º	%		
Remarks:						
HYDROLOGY						
Recorded Data (Describe in F	Remarks):		Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Stream, Lake, or Tide (Gauge		Primary Indicators:			
Aerial Photographs				undated	por 12 inchos	
			Saturated in Upper 12 inches			

X No Recorded Data Available

Field Observations:

Depth of Surface Water: ______ none (in.)

Depth to Saturated Soil:

Drainage Patterns in Wetlands
Secondary indicators (2 or more required):
Oxidized root channels in upper 12 inches
Water-Stained Leaves
Local Soil Survey Data

Sediment Deposits

FAC-Neutral Test

Other (Evolain in Remarks)

_ Water Marks _ Drift Lines

Remarks: It was not possible to dig greater than 6 inches. There is no evidence of wetland hydrology.

<u>>6</u> (in.)

SITE: Wildacres Parcel, Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park

DATE: September 15, 1999

PLOT ID:	H-1-U

SOILS

SUILS									
Map Unit	Name								
(Series a	nd Phase):	Vly			Drainage Class: <u>excessively drained</u>				
Taxonom	y (Subgrou	p): <u>Typic Dystru</u>	udepts		Confirm Mapped Type? Yes X No				
Profile De	escription:								
Depth Inches	Horizon	Matrix Color (Munsell Moist)	Mottle (Muns	Colors ell Moist)	Mottle Abundance/Contras	Texture, Concretions, <u>st Structure, etc.</u>			
2-0						Black organic duff layer with fibrous roots			
						and moss			
0.6						Vary chappery/flaggy silt loam on 70%			
0-0		1011 3/1							
						large channers and small flagstones.			
6+					,	Refusal; probably large, detached			
						flagstones.			
Hydric Soil Indicators: Concretions Histic Epipedon High Organic Content in Surface Layer in Sandy Soils Sulfidic Odor Organic Streaking in Sandy Soils Aquic Moisture Regime Listed on Local Hydric Soils List Reducing Conditions Listed on National Hydric Soils List Gleyed or Low-Chroma Colors Other (Explain in Remarks) Remarks: No hydric soil characteristics were found.									
WETLAN	DDETERN	/INATION							
Hydrophy	tic Vegetat	ion Present?	_Yes	<u> X </u> No					
Wetland	Hydrology F	Present?	_Yes	<u>X</u> No					
Hydric So	oils Present	?	Yes	<u>X</u> No	Is this Sampling I	Point Within a Wetland?Yes <u>X</u> No			

Remarks:

Appendix B, Photo 2. (Photo 25 on roll)

DATA FORM ROUTINE WETLAND DETERMINATION (1987 COE Wetlands Delineation Manual)

Project Site: <u>Wildacres</u> Applicant/Owner: <u>Bicha</u>	Date: <u>September 15, 1999</u> County: <u>Ulster</u> State: New York			
Do Normal Circumstances exist on Is the site significantly disturbed (At Is the area a potential Problem Area (If needed, explain on reverse.)	the site? ypical Situation)? a?	<u>X</u> Yes No Yes X No Yes X No	Community ID: <u>wetland</u> Transect ID: Plot ID: I-18-W	
VEGETATION Dominant Plant Species	Stratum Indicator	Dominant Plant Species	Stratum Indicator	
1. <u>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</u> 2. Glyceria striata	<u>sapling</u> FACW herb OBL	_ 9 10.		
3. <u>Aster umbellatus</u>	herb FACW	_ 11.		
4. <u>Impatiens capensis</u> 5. <u>Aster puniceus</u>	<u>herb</u> FACW herbOBL	12 13		
6. <u>Euthamia graminifolia</u> 7.	herb FAC	_ 14 15.		
8		_ 16		
Remarks: HYDROLOGY				
Recorded Data (Describe in I Stream, Lake, or Tide Aerial Photographs Other X_ No Recorded Data Available	Remarks): Gauge	Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators: Inundated Saturated in Upper 12 inches Water Marks Drift Lines		
Field Observations:	popo (in)	Sediment Depos Drainage Pattern Secondary indicators (2	sits ns in Wetlands 2 or more required):	
Depth to Free Water in Pit:	<u> </u>	Water-Stained L	eaves eaves y Data	
Depth to Saturated Soil:	<u>>18</u> (in.)	Other (Explain ir	אר Remarks)	

Remarks: There is evidence of wetland hydrology. This is a portion of a natural drainageway in which water apparently collects at times.

SITE: <u>Wildacres Parcel, Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park</u> DATE: <u>September 15, 1999</u> PLOT ID: <u>I-18-W</u>

SOILS

Map Unit Name (Series and Phase): <u>Ontusia silt loam</u> Eicld Obsorvations							
Taxonomy	(Subgrou	o): <u>Aeric Fragiag</u>	uepts	Confir	m Mapped Type?	Yes	X_No
Profile Des Depth Inches 0-5	<u>scription</u> : <u>Horizon</u> Ap	Matrix Color (Munsell Moist) 5YR 3/2	Mottle Colors (Munsell Moist)	Mottle <u>Abundance/Contras</u>	Texture, Concretions, <u>t Structure, etc.</u> silt loam		
5-8	Bw1	5YR 5/3	7.5YR 5/6	<u>common, medium,</u> faint	silt loam		
8-18	Bw2	5YR 5/2	7.5YR 6/4	few, fine, faint	very channery silt loa	im	
Hydric Soil Indicators: Concretions Histosol Concretions Histic Epipedon High Organic Content in Surface Layer in Sandy Soils Sulfidic Odor Organic Streaking in Sandy Soils Aquic Moisture Regime Listed on Local Hydric Soils List Reducing Conditions Listed on National Hydric Soils List X Gleyed or Low-Chroma Colors Other (Explain in Remarks)							
Remarks: This is a hydric soil.							
WETLAND DETERMINATION							
Ludroph #		an Bracont2 V					

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	X Yes	No			
Wetland Hydrology Present?	X Yes	No			
Hydric Soils Present?	<u>X</u> Yes	No	Is this Sampling Point Within a Wetland?	<u>X</u> Yes	No

Remarks:

DATA FORM ROUTINE WETLAND DETERMINATION (1987 COE Wetlands Delineation Manual)

Project Site: <u>Wildacres</u> Applicant/Owner: <u>Richa</u>	Site: Wildacres Parcel, Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park t/Owner: Crossroads Ventures, LLC itor: Richard P. Futyma and Roger J. Case				
Do Normal Circumstances exist on Is the site significantly disturbed (A Is the area a potential Problem Are (If needed, explain on reverse.)	the site? typical Situation)? a?	<u>X</u> Yes <u>No</u> Yes <u>X</u> No Yes <u>X</u> No	Community ID: <u>upland</u> Transect ID: <u>I-18-U</u>		
VEGETATION Dominant Plant Species 1. <u>Fagus grandifolia</u> 2. <u>Tsuga canadensis</u>	<u>Stratum</u> <u>Indicator</u> tree FACU tree FACU	<u>Dominant Plant Species</u> 9. <u>Aster acuminatus</u> 10.	<u>Stratum Indicator</u> herb FACU+		
 <u>Acer rubrum</u> <u>Betula alleghaniensis</u> <u>Fagus grandifolia</u> <u>Ostrya virginiana</u> <u>Polygonatum pubescens</u> <u>Actor diverientup</u> 	tree FAC sapling FAC sapling FAC sapling FACU herb NL	_ 11 _ 12 _ 13 _ 14 _ 15			
8. Aster divaricatus herb NL 16. Percent of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW or FAC (excluding FAC-). 22% Remarks: 22%					
HYDROLOGY Recorded Data (Describe in Remarks): Stream, Lake, or Tide Gauge Actial Destagraphs					
Other Other No Recorded Data Available Field Observations:		Saturated in Upper 12 inches Water Marks Drift Lines Sediment Deposits Drainage Patterns in Wetlands			

Depth of Surface Water: ______ (in.)

Depth to Free Water in Pit: _____>15_ (in.)

Depth to Saturated Soil:

>15 (in.) FAC-Neutral Test ____Other (Explain in Remarks)

____ Oxidized root channels in upper 12 inches

____ Water-Stained Leaves

____ Local Soil Survey Data

Remarks: There is no evidence of wetland hydrology.

SITE: Wildacres Parcel, Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park

DATE: <u>September 15, 1999</u> PLOT ID: <u>I-18-U</u>

SOILS

Map Unit (Series ar Taxonom	Name nd Phase): v (Subgrou	Willowemoc silt	loam	Drainage Class: Field Observations Confirm Mapped Type? Yes X No					
Profile De Depth Inches 0-3 3-15	escription: Horizon Ap Bw	Matrix Color (Munsell Moist) 5YR 3/2 2.5Y 3/6	Mottle Colors (Munsell Moist)	Mottle <u>Abundance/Contras</u>	Texture, Concretions, <u>Structure, etc</u> <u>silt loam</u> <u>very channery silt loa</u>	am			
Hydric Soil Indicators: Concretions Histicsol Concretions Histic Epipedon High Organic Content in Surface Layer in Sandy Soils Sulfidic Odor Organic Streaking in Sandy Soils Aquic Moisture Regime Listed on Local Hydric Soils List Reducing Conditions Other (Explain in Remarks)									
Remarks:	Remarks: This soil has no hydric characteristics.								
WETLAN	WETLAND DETERMINATION								

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	<u> X </u> No		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	<u>X</u> No		
Hydric Soils Present?	Yes	<u> X </u> No	Is this Sampling Point Within a Wetland?	Yes <u>X</u> No

Remarks:

DATA FORM ROUTINE WETLAND DETERMINATION (1987 COE Wetlands Delineation Manual)

Project Site: Wildacres Parcel, Belleavre Resort at Catskill Park					Date: September 23, 1999		
Applicant/Owner:	Co	County: Ulster					
Investigator: Bar	bara B. Beall a	and Roger J.	. Case State: New York			ork	
Do Normal Circumstances exist or Is the site significantly disturbed (A Is the area a potential Problem Are (If needed, explain on reverse.)	n the site? ∖typical Situatio ∋a?	on)?	<u>X</u> YesN Yes <u>X</u> N Yes <u>X</u> N	lo Co lo Tra lo Pic	mmunity ID: ansect ID: ot ID: N	Wetland	
VEGETATION				i			
Dominant Plant Species	Stratum	Indicator	Dominant Plant Spe	ecies	Stratum	Indicator	
1. <u>Ulmus americana</u>	Tree	FACW-	9. <u>Carex sp.</u>		herb	UNK	
2. <u>Ulmus americana</u>	Sapling	FACW-	10. Juncus effusus	;	herb	FACW+	
3. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	Tree	FAC	11				
4. <u>Acer pensylvanicum</u>	Sap	FACU	12				
5. <u>Onoclea sensibilis</u>	herb	FACW	13				
6. <i>Impatiens</i> sp.	herb	FACW	14				
7. <u>Potentilla simplex</u>	herb	FACU-	15				
8. <u>Geum sp.</u>	herb	UNK	16				
Percent of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW or FAC (excluding FAC-). 60%±							
Remarks: Site appears to be dominated by wetland vegetation							
HYDROLOGY							
Recorded Data (Describe in		Wetland Hydrology	Indicators:				
Stream, Lake, or Tide	Primary Indicators:						
Aerial Photographs	X Inundated						
Other	X Saturated in Upper 12 inches						

____ Other ___ No Recorded Data Available

Field Observations:

Depth of Surface Water: <u>2</u> (in.)

Depth to Free Water in Pit: _____ (in.)

Depth to Saturated Soil:

<u>X</u> Drainage Patterns in Wetlands Secondary indicators (2 or more required): <u>Oxidized root channels in upper 12 inches</u>

<u>____</u>Sediment Deposits

Water-Stained Leaves
Local Soil Survey Data

FAC-Neutral Test

____ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Remarks: Water standing on the ground surface, and soil saturated throughout. Near an existing spring house.

surface (in.)

SITE: Wildacres Parcel, Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park

DATE: <u>September 23, 1999</u> PLOT ID: <u>N-13-W</u>

SOILS

Map Unit I (Series an	Name Id Phase):	Ontusia		Draina	age Class: <u>somewhat poorly drained</u>	
Taxonomy	y (Subgrou	p): <u>Aeric Fragia</u>	quepts	Field Observations Confirm Mapped Type? <u>X</u> Yes No		
Profile De Depth Inches	<u>scription</u> : <u>Horizon</u>	Matrix Color (Munsell Moist)	Mottle Colors (Munsell Moist)	Mottle Abundance/Contras	Texture, Concretions, <u>t Structure, etc.</u>	
0-2	Ар	7.5YR 3/2	_		thin Ap horizon. Silt loam.	
2-12"	<u> </u>	5YR 4/2	5YR 4/1 @ 8"		channery silt loam, flaggy	
Hydric So	il Indicators	s: Area appears to	have been excavat	ed, perhaps for constru	uction and development of spring house.	
Histosol Concretions Histic Epipedon High Organic Content in Surface Layer in Sandy Soils Sulfidic Odor Organic Streaking in Sandy Soils Aquic Moisture Regime Listed on Local Hydric Soils List Reducing Conditions Listed on National Hydric Soils List X Gleyed or Low-Chroma Colors Other (Explain in Remarks)						
Remarks:	Area iden	tified as hydric soil	by Roger Case			
WETLANI						

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	X Yes	No			
Wetland Hydrology Present?	X Yes	No			
Hydric Soils Present?	<u>X</u> Yes	No	Is this Sampling Point Within a Wetland?	<u>X</u> Yes	No

Remarks: Indicators of wetlands present for all three parameters.
Project Site: <u>Wildacres P</u> Applicant/Owner: <u>Barba</u>	<u>'arcel, Bellea</u> <u>Crossroade</u> ara B. Beall a	Date: <u>Septem</u> County: <u>U</u> State: <u>Nev</u>	ber 23, 1999 Ister v York			
Do Normal Circumstances exist on the site significantly disturbed (Aty Is the area a potential Problem Area (If needed, explain on reverse.)	on)?	<u>X</u> Yes <u>No</u> Yes <u>X</u> No Yes <u>X</u> No	Community ID: Transect ID: Plot ID:	Upland N-13-U		
VEGETATION						
Dominant Plant Species	<u>Stratum</u>	Indicator	Dominant Plant Species	<u>Stratu</u>	m Indicator	
1. <u>Betula allegnaniensis</u>			9			
2. <u>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</u>	<u>Sap</u>	FACW	10			
3. <u>Acer pensylvanicum</u>	Sap	FACU	11			
4. <u>Fagus grandifolia</u>	Tree	FACU	12			
5. Polystichum achrostichoides	herb	FACU-	13			
6. raspberry	herb	UNK	14			
7. <u>Anthriscus sylvestris</u>	herb	NL	15			
8			16			
Percent of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW or FAC (excluding FAC-). 28%						
Remarks: Area did not have vegetation dominated by wetland plants. The tree species indicated were on the wetland/upland edge.						

HYDROLOGY

Recorded Data (Describe in Remarks):	Wetland Hydrology Indicators:
Stream, Lake, or Tide Gauge	Primary Indicators:
Aerial Photographs	Inundated
Other	Saturated in Upper 12 inches
No Recorded Data Available	Water Marks
	Drift Lines
	Sediment Deposits
Field Observations:	Drainage Patterns in Wetlands
	Secondary indicators (2 or more required):
Depth of Surface Water: (in.)	Oxidized root channels in upper 12 inches
	Water-Stained Leaves
Depth to Free Water in Pit: (in.)	Local Soil Survey Data
	FAC-Neutral Test
Depth to Saturated Soil: (in.)	Other (Explain in Remarks)
Remarks: No wetland hydrology observed.	

SITE: Wildacres Parcel, Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park

DATE: <u>September 23, 1999</u>

PLOT ID: N-13-U

SOILS									
Map Unit I (Series an	Map Unit Name Series and Phase): <u>Vly</u> <u>Drainage Class: Well drain/excessively drain</u>								
Taxonomy	/ (Subgrou	p): <u>Typic Dystru</u>	depts	Confir	rm Mapped Type? X Yes No				
Profile De	scription:								
Depth Inches	Horizon	Matrix Color (Munsell Moist)	Mottle Colors (Munsell Moist)	Mottle <u>Abundance/Contras</u>	Texture, Concretions, <u>st Structure, etc.</u>				
0-2	A	2.5YR 3/2			channery silt loam				
2-11	B	2.5YR 4/4			channery silt loam				
			_						
Hydric Soi	I Indicators	s: None							
	Histoso	I		_ Concretions					
	Histic E	pipedon		High Organic Content in Surface Layer in Sandy Soils					
	Sulfidic	Odor Aciatura Dagima		Organic Streaking in Sandy Soils					
	Aquic iv Reducir	a Conditions		Listed on Local Hydric Solls List					
Gleved or Low-Chroma Colors				Other (Explain in Remarks)					
Remarks: Determined to not be a hydric soil according to Roger Case									

WETLAND DETERMINATION Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No Hydric Soils Present? Yes X No Is this Sampling Point Within a Wetland? Yes X No

Remarks: Area did not have indicators of hydric soil, wetland vegetation or wetland hydrology.

Project Site: <u>Wildacres</u>	Parcel, Belleayre Rese Crossroads Venture	Date: <u>September 23, 1999</u> County: Ulster			
vestigator: Barbara B. Beall and Roger J. Case			State: <u>New York</u>		
Do Normal Circumstances exist on Is the site significantly disturbed (A Is the area a potential Problem Are (If needed, explain on reverse.)	the site? typical Situation)? a?	<u>X</u> Yes <u>No</u> Yes <u>X</u> No Yes <u>X</u> No	Community ID: <u>Wetlands</u> Transect ID: <u> </u> Plot ID: <u> </u>		
VEGETATION Dominant Plant Species 1. Betula alleghaniensis 2. Onoclea sensibilis 3. Anthriscus sylvestris 4. Impatiens sp. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Stratum Indicator tree FAC herb FACW herb NL herb FACW	Dominant Plant Species 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	Stratum Indicator		
Remarks: Area dominated by weth	and plants.				
HYDROLOGY Recorded Data (Describe in Stream, Lake, or Tide Aerial Photographs Other No Recorded Data Available	Remarks): Gauge	Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators: <u>X</u> Inundated <u>X</u> Saturated in Upper 12 inches Water Marks Drift Lines			
Field Observations: Depth of Surface Water:	2 (in.)	Orainage Patter Drainage Patter Secondary indicators Oxidized root of	erns in Wetlands (2 or more required): channels in upper 12 inches		
Depth to Free Water in Pit: Depth to Saturated Soil:	(in.) <u>surfa</u> ce (in.)	 Water-Stained Leaves Local Soil Survey Data FAC-Neutral Test Other (Explain in Remarks) 			
Depth to Saturated Soil: Other (Explain in Remarks) Other (Explain in Remarks) Remarks: soil saturated throughout area.					

SITE: <u>Wildacres Parcel, Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park</u>

DATE: _____ September 23, 1999

PLOT ID: M-27-W

SOILS

Map Unit	Name						
(Series and Phase): Ontusia Drainage Class: somewhat poorly drained							
Taxonomy	y (Subgrou	p): <u>Aeric Fragiac</u>	quept	Field Confi	Observations rm Mapped Type? <u>X</u> Yes <u>No</u>		
<u>Profile De</u> Depth <u>Inches</u>	<u>scription</u> : <u>Horizon</u>	Matrix Color (Munsell Moist)	Mottle Colors (Munsell Moist)	Mottle <u>Abundance/Contras</u>	Texture, Concretions, <u>Structure, etc.</u>		
0-4	Ар	10YR 3/2			channery silt loam		
5-8	<u> </u>	10YR 6/2	10YR 4/2		channery silt loam		
8-12	В	10YR 4/3	7.5YR 5/6		silt loam		
Hydric So	il Indicators	S:					
Hydric Soli Indicators.							
Remarks:	Identified	as a hydric soil.					
WETLAND DETERMINATION							

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? X Yes No Wetland Hydrology Present? X Yes No Hydric Soils Present? X Yes No Is this Sampling Point Within a Wetland? X Yes No

Remarks: Site had indicators of wetland vegetation, wetland hydrology and wetland soils.

Project Site: <u>Wildacres I</u> Applicant/Owner: <u>Barb</u>	Date: <u>September 23, 199</u> County: <u>Ulster</u> State: <u>New York</u>				
Do Normal Circumstances exist on Is the site significantly disturbed (At Is the area a potential Problem Area (If needed, explain on reverse.)	the site? ypical Situation a?	ı)?	X Yes No YesX No YesX No	Community ID: <u>UPLAND</u> Transect ID: Plot ID: <u>M-27-U</u>	
VEGETATION					
Dominant Plant Species	Stratum Ir	ndicator	Dominant Plant Species	Stratum Indicator	
1. <u>Betula alleghaniensis</u>	tree	FAC	9		
2. <u>Fagus grandifolia</u>	tree	FACU	10		
3. <u>Tsuga canadensis</u>	tree	FACU	11		
4. <u>Tilia americana</u>	tree	FACU	12		
5. <u>Polystichum achrostichoides</u>	herb	FACU-	13		
6.			14.		
7.			15.		
8			16		
Percent of Dominant Species that a (excluding FAC-).	re OBL, FACW	/ or FAC	20%		
Remarks: Area not dominated by w	etland plants.				
HYDROLOGY					
Recorded Data (Describe in F	Remarks):		Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		
Stream, Lake, or Tide	Gauge		Primary Indicators:		
Aerial Photographs			Inundated		
Other			Saturated in Up	pper 12 inches	
			Water Marks		
Field Observations:			Sediment Deposits Drainage Patterns in Wetlands Secondary indicators (2 or more required):		
Depth of Surface Water:		(in.)	Secondary indicators (2 or more required): Oxidized root channels in upper 12 inches Water-Stained Leaves		

_ (in.)

____ Local Soil Survey Data

____ Other (Explain in Remarks)

_ FAC-Neutral Test

Depth to Free Water in Pit: _____ (in.)

Depth to Saturated Soil:

Remarks: No indicators of wetland hydrology.

SITE: Wildacres Parcel, Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park

DATE: <u>September 23, 1999</u> PLOT ID: <u>M-27-U</u>

SOILS									
Map Unit (Series ar	Name Id Phase):	Vly		Drain	age Class: <u>Well drain/excessivel</u>	y drain			
Taxonomy	y (Subgrou	ip): <u>Typic Dystru</u>	depts	Confi	rm Mapped Type? X Yes	No			
Profile De	scription:								
Depth Inches	Horizon	Matrix Color (Munsell Moist)	Mottle Colors (Munsell Moist)	Mottle <u>Abundance/Contras</u>	Texture, Concretions, <u>st Structure, etc.</u>				
0-2	Α	2.5YR 3/2			channery silt loam				
2-11	<u> </u>	2.5YR 4/4			channery silt loam				
						<u> </u>			
Hydric So	il Indicator	s: None							
	Histoso	bl		Concretions					
	Histic E	pipedon	_	High Organic Content in Surface Layer in Sandy Soils					
	Sulfidic	Odor Joisturo Bogimo	-	Organic Streaking in Sandy Soils					
	Reducii	ng Conditions	_	Listed on Local Hydric Soils List					
Gleyed or Low-Chroma Colors				Other (Explain in Remarks)					
Remarks:	Determin	ed to not be a hydr	ic soil according to I	Roger Case					

WETLAND DETERMINATION

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	<u> X </u> No		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	<u> X </u> No		
Hydric Soils Present?	Yes	<u>X</u> No	Is this Sampling Point Within a Wetland?	Yes <u>X</u> No

Remarks: Area did not have indicators of hydric soil, wetland vegetation or wetland hydrology.

oject Site: Highmount Parcel, Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park					Date: Oct. 25, 1999		
Applicant/Owner:	pplicant/Owner: Crossroads Ventures, LLC				County: <u>Ulster</u>		
Investigator: Richa	ard P. Futyma	a and Roger	J. Case		State:	New Y	ork
Do Normal Circumstances exist on the site? Is the site significantly disturbed (Atypical Situation)?			<u>X</u> Yes Yes	No X No	Commu Transec	nity ID: t ID:	wetland
Is the area a potential Problem Area	a?		Yes	<u>X</u> NO	Plot ID:	F	1B-2-11
(ii heeded, explain on reverse.)							
VEGETATION							
Dominant Plant Species	Stratum	Indicator	Dominant Pla	ant Species		Stratum	Indicator
1. <u>Sambucus canadensis</u>	shrub	FACW	9				
2. <u>Rubus idaeus</u>	shrub	FAC-	10				
3. <u>Anthriscus sylvestris</u>	herb	NL	_ 11				
4. <u>Onoclea sensibilis</u>	herb	FACW	12				
5. <u>Carex crinita</u>	herb	OBL	13				
6. <u>Euthamia graminifolia</u>	herb	FAC	_ 14				
7. <u>Solidago gigantea</u>	herb	FACW	15				
8			16				
Percent of Dominant Species that a	re OBL, FAC	CW or FAC					
(excluding FAC-).			719	%			
Remarks:							
HYDROLOGY			_				
Recorded Data (Describe in	Remarks):		Wetland Hyd	rology Indicat	tors:		
Stream, Lake, or Tide	Gauge		Primary Ir	ndicators:			
Aerial Photographs			Inundated				
Other			X Saturated in Upper 12 inches				
X No Recorded Data Available			Water Marks				
			_ Dr	ift Lines			
			Se	diment Depo	sits		
Field Observations:			<u>X</u> Dr	ainage Patter	ns in Wetl	ands	
			Secondar	y indicators (2	2 or more	required):	
Depth of Surface Water:	no	<u>ne</u> (in.)	Oxidized root channels in upper 12 inches				inches
Depth to Free Water in Pit-	ſ		Water-Stained Leaves				
		<u> </u>	X FAC-Neutral Test				
Depth to Saturated Soil:0(in.)			Other (Explain in Remarks)				

Remarks: This is a seepy area along a stream that runs on the edge of a ski slope.

SITE: Highmount Parcel, Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park

DATE: <u>October 25, 1999</u> PLOT ID: <u>HB-5-W</u>

Series a	nd Phase):			Drain	age Class:		
axonom	y (Subgrou	p): Orthent, we	, scalped	Confi	rm Mapped Type?	Yes	No
Profile De Depth <u>nches</u> 0-20	escription: Horizon Cg	Matrix Color (Munsell Moist) 5YR 3/2	Mottle Colors (Munsell Moist)	Mottle Abundance/Contras	Texture, Concretions, <u>st Structure, etc</u> gravelly silt loam, ver	ry stony	
lydric Sc	bil Indicator Histoso	s: I		Concretions			
-	Histic Epipedon High Organic Content in Surface Layer in Sandy Soils Sulfidic Odor Organic Streaking in Sandy Soils Aquic Moisture Regime Listed on Local Hydric Soils List Badwige Candidians Listed on National Undric Soils List					6	
Gleved or Low-Chroma Colors			Other (Explain in R	emarks)			
emarks	This is a to have hyd	disturbed soil on a Iric tendencies.	ski slope, which app	bears to have had its u	pper part removed. It	is a very we	t soil an

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Wetland Hydrology Present? Hydric Soils Present?	<u>X</u> Yes <u>X</u> Yes (X) Yes	No No No	Is this Sampling Point Within a Wetland?	<u>X</u> Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:				

Photo 9

Project Site: <u>Highmour</u> Applicant/Owner: Investigator: Rict	Date: <u>Oct. 25, 1999</u> County: <u>Ulster</u> State: New York			
Do Normal Circumstances exist on the site? Is the site significantly disturbed (Atypical Situation)? Is the area a potential Problem Area? (If needed, explain on reverse.)			<u>X</u> Yes No Yes X No Yes X No	Community ID: <u>upland</u> Transect ID: Plot ID: HB-5-U
VEGETATION Dominant Plant Species 1. Fragaria virginiana 2. Hypericum punctatum 3. Poa compressa 4. Solidago bicolor 5. Aster prenanthoides 6. 7. 8. Percent of Dominant Species that (excluding FAC-).	Stratum herb herb herb herb herb herb herb herb	Indicator FACU FAC- FACU NL FAC FAC	Dominant Plant Species 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	Stratum Indicator
Remarks:				
HYDROLOGY Recorded Data (Describe in Remarks): Stream, Lake, or Tide Gauge Aerial Photographs Other X No Recorded Data Available			Wetland Hydrology Indicat Primary Indicators: Inundated Saturated in Up Water Marks Drift Lines	tors: per 12 inches
Field Observations: Depth of Surface Water: <u>none</u> (in.)			Sediment Depo Drainage Patter Secondary indicators (2 Oxidized root ch	sits ns in Wetlands 2 or more required): nannels in upper 12 inches
Depth to Free Water in Pit: <u>>20</u> (in.) Depth to Saturated Soil: <u>>20</u> (in.)			Water-Stained L Local Soil Surve FAC-Neutral Te Other (Explain i	∟eaves ey Data est n Remarks)
Remarks: There is no evidence o	f wetland hydr	ology.		

SITE: Highmount Parcel, Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park

DATE: <u>Oct. 25, 1999</u> PLOT ID: <u>HB-5-U</u>

SOILS

Map Unit	Name			Drain	age Class: moderate	aly well draine	h
	iu i nase).			Field Observations			<u></u>
Taxonomy	y (Subgrou	p): <u>Udorthent, s</u>	moothed	Confi	rm Mapped Type?	Yes	<u>No</u>
Profile De Depth Inches 0-20	scription: Horizon C	Matrix Color (Munsell Moist) 5YR 4/4	Mottle Colors (Munsell Moist)	Mottle <u>Abundance/Contras</u> 	Texture, Concretions <u>Structure, etc</u> gravelly silt loam, sto	bny	
Hydric So	il Indicator	S:					
Histosol Histic Epipedon Sulfidic Odor Aquic Moisture Regime Reducing Conditions Gleyed or Low-Chroma Colors				Concretions High Organic Conte Organic Streaking i Listed on Local Hyo Listed on National I Other (Explain in R	ent in Surface Layer in in Sandy Soils dric Soils List Hydric Soils List emarks)	Sandy Soils	
Remarks:	This is no	ot a hydric soil.					
WETLANI		/INATION					

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	<u>X</u> No			
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	<u>X</u> No			
Hydric Soils Present?	Yes	<u>X</u> No	Is this Sampling Point Within a Wetland?	Yes	X_No

Remarks:

Project Site: <u>Adelsteir</u> Applicant/Owner:	Date: <u>Nov. 5, 1999</u> County: <u>Delaware</u> State: New York				
Do Normal Circumstances exist o Is the site significantly disturbed (<i>i</i> Is the area a potential Problem Ar (If needed, explain on reverse.)	n the site? Atypical Situat ea?	ion)?	<u>X</u> Yes No Yes X No Yes X No	Community ID: <u>wetland</u> Transect ID: <u>AB-8-W</u>	
VEGETATION Dominant Plant Species 1. <u>Myosoton aquaticum</u>	<u>Stratum</u> herb	Indicator FACW	Dominant Plant Species 9.	Stratum Indicator	
2. <u>Glyceria striata</u> 3. <u>Epilobium coloratum</u>	herb herb	OBL FACW+	10 11		
4. <u>Carex crinita</u> 5. <u>Carex lurida</u> 6. Euthamia graminifolia	<u>herb</u> herb	OBL OBL FAC	12 13 14		
7 8			15 16		
Percent of Dominant Species that (excluding FAC-). Remarks:	are OBL, FA	CW or FAC	100%		
HYDROLOGY					
Recorded Data (Describe in Remarks): Stream, Lake, or Tide Gauge Aerial Photographs Other X No Recorded Data Available			Wetland Hydrology Indica Primary Indicators: Inundated Saturated in Up Water Marks Drift Lines	ators: oper 12 inches	
Field Observations:			Sediment Depo _X_ Drainage Patter Secondary indicators	osits erns in Wetlands (2 or more required):	
Depth of Surface Water: (in.) Depth to Free Water in Pit:0 (in.)			Oxidized root channels in upper 12 inches Water-Stained Leaves Local Soil Survey Data		
Depth to Saturated Soil:	(<u>)</u> (in.)	Other (Explain	in Remarks)	
Remarks: This location is a wide s	pot in a draina	age channel, i	n which the soil is saturated	l or slightly inundated.	

DATE: <u>Nov. 5, 1999</u> PLOT ID: <u>AB-8-W</u>

30113										
Map Unit N (Series an	Map Unit Name (Series and Phase): <u>Tor silt loam</u> Drainage Class: <u>very poorly drained</u>									
Taxonomy (Subgroup): <u>Lithic Endoaquepts</u>						Field Observations Confirm Mapped Type? Yes No				
Profile Des Depth Inches	scription: Horizon	Matrix Color (Munsell Mois	Mottle <u>(Munse</u>	Colors ell Moist)	Mottle Abundance/Contra	lottle Texture, Concretions, bundance/Contrast Structure, etc.				
0-3	<u>Ap</u>	<u> 51R 4/2</u>								
3-10	Cg	<u>5YR 5/2</u>				gravelly silt loam		<u> </u>		
10+	·					bedrock ledge				
Hydric Soi — — — — — — —	Hydric Soil Indicators:									
Remarks:										
WETLAND		MINATION								
Hydrophyt	ic Vegetat	tion Present?	X Yes	No						
Wetland H Hydric Soi	lydrology l Is Present	Present? :?	<u>X</u> Yes <u>X</u> Yes	No No	Is this Sampling	Point Within a Wetland	? <u>X</u> Yes	No		
Remarks:										
Appendix (Photo 12	B, Photo 3 on roll)	3.								
<u> </u>								0/00		

Approved by HQUSACE 3/92

Project Site: <u>Adelstein Pa</u> Applicant/Owner: <u>Richard</u>	rcel, Belleayre Resort a Crossroads Ventures, I I P. Futyma and Roger	Date: <u>Nov. 5, 1999</u> County: <u>Delaware</u> State: <u>New York</u>				
Do Normal Circumstances exist on the list the site significantly disturbed (Atyper Is the area a potential Problem Area? (If needed, explain on reverse.)	e site? vical Situation)?	<u>X</u> Yes No Yes X No Yes X No	Community ID: <u>upland</u> Transect ID: <u>AB-8-U</u>			
VEGETATION						
Dominant Plant Species	Stratum Indicator	Dominant Plant Species	Stratum Indicator			
1. <u>Populus tremuloides</u>	tree FACU	9. <u>Solidago canadensis</u>	Herb FACU			
2. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	tree FAC	_ 10				
3. <u>Malus sylvestris</u>	tree NL	_ 11				
4. <u>Fraxinus americana</u>	sapling FACU	12				
5. <u>Ostrya virginiana</u>	sapling FACU-	13				
6. <u>Fagus grandifolia</u>	sapling FACU	14				
7. <u>Geum sp.</u>	herb unknown	15				
8. <u>Fragaria virginiana</u>	herb FACU	16				
Percent of Dominant Species that are (excluding FAC-).	OBL, FACW or FAC					
Remarks:						
HYDROLOGY						
Recorded Data (Describe in Re	emarks):	Wetland Hydrology Indica				
Stream, Lake, or Tide Ga	auge	Primary Indicators:				
Aerial Photographs	C C	Inundated				
Other		Saturated in Up	pper 12 inches			
X No Recorded Data Available		Water Marks				
		Drift Lines				

Field Observations:

Depth of Surface Water: ______ (in.)

Depth to Free Water in Pit: _____>10__ (in.)

Depth to Saturated Soil:

Drainage Patterns in Wetlands
 Secondary indicators (2 or more required):
 Oxidized root channels in upper 12 inches
 Water-Stained Leaves
 Local Soil Survey Data

Sediment Deposits

- FAC-Neutral Test
- >10 (in.) Other (Explain in Remarks)

Remarks: There is no evidence of wetland hydrology.

DATE: <u>Nov. 5 1999</u> PLOT ID: AB-8-U

PLOT ID:	AB-8-0

SOILS

Appendix B, Photo 4. (Photo 11 on roll)

00120								
Map Unit N (Series and	Map Unit Name (Series and Phase): VIv silt loam Drainage Class: well drained							
_	······	·		Field	Field Observations			
Taxonomy	(Subgrou	p): Typic Dystru	depts	Confi	rm Mapped Type?	Yes	_No	
Profile Des	cription:	_						
Depth Inches	<u>Horizon</u>	Matrix Color (Munsell Moist)	Mottle Colors <u>(Munsell Moist)</u>	Mottle Abundance/Contras	Texture, Concretions, <u>Structure, etc.</u>			
0-4	Ар	10YR 3/3			silt loam			
4-10	Bw	5YR 5/4			flaggy silt loam, with r	<u>many large</u>		
flagstones								
10+					refusal – too stony			
Hydric Soil	Indicator	s:						
	Histoso	J		Concretions				
	_ Histic E	pipedon	_	High Organic Conte	ent in Surface Layer in	Sandy Soils		
┃	Sulfidic	Odor Joisture Regime	_	_ Organic Streaking in Sandy Soils				
	Reducir	ng Conditions		Listed on National	_ Listed on National Hydric Soils List			
	Gleyed	or Low-Chroma Co	olors	Other (Explain in R	_ Other (Explain in Remarks)			
Remarks:	No hydric	soil characteristic:	S.					
WETLAND								
Hydrophyti	c Vegetat	ion Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No					
Wetland Hy	ydrology F	^o resent?	Yes <u>X</u> No					
Hydric Soils	s Present	?	Yes <u>X</u> No	Is this Sampling F	Point Within a Wetland?	Yes	X_No	
Remarks:								

Project Site: Adelstein Pa	t Site: Adelstein Parcel, Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park					
Applicant/Owner:	Crossroads Ventures, LLC			County:	Delaware New York	
Do Normal Circumstances exist on the site significantly disturbed (Atyper Is the area a potential Problem Area? (If needed, explain on reverse.)	ion)?	<u>X</u> Yes <u>No</u> Yes <u>X</u> No Yes <u>X</u> No	Commur Transect Plot ID: _	ity ID: <u>wetland</u>		
VEGETATION						
Dominant Plant Species	Stratum	Indicator	Dominant Plant Species		Stratum Indicator	
1. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	tree	FAC	9			
2. <u>Onoclea sensibilis</u>	herb	FACW	10			
3. <u>Carex</u> sp.	herb	unknown	11			
4. <u>Osmunda cinnamomea</u>	herb	FACW	12			
5. <u>Solidago canadensis</u>	herb	FACU	13			
6. <u>Euthamia graminifolia</u>	herb	FAC	14			
7			15			
8			16			
Percent of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW or FAC (excluding FAC-). 67% Remarks:						
HYDROLOGY Recorded Data (Describe in Re	emarks):		Wetland Hydrology Indicat	ors:		
Stream, Lake, or Tide G	auge		Primary Indicators:			
Aerial Photographs			Inundated			
Other			X Saturated in Up	per 12 incl	nes	
			Drift Lines			
Field Observations:			Sediment Deposits Drainage Patterns in Wetlands Secondary indicators (2 or more required):			
Depth of Surface Water: (in.)			Oxidized root ch Water-Stained L	annels in eaves	upper 12 inches	
Depth to Free Water in Pit:8 (in.)			Local Soil Surve	ey Data		
Depth to Saturated Soil:0 (in.)			Other (Explain in	n Remarks	3)	
Remarks: Wetland hydrology is present.						

DATE: <u>Nov. 5, 1999</u> PLOT ID: <u>AE-9-W</u>

SOILS

30IL3								
Map Unit (Series ar	Map Unit Name (Series and Phase): Onteora Drainage Class: poorly drained							
Field (Taxonomy (Subgroup): Aquic Fragiudepts Confir					Observations rm Mapped Type? _	Yes	No	
Profile De	escription:							
Depth Inches	<u>Horizon</u>	Matrix Color (Munsell Moist)	Mottle Colors <u>(Munsell Moist)</u>	Mottle <u>Abundance/Contras</u>	Texture, Concretions, <u>st Structure, etc</u>			
0-2	Ар	5YR 3/2			fine sandy loam			
2-10	Bg	7.5YR 3/2			flaggy fine sandy loa	ım		
10+					refusal; flagstones to	oo abundani	to dig	
Hydric So	il Indicator	s:						
_	Histoso	bl		Concretions				
	Histic E	Epipedon Odor		High Organic Conte Organic Streaking i	ent in Surface Layer in In Sandy Soils	Sandy Soil	S	
	Aquic N	loisture Regime		Listed on Local Hyd	dric Soils List			
	Reduci	ng Conditions		Listed on National I	Hydric Soils List			
<u> </u>					GIIIainsj			
Remarks: Onteora is a soil with potential hydric inclusions.								
<u> </u>								
WETLAN	D DETERN	MINATION						

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	X Yes	No			
Wetland Hydrology Present?	X Yes	No			
Hydric Soils Present?	<u>X</u> Yes	No	Is this Sampling Point Within a Wetland?	<u>X</u> Yes	No

Remarks:

Project Site: <u>Adelstein Pa</u> Applicant/Owner: <u>Investigator:</u> Richard Do Normal Circumstances exist on the Is the site significantly disturbed (Atypendicated the state of the site of the	Date: Nov. 5, 1999 County: Delaware State: New York Community ID: upland Transect ID: Plot ID: AE-9-U					
			1			
VEGETATION						
Dominant Plant Species	Stratum Indicator	Dominant Plant Species	Stratum Indicator			
1. <u>Acer saccharum</u>	tree FACU	9. <u>Dennstaedtia punctilol</u>	bulaherbNL			
2. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	tree FAC	10				
3. <u>Amelanchier sp.</u>	tree FAC	11				
4. <u>Acer saccharum</u>	sapling FACU	12				
5. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	sapling FAC	13				
6. <u>Fagus grandifolia</u>	sapling FACU	14				
7. Polystichum achrostichoides	herb FACU-	15				
8. <u>Solidago caesia</u>	herb FACU	16				
Percent of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW or FAC (excluding FAC-). 33%						
Remarks:						
HYDROLOGY						

Recorded Data (Describe in Remarks):	Wetland Hydrology Indicators:
Stream, Lake, or Tide Gauge	Primary Indicators:
Aerial Photographs	Inundated
Other	Saturated in Upper 12 inches
X No Recorded Data Available	Water Marks
	Drift Lines
	Sediment Deposits
Field Observations:	Drainage Patterns in Wetlands
	Secondary indicators (2 or more required):
Depth of Surface Water: <u>none</u> (in.)	Oxidized root channels in upper 12 inches
	Water-Stained Leaves
Depth to Free Water in Pit: >10 (in.)	Local Soil Survey Data
	FAC-Neutral Test
Depth to Saturated Soil: >10 (in.)	Other (Explain in Remarks)

Remarks: There is no evidence of wetland hydrology.

DATE: <u>Nov. 5, 1999</u> PLOT ID: <u>AE-9-U</u>

SOILS

SOILS								
Map Unit (Series ar	Name nd Phase):	Vly		Drain	Drainage Class: well drained			
Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Dystrudepts			Field Confi	Observations rm Mapped Type?	Yes	No		
Profile De	escription:			N (1)	T , O , <i>i</i>			
Depth Inches	<u>Horizon</u>	Matrix Color (Munsell Moist)	Mottle Colors (Munsell Moist)	Mottle Abundance/Contras	texture, Concretions, <u>Structure, etc.</u>			
0-1	Ар	7.5YR 3/2			fibrous root layer			
1-8	Bw	5YR 4/4			flaggy silt loam			
8+					refusal; boulders and	flagstones	<u>abundant</u>	
Hydric So	il Indicator	s:						
	Histoso	I		Concretions				
_	Histic E	pipedon	-	High Organic Conte	ent in Surface Layer in	Sandy Soils	3	
	Sulfidic Aquic N	Odor Ioisture Regime	-	Listed on Local Hv	n Sandy Solls dric Soils List			
	Reducir	ng Conditions		Listed on National Hydric Soils List				
<u> </u>	Gleyed	or Low-Chroma Co	olors	<u>Uther (Explain in R</u>	emarks)			
Remarks:	No hydric	soil characteristics	S.					
	-							
WETLAN		MINATION						

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	<u> X </u> No				
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	<u>X</u> No				
Hydric Soils Present?	Yes	<u>X</u> No	Is this Sampling Point Within a Wetland?	Yes	<u> X </u> I	No

Remarks:

Project Site: <u>Adelstei</u> Applicant/Owner: <u></u> Investigator: <u>Ric</u>	Date: <u>Nov. 5, 1999</u> County: <u>Delaware</u> State: <u>New York</u>		
Do Normal Circumstances exist of Is the site significantly disturbed (Is the area a potential Problem A (If needed, explain on reverse.)	on the site? (Atypical Situation)? rea?	<u>X</u> Yes No Yes X No Yes X No	Community ID: <u>wetland</u> Transect ID: <u>AD-1-W</u>
VEGETATION Dominant Plant Species 1. Glyceria melicaria 2. Onoclea sensibilis 3. Solidago canadensis 4. Carex crinita 5. Acer rubrum 6. Ulmus americana 7. 8. Percent of Dominant Species tha (excluding FAC-). Remarks:	Stratum Indicator herb OBL herb FACW herb OBL herb OBL sapling FAC sapling FAC sapling FACW or and the second s	Dominant Plant Species 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 83%	Stratum Indicator
HYDROLOGY Recorded Data (Describe in Remarks): Stream, Lake, or Tide Gauge Aerial Photographs Other X_ No Recorded Data Available		Wetland Hydrology Indica Primary Indicators: Inundated _X_ Saturated in Up Water Marks Drift Lines Sediment Depo	tors: oper 12 inches osits
Field Observations: Depth of Surface Water: none (in.) Depth to Free Water in Pit: 5 (in.) Depth to Saturated Soil: 0 (in.)		X Drainage Patter Secondary indicators (Oxidized root cl Water-Stained I Local Soil Surver X FAC-Neutral Te Other (Explain i	rns in Wetlands 2 or more required): hannels in upper 12 inches Leaves ey Data est in Remarks)

Remarks:

DATE: Nov. 5, 1999 AD<u>-1-W</u>

Г	LUI	ישו.	AD-1-

SOILS							
Map Unit (Series a	Name nd Phase):	Suny		Drain	age Class: <u>poorly dra</u>	ained	
Taxonomy (Subgroup): Aeric Fragiaquepts			Field Confi	Observations irm Mapped Type?	Yes	No	
Profile De Depth Inches 0-3 3-16	escription: Horizon Ap Bg	Matrix Color (Munsell Moist) 5YR 3/2 5YR 5/2	Mottle Colors (Munsell Moist) 10YR 5/4 7.5YR 7/1	Mottle <u>Abundance/Contras</u> <u>few, fine, distinct</u> few	Texture, Concretions, <u>st Structure, etc</u> <u>silt loam with fibrous</u> flaggy silt loam	roots	
16-					firm, dense layer		
Hydric Sc – – – –	il Indicator Histosc Sulfidic Aquic N Calfidic	s: pipedon Odor Aoisture Regime ng Conditions or Low-Chroma Co	olors	Concretions High Organic Cont Organic Streaking Listed on Local Hy Listed on National Other (Explain in F	ent in Surface Layer in in Sandy Soils dric Soils List Hydric Soils List Remarks)	Sandy Soils	
Remarks	This is a	hydric soil.					
WETLAN		MINATION					

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	X Yes	No			
Wetland Hydrology Present?	X Yes	No			
Hydric Soils Present?	X Yes	No	Is this Sampling Point Within a Wetland?	<u>X</u> Yes	No

Remarks:

Appendix B, Photo 5. (Photo 15 on roll)

Project Site: <u>Adelstein Pa</u> Applicant/Owner: <u>Richard</u>	Date: <u>Nov. 5, 1999</u> County: <u>Delaware</u> State: <u>New York</u>			
Do Normal Circumstances exist on th Is the site significantly disturbed (Atyp Is the area a potential Problem Area? (If needed, explain on reverse.)	e site? pical Situation)?	<u>X</u> Yes No <u>X</u> Yes No Yes X No	Community ID: <u>upland</u> Transect ID: <u>AD-1-U</u>	
VEGETATION				
Dominant Plant Species	Stratum Indicator	Dominant Plant Species	Stratum Indicator	
1. <u>Acer saccharum</u>	tree FACU	9		
2. <u>Betula alleghaniensis</u>	tree FAC	10		
3. <u>Hamamelis virginiana</u>	shrub FACU+	11		
4. Quercus rubra	sapling FACU-	12		
5. <u>Ostrya virginiana</u>	sapling FACU-	13		
6. <u>Dryopteris intermedia</u>	herb FACU	14		
7. <u>Dennstaedtia punctilobula</u>	herb NL	15		
8. Polystichum achrostichoides	herb FACU-	16		
Percent of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW or FAC (excluding FAC-). 13%				
Remarks:				

HYDROLOGY

Recorded Data (Describe in Remarks):	Wetland Hydrology Indicators:
Stream, Lake, or Tide Gauge	Primary Indicators:
Aerial Photographs	Inundated
Other	Saturated in Upper 12 inches
X No Recorded Data Available	Water Marks
·	Drift Lines
	Sediment Deposits
Field Observations:	Drainage Patterns in Wetlands
	Secondary indicators (2 or more required):
Depth of Surface Water: none (in.)	Oxidized root channels in upper 12 inches
	Water-Stained Leaves
Depth to Free Water in Pit:>16(in.)	Local Soil Survey Data
	FAC-Neutral Test
Depth to Saturated Soil: <u>>16</u> (in.)	Other (Explain in Remarks)

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology.

DATE: <u>Nov. 5, 1999</u> PLOT ID: <u>AD-1-U</u>

SOILS

SOILS								
Map Unit Name (Series and Phase): <u>Vly silt loam</u> Drainage Class: <u>well drained</u>								
Taxonomy (Subgroup): <u>Typic Dystrudepts</u>				F C	Field Observations <u>Confirm Mapped Type?</u> Yes No			
Profile Des Depth Inches	<u>scription</u> : <u>Horizon</u>	Matrix Color (Munsell Mois	Mottle <u>t) (Muns</u>	Colors <u>ell Moist)</u>	Mottle Abundance/Cor	Texture, Concretions	, c.	
0-5	Ар	5YR 3/2				flaggy silt loam		
5-16	Bw	2.5Y 4/4			none	very channery silt lo	am	
16+						refusal; bedrock?		
Hydric Soi	I Indicator	s:						
Histosol Concretions Histic Epipedon High Organic Content in Surface Layer in Sandy Soils Sulfidic Odor Organic Streaking in Sandy Soils Aquic Moisture Regime Listed on Local Hydric Soils List Reducing Conditions Listed on National Hydric Soils List Gleyed or Low-Chroma Colors Other (Explain in Remarks)					s			
Remarks: This is not a hydric soil.								
WETLAND	DETERN	/INATION						
Hydrophyt	ic Vegetat	ion Present?	Yes	X No				
Wetland H Hydric Soi	ydrology I Is Present	Present? ?	Yes Yes	<u>X</u> No <u>X</u> No	Is this Sampl	ing Point Within a Wetland	d? <u>Y</u> e	s <u>X</u> No
Remarks:								
Appendix I (Photo 16	B, Photo 6 on roll)).						

Approved by HQUSACE 3/92

Project Site: <u>Adelstein Pa</u> Applicant/Owner: <u>Investigator:</u>	Date: <u>Nov. 9, 1999</u> County: <u>Delaware</u> State: <u>New York</u>			
Do Normal Circumstances exist on th Is the site significantly disturbed (Atyp Is the area a potential Problem Area? (If needed, explain on reverse.)	e site? vical Situation)?	<u>X</u> Yes No XYes No Yes XNo	Community ID: <u>wetland</u> Transect ID: <u>AK-14-W</u>	
VEGETATION				
Dominant Plant Species	Stratum Indicator	Dominant Plant Species	Stratum Indicator	
1. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	tree FAC	9		
2. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	sapling FAC	10		
3. <u>Spiraea alba</u>	shrub FACW+	11		
4. <u>Rubus allegheniensis</u>	shrub FACU-	12		
5. <u>Euthamia graminifolia</u>	herb FAC	13		
6. <u>Glyceria striata</u>	herb OBL	14		
7. <u>Aster puniceus</u>	herb OBL	15		
8		16		
Percent of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW or FAC (excluding FAC-)86%				
Remarks:				

HYDROLOGY

Recorded Data (Describe in Remarks):	Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Stream, Lake, or Tide Gauge	Primary Indicators:			
Aerial Photographs	Inundated			
Other	X Saturated in Upper 12 inches			
X No Recorded Data Available	Water Marks			
	Drift Lines			
	Sediment Deposits			
Field Observations:	X Drainage Patterns in Wetlands			
	Secondary indicators (2 or more required):			
Depth of Surface Water: <u>none</u> (in.)	Oxidized root channels in upper 12 inches			
	Water-Stained Leaves			
Depth to Free Water in Pit: <u>3</u> (in.)	Local Soil Survey Data			
	FAC-Neutral Test			
Depth to Saturated Soil: 0 (in.)	Other (Explain in Remarks)			
Remarks: Wetland hydrology is present.				

DATE: <u>Nov. 9, 1999</u> PLOT ID: <u>AK-14-W</u>

SOILS							
Map Unit (Series a	Name nd Phase):			Drain	age Class:		
Taxonom	y (Subgrou	.(qu		Field Observations Confirm Mapped Type? Yes			No
Profile De Depth Inches 0-5 5-9 9+	<u>Horizon</u> <u>Ap</u> <u>Bg</u>	Matrix Color (Munsell Moist) 7.5YR 3/2 5YR 3/2	Mottle Colors (Munsell Moist)	Mottle <u>Abundance/Contras</u>	Texture, Concretions, <u>Structure, etc.</u> gravelly, cobbly coars same too stony to dig	se silt loam	
Hydric Soil Indicators: Concretions Histosol Concretions Histic Epipedon High Organic Content in Surface Layer in Sandy Soils Sulfidic Odor Organic Streaking in Sandy Soils Aquic Moisture Regime Listed on Local Hydric Soils List Reducing Conditions Listed on National Hydric Soils List X_Glaved or LowyChroma Colors Other (Explain in Remarks)							
Remarks	This is a	hydric soil.					
WETLAN		VINATION					

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	X Yes	No			
Wetland Hydrology Present?	X Yes	No			
Hydric Soils Present?	X Yes	No	Is this Sampling Point Within a Wetland?	<u>X</u> Yes	No

Remarks:

Project Site: <u>Adelstein P</u> Applicant/Owner: <u>Investigator:</u>	Date: <u>Nov. 9, 1999</u> County: <u>Delaware</u> State: <u>New York</u>				
Do Normal Circumstances exist on t Is the site significantly disturbed (Aty Is the area a potential Problem Area (If needed, explain on reverse.)	he site? /pical Situation)? ?	<u>X</u> Yes No XYes No Yes XNo	Community ID: <u>upland</u> Transect ID: Plot ID: <u>AK-14-U</u>		
VEGETATION					
Dominant Plant Species	Stratum Indicator	Dominant Plant Species	Stratum Indicator		
1. <u>Acer saccharum</u>	tree FACU	9. <u>Carex sp.</u>	herb unknown		
2. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	tree FAC	10			
3. <u>Prunus serotina</u>	tree FACU	11			
4. <u>Acer saccharum</u>	sapling FACU	12			
5. <u>Fagus grandifolia</u>	sapling FACU	13			
6. <u>Ostrya virginiana</u>	sapling FACU-	14			
7. Polystichum acrostichoides	herb FACU	15			
8 Dryopteris intermedia	herb FACU	16			
Percent of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW or FAC (excluding FAC-). 11%					
Remarks:					

HYDROLOGY

Recorded Data (Describe in Remarks):	Wetland Hydrology Indicators:
Stream, Lake, or Tide Gauge	Primary Indicators:
Aerial Photographs	Inundated
Other	Saturated in Upper 12 inches
X No Recorded Data Available	Water Marks
	Drift Lines
	Sediment Deposits
Field Observations:	Drainage Patterns in Wetlands
	Secondary indicators (2 or more required):
Depth of Surface Water: none (in.)	Oxidized root channels in upper 12 inches
	Water-Stained Leaves
Depth to Free Water in Pit:>12 (in.)	Local Soil Survey Data
	FAC-Neutral Test
Depth to Saturated Soil: >12 (in.)	Other (Explain in Remarks)

Remarks: No evidence of wetland hydrology.

DATE: <u>Nov. 9, 1999</u> PLOT ID: <u>AK-14-U</u>

SOILS

Map Unit	Name						I
(Series a	nd Phase):			UI	rainage Class:		I
Taxonom	ıv (Subgrou	:(au			Confirm Mapped Type? Yes		
Profile De	escription:	<u></u>					
Denth	<u>35011µ1011</u> .	Matrix Color	Mottle Colors	Mottle	Texture. Concretions.		
Inches	<u>Horizon</u>	(Munsell Moist)	(Munsell Moist)	Abundance/Con	trast <u>Structure, etc.</u>		I
1-0					leaf litter		I
0.5		7 5VD 3/2			dravelly silt loam with	a cobblac and	
0-5		1.315 3/2		HUHE		CODDIES and	!
l		4			boulders		!
5-12		7.5YR 4/			silt loam		
12+					too stony to dig		
							I
l							
Hydric Sc	oil Indicator	S:					ļ
	Histosc	h		Concretions			l
_	Histic E	- pipedon	—	High Organic C	ontent in Surface Laver in	Sandy Soils	
∥ −	Sulfidic	: Odor	—	Organic Streaking in Sandy Soils			
_	Aquic N	√oisture Regime		Listed on Local Hydric Soils List			
	Reduci	na Conditions	_	Listed on Natio	nal Hydric Soils List		
	Gleyed	or Low-Chroma C	olors	Other (Explain i	n Remarks)		
Remarks	: This is no	ot a hydric soil.					
<u> </u>							
WETLAN	ID DETER	VINATION					
Hydrophy	/tic Vegeta	tion Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No				
Wetland I	Hydrology	Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No				
Hydric Soils Present? Yes X No				Is this Sampli	ng Point Within a Wetland?	?Yes _	<u>X</u> No
Pomarks							
INGINAINS.	•						

Approved by HQUSACE 3/92

Project Site: <u>Adelstein Pa</u> Applicant/Owner: <u>Investigator:</u>	Date: <u>Nov. 9, 1999</u> County: <u>Delaware</u> State: <u>New York</u>						
Do Normal Circumstances exist on the Is the site significantly disturbed (Atype Is the area a potential Problem Area? (If needed, explain on reverse.)	e site? vical Situation)?	<u>X</u> Yes No XYes No Yes XNo	Community ID: <u>wetland</u> Transect ID: <u>AL-26-W</u>				
VEGETATION							
Dominant Plant Species	Stratum Indicator	Dominant Plant Species	Stratum Indicator				
1. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	tree FAC	9. Aster prenanthoides	herb FAC				
2. <u>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</u>	tree FACW	10. <u>Alliaria petiolata</u>	herb FACU-				
3. <u>Malus sylvestris</u>	tree NL	11					
4. <u>Crataegus</u> sp.	sapling unknown	12					
5. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	sapling FAC	13					
6. <u>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</u>	sapling FACW	14					
7. <u>Cornus foemina</u>	shrub FAC	15					
8. <u>Euthamia graminifolia</u>	herb FAC	16					
Percent of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW or FAC (excluding FAC-). 70%							
Remarks:	Remarks:						

HYDROLOGY

ches
tlands
required):
upper 12 inches
<s)< td=""></s)<>

Remarks: This test hole was about 4 feet from the edge of a small stream.

DATE: <u>Nov. 8, 1999</u> PLOT ID: <u>AL-26-W</u>

SOILS

30113						
Map Unit Name (Series and Phase):	Onteora clayey	silt loam	Drainage Class: <u>somewhat poorly drained</u>			
Taxonomy (Subgrou	ip): <u>Aquic Fragiu</u>	depts	Confirm Mapped Type? Yes No			ю
Profile Description: Depth Inches Horizon 0-6 6-14	Matrix Color (Munsell Moist) 5YR 3/3 5YR 4/3	Mottle Colors (Munsell Moist)	Mottle Abundance/Contras	Texture, Concretions, <u>t</u> <u>Structure, etc</u> <u>clayey silt loam</u> <u>same</u>	·	
Hydric Soil Indicators: Concretions Histosol Concretions Histic Epipedon High Organic Content in Surface Layer in Sandy Soils Sulfidic Odor Organic Streaking in Sandy Soils Aquic Moisture Regime Listed on Local Hydric Soils List Reducing Conditions Listed on National Hydric Soils List Gleyed or Low-Chroma Colors Other (Explain in Remarks)						
drainageway sugges	sts that this is a hyd	roma of 2 of less, but i	ne parent material is	s very red, and the fac	t that it is in a	
	MINATION					
L hadren hadie Menster		Maa Na				

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Wetland Hydrology Present? Hydric Soils Present?	<u>X</u> Yes <u>X</u> Yes <u>X</u> Yes	No No No	Is this Sampling Point Within a Wetland?	_X_YesNo
Remarks:				

Project Site: <u>Adelstein Pa</u> Applicant/Owner: <u>Investigator:</u>	Date: <u>Nov. 9, 1999</u> County: <u>Delaware</u> State: <u>New York</u>				
Do Normal Circumstances exist on the Is the site significantly disturbed (Atyp Is the area a potential Problem Area? (If needed, explain on reverse.)	e site? ical Situation)?	<u>X</u> Yes <u>No</u> XYes <u>No</u> Yes XNo	Community ID: <u>upland</u> Transect ID: Plot ID: <u>AL-26-U</u>		
VEGETATION	Ctrotum Indiactor	Dominant Plant Spacing	Otrotum Indiactor		
1 Acer rubrum		o Dominant Plant Species			
2 Acer saccharum	tree FACU	10			
3. Quercus velutina	tree NL	11.			
4. Ostrva virginiana	sapling FACU-	12.			
5. Acer rubrum	sapling FAC	13.			
6. Fraxinus americana	sapling FACU	14.			
7. Carex platyphylla	herb NL	15.			
8. <u>Prunella vulgaris</u>	herb FACU+	16			
Percent of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW or FAC (excluding FAC-).					
Remarks:					

HYDROLOGY

Recorded Data (Describe in Remarks):	Wetland Hydrology Indicators:
Stream, Lake, or Tide Gauge	Primary Indicators:
Aerial Photographs	Inundated
Other	Saturated in Upper 12 inches
X No Recorded Data Available	Water Marks
	Drift Lines
	Sediment Deposits
Field Observations:	Drainage Patterns in Wetlands
	Secondary indicators (2 or more required):
Depth of Surface Water: none (in.)	Oxidized root channels in upper 12 inches
	Water-Stained Leaves
Depth to Free Water in Pit: >10 (in.)	Local Soil Survey Data
	FAC-Neutral Test
Depth to Saturated Soil: >10 (in.)	Other (Explain in Remarks)

Remarks: There was no evidence of wetland hydrology

DATE: <u>Nov. 9, 1999</u> PLOT ID: <u>AL-26-U</u>

SOILS

Map Unit (Series an	Name Id Phase):	Willowemoc silt	loam	Drain: Field	age Class: <u>Moderatel</u> Observations	y well draine	ed
Taxonomy	Taxonomy (Subgroup): <u>Typic Fragiudepts</u>			Confi	rm Mapped Type?	Yes	No
Profile De Depth Inches 0-3 3-10 10+	scription: Horizon A B	Matrix Color (Munsell Moist) 5YR 3/2 5YR 3/3	Mottle Colors (Munsell Moist)	Mottle <u>Abundance/Contras</u>	Texture, Concretions, <u>Structure, etc.</u> <u>channery silt loam, sc</u> silt loam too stony to dig	omewhat org	janic
Hydric Soil Indicators: Concretions Histosol Concretions Histic Epipedon High Organic Content in Surface Layer in Sandy Soils Sulfidic Odor Organic Streaking in Sandy Soils Aquic Moisture Regime Listed on Local Hydric Soils List Reducing Conditions Listed on National Hydric Soils List							
Remarks: This is not a hydric soil.							
WETLAN	WETLAND DETERMINATION						

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	<u> X </u> No		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	<u> X </u> No		
Hydric Soils Present?	Yes	<u>X</u> No	Is this Sampling Point Within a Wetland?	Yes <u>X</u> No

Remarks:

Project Site:	Sewerline Route for Belleayre Resort	ewerline Route for Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park					
Applicant/Owner	Crossroads Ventures, L.L.C.				County: Ulster		
Investigator(s)	Richard P. Futyma					State: New York	
Do normal circumstances exist on the site?		Х	Yes		No	Community ID: wetland	
Is the site significa	antly disturbed (Atypical Situation)?		Yes	Х	No	Transect ID:	
Is the area a poter (If needed, explain	ntial Problem Area? o on reverse.)		Yes	Х	No	Plot ID: WM-4-W	

VEGETATION

Dominant Plant Species	Stratum	Indicator	Dominant Plant Species	Stratum	Indicator
1. Fraxinus pennsylvanica	sapling	FACW	9.		
2. Salix eriocephala	shrub	FACW	10.		
3. Aster umbellatus	herb	FACW	11.		
4. Euthamia graminifolia	herb	FAC	12.		
5. Equisetum arvense	herb	FAC	13.		
6. Polygonum sagittatum	herb	OBL	14.		
7. Impatiens capensis	herb	FACW	15.		
8.			16.		
Percent of Dominant Species that are	OBL, FACW	or FAC (exc	cluding FAC-) 100%		
Remarks:					

HYDROLOGY

	Recorded Data (Describe in Remarks)				Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
	Stream, Lake, or Tide Gaug	е			Primary Indicators:			
	Aerial Photographs					Inundated		
	Other				Х	Saturated in Upper 12 inches		
Х	No Recorded Data Available					Water Marks		
						Drift Lines		
						Sediment Deposits		
Field Observations:				Drainage Patterns in Wetlands				
					Second	dary indicators (2 or more required):		
	Depth of Surface Water:	none	(in.)			Oxidized root channels in upper 12 inches		
			_			Water-Stained Leaves		
	Depth to Free Water in Pit:	>4	(in.)			Local Soil Survey Data		
			_			FAC-Neutral Test		
	Depth to Saturated Soil:	0	(in.)			Other (Explain in Remarks)		
			_					

Remarks: This wetland is on a seepy roadside bank that receives water from a ditch running near its crest. The ditch carries drainage alongside the old railroad tracks.

SITE:Sewerline Route for Belleayre Resort at Catskill ParkDATE:Aug. 24, 2009

PLOT ID: WM-4-W

SOILS								
Map Unit Name (Series and Phase)):			Drainage	Class:			
Taxonomy (Subgroup):				Field Obse Confirm N	ervations lapped Type?	Yes	No	
Profile Description:								
Depth inches Horizon	ofile Description: pth Matrix Color Mottle Colors Mottl hes Horizon (Munsell moist) (Munsell moist) Abun A 7.5YR 4/2			Contrast	Texture, Conc Structure, etc	cretions,		
0-4 A	7.5YR 4/2			Drainage Class: Field Observations Confirm Mapped Type? Yes Image Class: Confirm Mapped Type? Yes Image Class: Confirm Mapped Type? Yes Image Class: Confirm Mapped Type? Yes Image Class: Concretions, Image Class: Concretions High Organic Content in Surface Layer in Sandy Soil Organic Streaking in Sandy Soils Listed on Local Hydric Soils List Listed on National Hydric Soils List Other (Explain in Remarks) more than 4 inches. The red bedrock of this area tend weily low chroma of this soil indicates that it is likely to				
4+ too stony to dig								
Hydric Soil Indicato	ors:							
	Histosol		Conc	retions				
	Histic Epipedon	-	High	Organic Co	Intent in Surface	Layer in Sandy	Soils	
	Sulfidic Odor	-	Orgai	nic Streakin	ig in Sandy Soils	5		
	Aquic Moisture Re	gime _	Listed	d on Local F	Hydric Soils List			
	Reducing Conditio	ns _	Listed	d on Nation;	al Hydric Soils Li	ist		
	Gleyed or Low-Chr	roma Colors	Other	Other (Explain in Remarks)				
Remarks: The rela to make it difficult to hydric.	tively thin soil over o identify hydric soi	bedrock was too thi Is mainly on color.	n to dig more th The relatively lc	han 4 inche ow chroma	es. The red bedro of this soil indica	ock of this area ites that it is like	tends ly to be	

WETLAND DETERMINATION

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Х	Yes	 No				
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Х	Yes	No				
Hydric Soils Present?	Х	Yes	 No	Is this Sampling Point Within a Wetland?	Х	Yes	No
Remarks: Photo 3							

Project Site:	Sewerline Route for Belleayre Resort	werline Route for Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park					
Applicant/Owner	ossroads Ventures, L.L.C.				County: Ulster		
Investigator(s)	Richard P. Futyma					State: New Y	′ork
Do normal circumstances exist on the site?		Х	Yes		No	Community ID:	upland
Is the site signification	ntly disturbed (Atypical Situation)?		Yes	Х	No	Transect ID:	
Is the area a potential Problem Area? (If needed, explain on reverse.)			Yes	Х	No	Plot ID:	WM-4-U

VEGETATION

Dominant Plant Species	Stratum	Indicator	Dominant Plant Species	Stratum	Indicator
1. Pinus sylvestris	tree	NL	9.		
2. Acer saccharum	sapling	FACU-	10.		
3. Fraxinus pennsylvanica	sapling	FACW	11.		
4. Aster umbellatus	herb	FACW	12.		
5. Solidago canadensis	herb	FACU	13.		
6. Origanum vulgare	herb	NL	14.		
7. Clinopodium vulgare	herb	NL	15.		
8. Anthoxanthum odoratum	herb	FACU	16.		
Percent of Dominant Species that are 0	OBL, FACW	or FAC (exc	luding FAC-) 25%		
Remarks:					

HYDROLOGY

	Recorded Data (Describe in Remarks)				Wetland Hydrology Indicators:									
	Stream, Lake, or Tide Gauge)			Primary Indicators:									
	Aerial Photographs				Inundated									
	Other				Saturated in Upper 12 inches									
Х	No Recorded Data Available				Water Marks									
					Drift Lines									
					Sediment Deposits									
Field Observations:			Drainage Patterns in Wetlands											
				_	Secondary indicators (2 or more required):									
	Depth of Surface Water:	none	(in.)		Oxidized root channels in upper 12 inches									
					Water-Stained Leaves									
	Depth to Free Water in Pit:	>3	(in.)		Local Soil Survey Data									
					FAC-Neutral Test									
	Depth to Saturated Soil:	>3	(in.)		Other (Explain in Remarks)									
Remar	ks: Could not dig deeper than 3 inche	s. No indi	cators	of wetla	Remarks: Could not dig deeper than 3 inches. No indicators of wetland hydrology.									

SITE: Sewerline Route for Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park

DATE: Aug. 24, 2009

PLOT ID: WM-4-U

SOILS										
Map Unit (Series a	t Name and Phase):	:				Drainage	Class:			
Taxonon (Subgrou	יץ גף):					Field Obs Confirm N	servations Mapped Type?	Yes	No	
Profile D	escription:									
Depth		Matrix Color	Mottle	Colors	Mottle		Texture, Concre	etions,		
inches	Horizon	(Munsell moist)	(Muns	ell moist)	Abundanc	e/Contrast	Structure, etc.			
0-3	A	7.5YR 4/3					channery clayey	/ silt loam		
3+				too stony to dig						
Hydric S	oil Indicator	ſS:								
		Histosol			Co	ncretions				
-		Histic Epipedon			Hig	h Organic Co	ontent in Surface La	ayer in Sandy	y Soils	
-		Sulfidic Odor			Org	anic Streaki	ng in Sandy Soils			
-		Aquic Moisture Re	egime		List	ed on Local	Hydric Soils List			
-		Reducing Conditio	ons		List	ed on Natior	nal Hydric Soils List			
-		Gleyed or Low-Cl	nroma Co	olors	Oth	er (Explain i	n Remarks)			
Remarks	: No indica	ators of hydric soi	l.							
WETLANI	D DETERM	IINATION								
Hydroph	vtic Vegeta	tion Present?	Yes	X No	D					
Wetland	Hvdrology	Present?	 Yes	X No	2					
Hydric S	oils Presen	t?	Yes	X No	b Is this San	Sampling Point Within a Wetland? Yes X No				
Remarks	: Photo 4,				1					

Project Site:	Sewerline Route for Belleayre Resort a	ewerline Route for Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park					
Applicant/Owner	Crossroads Ventures, L.L.C.	ssroads Ventures, L.L.C.				County: Ulster	
Investigator(s)	Richard P. Futyma					State: New York	
Do normal circumstances exist on the site?		Х	Yes		No	Community ID: wetland	
Is the site signification	ntly disturbed (Atypical Situation)?		Yes	Х	No	Transect ID:	
Is the area a potential Problem Area? (If needed, explain on reverse.)			Yes	Х	No	Plot ID: WP-9-W	

VEGETATION

Dominant Plant Species	Stratum	Indicator	Dominant Plant Species	Stratum	Indicator
1. Acer rubrum	tree	FAC	9.		
2. Fraxinus pennsylvanica	tree	FACW	10.		
3. Salix eriocephala	shrub	FACW	11.		
4. Spiraea alba	shrub	FACW+	12.		
5. Carpinus caroliniana	sapling	FAC	13.		
6. Aster puniceus	herb	OBL	14.		
7. Euthamia graminifolia	herb	FAC	15.		
8. Epilobium coloratum	herb	OBL	16.		
Percent of Dominant Species that are 0	OBL, FACW	or FAC (exc	luding FAC-) 100%		
Remarks:					

HYDROLOGY

	Recorded Data (Describe in Remarks)				Wetland Hydrology Indicators:				
	Stream, Lake, or Tide Gauge	e		Primary Indicators:					
	Aerial Photographs					Inundated			
	Other				Х	Saturated in Upper 12 inches			
Х	No Recorded Data Available					Water Marks			
	_					Drift Lines			
						Sediment Deposits			
Field Observations:					Drainage Patterns in Wetlands				
					Secondary indicators (2 or more required):				
	Depth of Surface Water:	none	(in.)			Oxidized root channels in upper 12 inches			
						Water-Stained Leaves			
	Depth to Free Water in Pit:	>3	(in.)			Local Soil Survey Data			
			_		Х	FAC-Neutral Test			
	Depth to Saturated Soil:	0	(in.)			Other (Explain in Remarks)			
_									

Remarks: The soil is too stony to dig more than 3 inches. There was standing water a few yards away from the soil sampling point. Wetland hydrology is present.

SITE: Sewerline Route for Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park

DATE: Aug. 24, 2009 PLOT ID: WP-9-W

SOILS										
Map Unit Name (Series and Pha	ase):		Drainage Class:							
Taxonomy (Subgroup):					Field Observations Confirm Mapped Type? Yes					
Profile Descripti	on:									
Depth inches Horiz	h Matrix Color Mottle Colors Mottle				e/Contrast Structure, etc.					
0-3 A	5YR 4/2		silty clay loam							
3+				too stony to dig						
Hydric Soil Indic	ators:									
	Histosol		cretions							
	Histic Epip	edon	-	High	Organic Content in Surface Laver in Sandy Soils					
	 Sulfidic Od	or	-	Orga	Organic Streaking in Sandy Soils					
	Aquic Moisture Regime				Listed on Local Hydric Soils List					
	Reducing Conditions				Listed on National Hydric Soils List					
Х	Gleyed or I	Gleyed or Low-Chroma Colors				ther (Explain in Remarks)				
Remarks: The to make it difficut hydric.	relatively thin s Ilt to identify hy	oil over b /dric soils	edrock was too thi mainly on color. 1	n to dig more t The relatively lo	han 3 inche ow chroma d	s. The red bed of this soil indic	rock of this ates that it	area tends is likely to be		

WETLAND DETERMINATION

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?		Yes	No					
Wetland Hydrology Present?		Yes	No					
Hydric Soils Present?		Yes	No	Is this Sampling Point Within a Wetland?	Х	Yes	No	
Remarks:								
DATA FORM ROUTINE WETLAND DETERMINATION (1987 COE Wetlands Delineation Manual)

Project Site: Sewerline Route for Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park			Date: Aug. 24, 2009			
Applicant/Owner Crossroads Ventures, L.L.C.		County: Ulster				
Investigator(s)	Richard P. Futyma					State: New York
Do normal circumstances exist on the site?		Х	Yes		No	Community ID: upland
Is the site significantly disturbed (Atypical Situation)?			Yes	Х	No	Transect ID:
Is the area a potential Problem Area? (If needed, explain on reverse.)			Yes	Х	No	Plot ID: WP-9-U

VEGETATION

Dominant Plant Species	Stratum	Indicator	Dominant Plant Species	Stratum	Indicator
1. Prunus serotina	tree	FACU	9.		
2. Acer saccharum	tree	FACU-	10.		
3. Carpinus caroliniana	sapling	FAC	11.		
4. Fraxinus pennsylvanica	sapling	FACW	12.		
5. Solidago canadensis	herb	FACU	13.		
6. Dryopteris intermedia	herb	FACU	14.		
7. Anthriscus sylvestris	herb	NL	15.		
8.			16.		
Percent of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW or FAC (excluding FAC-) 29%					
Remarks:					

HYDROLOGY

	Recorded Data (Describe in Remarks	S)		Wetla	nd Hydr	ology Indicators:
	Stream, Lake, or Tide Gauge				Primar	y Indicators:
	Aerial Photographs					Inundated
	Other					Saturated in Upper 12 inches
Х	No Recorded Data Available					Water Marks
						Drift Lines
						Sediment Deposits
Field O	bservations:					Drainage Patterns in Wetlands
					Secon	dary indicators (2 or more required):
	Depth of Surface Water:	None	(in.)			Oxidized root channels in upper 12 inches
						Water-Stained Leaves
	Depth to Free Water in Pit:	>13	(in.)			Local Soil Survey Data
						FAC-Neutral Test
	Depth to Saturated Soil:	>13	(in.)			Other (Explain in Remarks)
Remar	ks: No evidence of wetland hydrology.					

SITE:	Sewer	line Route for Belle	eayre Resort at Cat						
DATE:	Aug. 2	4, 2009							
PLOT ID:	WP-9-	U							
SOILS									
Map Unit Na (Series and	ame Phase):				Drainage Class:				
Taxonomy (Subgroup):					Field Observations Confirm Mapped Type? Yes			No	
Profile Desc	cription:								
Depth	Horizon	Matrix Color	Mottle Colors	Mottle Abundance/	Contrast	Texture, Concre	etions,		
1-0	0			Abundance/	Contrast	partly decompos	sed leaf litter		
0-10	A	10YR 3/2				silt loam			
10-13		10YR 4/2				silt loam			
13+						too stony to dig.			
Hydric Soil	Indicator	'S:							
	I	Histosol		Conc	retions				
		Histic Epipedon	-	High	Organic Co	ontent in Surface La	ayer in Sandy	/ Soils	
		Sulfidic Odor		Orga	Organic Streaking in Sandy Soils				
		Aquic Moisture Re	gime	Liste	Listed on Local Hydric Soils List				
	Reducing Conditions			Liste	Listed on National Hydric Soils List				
	Gleyed or Low-Chroma Colors			Othe	Other (Explain in Remarks)				
Remarks:	This doe	s not appear to be	a hydric soil.						

Page B-49

WETLAND DETERMINATION

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	Х	No				
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	Х	No				
Hydric Soils Present?	Yes	Х	No	Is this Sampling Point Within a Wetland?	Yes	Х	No
Remarks:							

G:\Proj-07\07074_Crossroads\07074Enviro\06Wetlands\07074 WL delin data 24 Aug 09.docx

Appendix C

Photographs of the Modified Belleayre Resort Site



Photo 1. The wetland near flag H-1 has open, herb-dominated areas with *Impatiens capensis*, *Cinna latifolia, Glyceria striata, Carex stricta, C. lurida, and Juncus effusus*. On the edges are trees, mainly *Tsuga canadensis* and *Betula alleghaniensis*. (Photographed 9/15/99.)



Photo 2. The upland next to boundary flag H-1 is a forest of *Tsuga canadensis, Betula alleghaniensis,* and *Acer saccharum,* with a shrub layer of *Viburnum lantanoides* and *T. canadensis saplings.* The herb layer is composed mainly of *Dryopteris intermedia, Oxalis montana,* and *Acer pensylvanicum* seedlings. (Photographed 9/15/99.)



Photo 3. At flag AB-8, the wetland is a broad, seepy area dominated by herbs such as *Euthamia* graminifolia, Myosoton aquaticum, Glyceria striata, Carex lurida, C. crinita, Epilobium coloratum, and Scirpus cyperinus. (Photographed 11/5/99.)



Photo 4. The upland near flag AB-8 is a young forest of tree- and sapling-size Populus tremuloides, Acer rubrum, Malus sylvestris, Fraxinus americana, Ostrya virginiana, and Fagus grandifolia. The herb layer has Solidago canadensis, Fragaria virginiana, and Geum sp. (Photographed 11/5/99.)



Photo 5. At the head of the wetland drainageway bounded by line AD is a seepy area with herbs, mainly *Glyceria melicaria*, *Onoclea sensibilis*, *Solidago canadensis*, and *Carex crinita*. There are a few saplings of *Acer rubrum* and *Ulmus americana*. (Photographed Nov. 5, 1999.)



Photo 6. The upland forest near boundary flag AD-1 has *Acer saccharum, Betula alleghaniensis, Quercus rubra, Ostrya virginiana, Hamamelis virginiana, Dryopteris intermedia, Dennstaedtia punctilobula,* and *Polystichum achrostichoides.* (Photographed 11/5/99.)



Photo 7. The upper reaches of the stream bounded by lines O, P, and HN, looking upstream near flag HN-25. This part of the stream is more seepy, with wetland plants such as *Glyceria striata*, *Anthriscus sylvestris*, *Mentha* X *piperita*, and *Impatiens* sp. (Photographed 10/28/99.)



Photo 8. A view looking upstream from a point between flags CB-5 and CC-13. Plants in this wetland include *Acer saccharum*, *Fraxinus pennsylvanica*, *Impatiens* sp., *Glyceria* sp., *Arisaema triphyllum*, and *Alliaria petiolata*. (Photographed May 26, 2000.)



Photo 9. Todd Mountain Rd. Brook, viewed from south end of lines WA and WB, towards the railroad bridge and the road bridge (stone arch). The water supply line will be buried in the roadbed of Todd Mountain Road at that bridge. (Photographed July 30, 2009).



Photo 10. Highmount Brook, viewed from NYS Route 28, at the south end of boundary lines WC and WD. (Photographed July 30, 2009).



Photo 11. West Wildacres Brook, viewed from NYS Route 28, at the south end of boundary lines WE and WF. (Photographed July 30, 2009).



Photo 12. The segment of Wildacres Brook that runs along NYS Route 28, delineated with lines WH and WG (flag WH-4 in center foreground). A water supply line will be installed in a horizontal borehole in the hillside to the right of the stream. (Photographed July 30, 2009).



Photo 13. View of the western end of the wetland bounded by line WM. This is a seepy roadside bank that drains into the ditch along NYS Route 28. Dominant plants here include *Aster umbellatus, Euthamia graminifolia, Equisetum arvense, Polygonum sagittatum, Impatiens capensis, Salix eriocephala, and Fraxinus pennsylvanica.* (Photographed Aug. 24, 2009.)



Photo 14. View of the upland near the western end of the wetland bounded by line WM. This part of the roadside bank is covered with *Pinus sylvestris, Acer saccharum, Fraxinus pennsylvanica, Aster umbellatus, Solidago canadensis, Origanum vulgare, Clinopodium vulgare, and Anthoxanthum odoratum.* (Photographed Aug. 24, 2009.)



Photo 15. The wetland bounded by line WP, viewed from near flag WP-9, in foreground. Dominant plants include *Salix eriocephala, Spiraea alba, Carpinus caroliniana, Aster puniceus, Euthamia graminifolia,* and *Epilobium coloratum.* When this photo was taken, on August 24, 2009, there was standing water at the base of the shrub willows shown here.



Photo 16. The upland near the west end of the wetland bounded by line WP. Has a tree layer of *Prunus serotina, Acer saccharum,* with saplings of *Carpinus caroliniana* and *Fraxinus pennsylvanica*. The herb layer dominants are *Solidago canadensis, Dryopteris intermedia.,* and *Anthriscus sylvestris.* (Photographed Aug. 24, 2009.)



Photo 17. View of off-site wetland WN/WO from its east end. It is dominated by *Impatiens capensis, Aster puniceus, A. umbellatus, and Fraxinus pennsylvanica.* (Photographed Aug. 24, 2009.)



Photo 18. On the K-Well Parcel, a view looking downhill from well K-4, the one farthest uphill. (Photographed Sept. 2007.)



Photo 19. Well K-2. (Photographed Sept. 2007.)



Photo 20. View from well K-2 towards well K-1, which is just out of site. Well K-3 is out of sight, just off to the right. (Photographed Sept. 2007.)



Photo 21. View of the east end of the quarry parcel, as seen from Moran Rd. The well is in front of the pine trees in the right half of the photo. (Photographed January 14, 2010.)



Photo 22. View of the east-central part of the quarry parcel, as seen from Moran Rd. The existing well is visible to the right of center, between the two closest conifer trees. (Photographed January 14, 2010.)



Photo 23. View of the west-central part of the quarry parcel, as seen from Moran Rd. (Photographed January 14, 2010.)



Photo 24. View of the west end of the quarry parcel, as seen from Moran Rd. (Photographed January 14, 2010.)

Appendix D

Approved Jurisdictional Determination Forms for the Main Watersheds on the Site

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): Α.

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: New York County/parish/borough: Ulster & Delaware City: Shandaken & Middletown Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 42.1417° N, Long. 74.5225° W.

Universal Transverse Mercator: UTM Zone 18, 539461 Easting, 4665620 Northing

Name of nearest waterbody: Bush Kill

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: East Branch Delaware River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): East Branch Delaware River, 02040102

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

 \square Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date:

Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Appear to be no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

 $\overline{\bowtie}$

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹
 - TNWs, including territorial seas
 - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
 - Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
 - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
- b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: 6900 linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: 6.4 acres.
- c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
- Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³ 2.
 - Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: Three isolated, non-jurisdictional wetlands totaling 1.9 acres in size were found in the review area.

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

 (i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: 875 acres Drainage area: Pick List Average annual rainfall: 50 inches Average annual snowfall: 141 inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) <u>Relationship with TNW:</u>

 □ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
 □ Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: "Todd Mtn. Rd. Brook" flows into Bush Kill, which flows into Dry Brook, which flows into the East Branch of the Delaware River.

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

Tributary stream order, if known: 1, 2.

 (b) <u>General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):</u> Tributary is:
Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: 10 feet Average depth: 0.5-1 feet Average side slopes: 2:1 .
Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain: boulders.
Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: stable. Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: few. Tributary geometry: Relatively straight Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 10 %
 (c) <u>Flow:</u> Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 20 (or greater) Describe flow regime: Highest discharge during spring snow-melt; decrease to low or zero discharge during mid- to late summer, except during storm runoff; higher discharge during fall and early winter. Other information on duration and volume: The mean annual discharge of this stream is calculated to be 3.02 cfs.
Surface flow is: Discrete and confined. Characteristics: Much of the surface flow into the stream is probably via sheet flow, with the rest by discrete and confined flow.
Subsurface flow: Yes . Explain findings: The flow of small tributaries to Todd Mtn. Rd. Brook often disappears into ground, then reappears a few dozen yards farther downslope.
Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:
If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by: oil or scum line along shore objects survey to available datum; fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings/characteristics physical markings/characteristics vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types. other (list): other (list):
 (iii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Water is clear.

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁷Ibid.

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):
- \boxtimes Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Wetlands adjacent to the stream are variable in width, ranging from a few feet to 100 ft

or more.

- Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
- Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
- Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
- Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW 2.

(i) Physical Characteristics:

- General Wetland Characteristics: (a)
 - Properties:
 - Wetland size:6.4 acres

Wetland type. Explain: Includes shallow emergent marsh and shrub swamp.

Wetland quality. Explain: Relatively undisturbed with few invasives.

Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is: Intermittent flow. Explain: Wetlands abut the tributary in several places, and flow from them to the stream is likely to be intermittent, like the flow in the adjacent part of the stream.

Surface flow is: Overland sheetflow

Characteristics: The adjacent wetlands are variable in hydrology, and they are likely to flow to the stream via sheet flow as well as discrete and confined flow.

Subsurface flow: Yes. Explain findings: In some places, the flow in the drainageways that connect some of the wetlands to Todd Mtn. Rd. Brook disappears into the ground, but re-emerges in the channel a few tens of yards downstream...

Dye (or other) test performed:

- (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
 - Directly abutting
 - Not directly abutting
 - Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:
 - Ecological connection. Explain:

Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: Wetlands 2, 3, and 6 are narrowly separated from the stream or its abutting wetlands by pieces of upland ranging from 10 to 60 feet wide. A sub-surface hydrological connection is indicated in some places by ground with a concentration of cobbles and boulders at the surface, where water flow at and just below the surface has removed finer soil particles. Wetlands 8 and 9 are separated from the stream and its abutting wetlands by Todd Mountain Road and connected to the stream by culverts.

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are **5-10** river miles from TNW. Project waters are 2-5 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **500-year or greater** floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Water in the stream is clear, appears to have high quality. Identify specific pollutants, if known:

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Riparian wetlands are dominated mainly by herbs and shrubs, with some trees, varies in width from a few feet to 50 feet or more.

- □ Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) 3.

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 8

Approximately (6.4) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
Wetland 1 - Y	1.73	Wetland 5 - Y	0.01
Wetland 2 - N	0.58	Wetland 6 - N	0.64
Wetland 3 - N	0.30	Wetland 8 - N	0.04
Wetland 4 - Y	3.07	Wetland 9 - N	0.02

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: These wetlands have a number of functions, including flood water detention, nutrient cycling and export, and wildlife habitat.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: The non-RPW streams are branches of wetland 4 that flow 1200 feet or more down the hillside to "Todd Mtn. Rd. Brook." Wetlands 2 and 6 are separated from these non-RPWs by narrow strips of upland only 10 feet wide or less. There is evidence for a sub-surface connection between wetlands 2 and 6 and the non-RPW streams.
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: Wetland 3 is an adjacent but not abutting wetland that does appear to have hydrological connections to "Todd Mountain Road Brook." The connection may be sub-surface throughout all or part of the year. Nonetheless, this wetland can provide detention of runoff that eventually makes its way to the stream. Due to the filtration provided by the soil as the water passes through the sub-surface connection, only dissolved and very fine particulate organic matter is likely to be exported downstream from this wetland.

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
 TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
 Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
- 2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

	 Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: . Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: Personnel of the LA Group, P.C., have observed the stream when its rate of flow was very low and upper parts of the stream channel were dry.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Image: Second state in the review area (check all that apply): Image: Second state in the review area (check all that apply): Image: Second state in the review area (check all that apply): Image: Second state in the review area (check all that apply): Image: Second state in the review area (check all that apply): Image: Second state in the review area (check all that apply): Image: Second state in the review area (check all that apply): Image: Second state in the review area (check all that apply): Image: Second state in the review area (check all that apply): Image: Second state in the review area (check all that apply): Image: Second state in the review area (check all that apply): Image: Second state in the review area (check all that apply): Image: Second state in the review area (check all that apply): Image: Second state in the review area (check all that apply): Image: Second state in the review area (check all that apply): Image: Second state in the review area (check all that apply): Image: Second state in the review area (check all that apply): Image: Second state in the review area (check all that apply): Image: Second state in the review area (check all that apply): Image: Second state in the review area (check all
3.	 Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: 1,200 and 2,300 linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	 Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: The wetlands in question (wetlands 1, 4,5, 8, and 9) include areas of narrow riparian wetland next to the stream and broader wetlands adjacent to the stream.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 4.87 acres.
5.	 Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 0.30 acres.
6.	 Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 1.22 acres.
7.	 Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.⁹ As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
ISC DE/	DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY

SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):¹⁰

E.

⁸See Footnote # 3. ⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

	 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purpor from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commer which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain: 	oses. ce.
	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:	
	 Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: . Wetlands: acres. 	
F.	 F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the c Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) c Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for and 11 are within the Todd Mountain Road brook watershed, but lie more than 350 surficial connection or apparent sub-surface connection to it. Other: (explain, if not covered above): 	APPLY): riteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers commerce. I have been regulated based <u>solely</u> on the or jurisdiction. Explain: Wetlands 7, 10, feet from the stream and have no direct
	 Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> poter factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigindgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: acres. 	ntial basis of jurisdiction is the MBR gated agriculture), using best professional
	 Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: 1.9 acres. 	"Significant Nexus" standard, where such
<u>SEC</u>	SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.	
A. 1	 A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24,000 maps: Arena, Fleischn USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: NCSS Web soil st (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/). National wetlands inventory map(s). 	e included in case file and, where checked nanns, Margaretville, and West Kill, . Irvey for project area

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA *Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos*.

.

.

 FEMA/FIRM maps:
 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
 Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): New York Statewide Digital Orthoimagery Program, 2001 color infrared imagery and 2004 2-foot panchromatic imagery.

.

or Other (Name & Date):

Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:

Applicable/supporting scientific literature:

Previous determination(s). File no.
 Applicable/supporting case law:
 Applicable/supporting scientific lite
 Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: New YorkCounty/parish/borough: Ulster & DelawareCity: Shandaken & MiddletownCenter coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format):Lat. 42.1417° N, Long. 74.5225° W.

Universal Transverse Mercator: UTM Zone 18, 539461 Easting, 4665620 Northing

Name of nearest waterbody: Emory Brook

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: East Branch Delaware River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): East Branch Delaware River, 02040102

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. <u>REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):</u>

Office (Desk) Determination. Date:

Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **Appear to be no** "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [*Required*]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹
 - TNWs, including territorial seas
 - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
 - Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
 - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
- b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: 3,900 linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: 0 acres.
- **c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction** based on: **1987 Delineation Manual** Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

2. <u>Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable)</u>:³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

 $^{^{2}}$ For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

 (i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: 300 acres Drainage area: Pick List Average annual rainfall: 50 inches Average annual snowfall: 141 inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) <u>Relationship with TNW:</u>

 □ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
 □ Tributary flows through 3 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 5-10 river miles from TNW.
Project waters are 7-10 erial (straight) miles from TNW.
Project waters are 7-10 erial (straight) miles from TNW.
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: "Highmount Brook" flows into Emory Brook, which flows into Bush Kill, which flows into Dry Brook, which flows into the East Branch of the Delaware River.

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

Tributary stream order, if known: 1

(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):
	Tributary is: Xatural
	Artificial (man-made). Explain:
which is at the	Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: The portion of this stream that lies inside the project site,
which is at the	Tower end of the ski funs of the former frighthount ski area, has been arefed by chamenzation
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):
	Average width: 3 feet
	Average depth: 0.5 feet
	Average side slopes. 2.1.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):
	\Box Silts \Box Sands \Box Concrete
	Cobbles Gravel I Muck
	Other, Explain:
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: stable.
	Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: few or none.
	Tributary geometry. Relatively straight Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 20 %
	moutily gradient (approximate a terage stope). 20 /0
(c)	Flow:
	Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow
	Describe flow regime: Highest discharge during spring snow-melt: decrease to low or zero discharge during mid-t
late summer, e	xcept during storm runoff; higher discharge during fall and early winter.
	Other information on duration and volume: The mean annual discharge of this stream is calculated to be 1.03 cfs.
	Surface flow is: Discrete and confined Characteristics:
	Surface now is. Discrete and commed. Characteristics.
	Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings:
	Dye (or other) test performed:
	Tributary has (check all that apply):
	Bed and banks
	\Box OHWM ^{\circ} (check all indicators that apply):
	\Box clear, natural line impressed on the bank \Box the presence of litter and debris
	shelving the presence of wrack line
	vegetation matted down, bent, or absent softment sorting
	L leaf litter disturbed or washed away
	sediment deposition in multiple observed or predicted flow events abrupt change in plant community
	other (list):
	Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):
	\square oil or scum line along shore objects \square survey to available datum:
	fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings;
	\Box physical markings/characteristics \Box vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
	tidal gauges
(iii) Che	mical Characteristics:
Cha	racterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).
Iden	Explain: water is clear.
Iden	

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁷Ibid.

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Wetlands adjacent to the stream are variable in width, ranging from a few feet to ca. 20

ft.

- ☐ Habitat for: ☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

- (a) <u>General Wetland Characteristics:</u>
 - Properties:

Wetland size:0.41 acres

- Wetland type. Explain: Shallow emergent marsh.
- Wetland quality. Explain: Relatively undisturbed with few invasives.

Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is: **Intermittent flow**. Explain: The wetlands are at the head of main channel of "Highmount Brook." Flow from these wetlands is likely to be intermittent, with no or very low flow during mid- to late summer.

Surface flow is: **Overland sheetflow**

Characteristics: The wetlands are likely to flow to the stream via sheet flow as well as discrete flow.

Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings:

Dye (or other) test performed:

(c) <u>Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:</u>

- Directly abutting
- □ Not directly abutting

Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Wetland no. 15 is connected to the stream via a ditch that runs along an old ski run (wetland no. 14).

Ecological connection. Explain:

Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW.
Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
Flow is from: Pick List.
Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Water in the stream is clear, appears to have high quality. There is a spring house for water supply on grounds of the former Highmount ski area, on a tributary of the stream. Identify specific pollutants, if known:

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: .
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **Pick List** Approximately (0.41) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis. For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres)

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: These wetlands play a small role in stormwater detention, cycling and export of nutrients and fixed carbon, and wildlife habitat.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: "Highmount Brook" and its adjacent wetlands do provide some stormwater storage, which would help to attenuate the peak flow in downstream reaches during times of high runoff. The average annual flow of this stream is approximately 1.1 cfs, which places it in the "headwaters." With such a low average annual flow, it probably flows intermittently. The stream is probably too small and intermittently flowing to provide habitat for certain life stages of fish that live in the navigable waters donwstream.
- **3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: The adjacent, non-abutting wetlands do have direct hydrological connections to the stream. These wetlands can provide detention of stormwater runoff, and function to export nutrients and fixed carbon to downstream ecosystems.

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
 TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
 Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
- 2. **<u>RPWs</u>** that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
 - Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:

Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: The fact that a spring house supplying the Highmount ski are was constructed at the head of the stream indicates that it has a good flow for a large part of the year.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters: linear feet **3,700** width (ft).

Other non-wetland waters: acres.

Identify type(s) of waters: .

3. <u>Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs</u>.

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).

Other non-wetland waters: acres.

Identify type(s) of waters:

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.

- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: $\mathbf{0}$ acres.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 0.11 acres.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.⁹

As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.

Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or

Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or

Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):¹⁰

⁸See Footnote # 3.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA *Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos*.

Highmount Brook watershed

	 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	 Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: . Wetlands: acres.
F.	 NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: acres.
<u>SE(</u>	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
A.	 SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. USJA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: NCSS Web soil survey for project area (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/). National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:Fleischmanns, New York. State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: (Areial (Name & Date): New York Statewide Digital Orthoimagery Program, 2001 color infrared imagery. or (Dotter (Name & Date): Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
	 Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): New York Statewide Digital Orthoimagery Program, 2001 color infrared imagery. or Other (Name & Date): Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law:



Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify):

.

.

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: New YorkCounty/parish/borough: Ulster & DelawareCity: Shandaken & MiddletownCenter coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format):Lat. 42.1417° N, Long. 74.5225° W.

Universal Transverse Mercator: UTM Zone 18, 539461 Easting, 4665620 Northing

Name of nearest waterbody: Emory Brook

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: East Branch Delaware River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): East Branch Delaware River, 02040102

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. <u>REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):</u>

Office (Desk) Determination. Date:

Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **Appear to be no** "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [*Required*]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹
 - TNWs, including territorial seas
 - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
 - Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
 - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
- b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: 5,300 linear feet: 15 width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: 3.64 acres.
- **c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction** based on: **1987 Delineation Manual** Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
- 2. <u>Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable)</u>:³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

 $^{^{2}}$ For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

 (i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: 184 acres Drainage area: Pick List Average annual rainfall: 50 inches Average annual snowfall: 141 inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) <u>Relationship with TNW:</u>

 ☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
 ☑ Tributary flows through 3 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 5-10 river miles from TNW.
Project waters are 5-10 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
Project waters are 5-10 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: "West Wildacres Brook" flows into Emory Brook, which flows into Bush Kill, which flows into Dry Brook, which flows into the East Branch of the Delaware River.

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

Tributary stream order, if known: 1.

(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Natural Image: Artificial (man-made). Explain: Image: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: 15 feet Average depth: 0.5-1 feet Average side slopes: 2:1.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Muck Other. Explain: boulders. Vegetation. Type/% cover: Vegetation. Type/% cover:
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: stable. Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: few . Tributary geometry: Relatively straight Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 25 %
(c) late summer,	Flow: Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 20 (or greater) Describe flow regime: Highest discharge during spring snow-melt; decrease to low or zero discharge during mid- to except during storm runoff; higher discharge during fall and early winter. Other information on duration and volume: The mean annual discharge of this stream is calculated to be 0.57 cfs.
discrete flow	Surface flow is: Discrete and confined . Characteristics: Overland flow is probably by a combination of sheet flow and for the most part.
	Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: .
	Tributary has (check all that apply): Image: Sed and banks Image: Sed and banks Image: Sed and banks Image: OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): Image: Sed indicators that apply): Image: Clear, natural line impressed on the bank Image: Sed in the character of soil Image: Clear, natural line impressed on the bank Image: Sed in the character of soil Image: Sed in the character of soil Image: Shelving Image: Sed iment character of absent Image: Sed iment down, bent, or absent Image: Sed iment down, bent, or absent Image: Sed iment deposition Image: Sed iment deposition Image: Sed iment deposition Image: Sed implicit character of absent Image: Sed iment deposition Image: Sed iment deposition Image: Sed implicit character of absent Image: Sed implicit character of absent Image: Sed iment deposition Image: Sed implicit character of absent Image: Sed implicit character of absent Image: Sed implicit character of absent Image: Sed iment deposition Image: Sed implicit character of absent Image: Sed implicit character of absent Image: Sed implicit character of absent Image: Sed iment deposition Image: Sed implicit character of absent Image: Sed implicit character of absent Image: Sed implicit character of absent Image: Sed iment deposition Image: Sed
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by: oil or scum line along shore objects survey to available datum; fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings/characteristics tidal gauges other (list):
(iii) Cha Cha Ider	emical Characteristics: racterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Water is clear. ttify specific pollutants, if known:

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁷Ibid.
(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Wetlands adjacent to the stream are variable in width, ranging from a few feet to ca. 150

Habitat for:

ft.

- Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
- Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
- Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:

Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

- (a) <u>General Wetland Characteristics:</u>
 - Properties:

Wetland size:3.64 acres Wetland type. Explain: red maple-hardwood swamp. Wetland quality. Explain: Relatively undisturbed with few invasives. Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is: **Intermittent flow**. Explain: The wetlands are in wide, seepy areas along the main channel of "West Wildacres Brook." Flow from these wetlands is likely to be intermittent, with no or very low flow during mid- to late summer.

Surface flow is: **Overland sheetflow**

Characteristics: The wetlands are likely to flow to the stream via sheet flow.

Subsurface flow: **Unknown**. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:

- (c) <u>Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:</u>
 - Directly abutting
 - □ Not directly abutting
 - Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:
 - Ecological connection. Explain:
 - Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are **5-10** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **5-10** aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: **Wetland to navigable waters**. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **500-year or greater** floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Water in the stream is clear, appears to have high quality. There is an old spring house for water supply in one place on the edge of the wetland.

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):

Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: The vegetation is mainly red maple-hardwood swamp composed of trees,

shrubs, and herbs such as Acer rubrum, Ulmus americana, Impatiens sp., Carex spp., Onoclea sensibilis, and Jucus . Plant coverage is about 90%..

- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **4** Approximately (3.64) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
Wetland 16 - Y	3.64		

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: The functions of this wetland include stormwater detention, cycling and export of nutrients and fixed carbon, and wildlife habitat.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
 TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
 Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
- 2. **RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**
 - Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
 - Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: The fact that a spring house was constructed on the edge of the wetland (near flag N-12) indicates that it has flow for a large part of the year, if not year-round.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: **5,300** linear feet **15** width (ft).
 - Other non-wetland waters: acres.
 - Identify type(s) of waters:

3. Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).

- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
 - Identify type(s) of waters:

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: The stream flows through wetlands, with no upland separating the wetland from the stream channel.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 3.64 acres.

- 5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
 - Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.⁹

As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.

- Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
- Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
- Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
- E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):¹⁰
 - which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
 - from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
 - which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
 - Interstate isolated waters. Explain:
 - Other factors. Explain:

⁸See Footnote # 3.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA *Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos*.

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters: width (ft). linear feet

Other non-wetland waters: acres.

- Identify type(s) of waters:
- Wetlands: acres.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
 - Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).

Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:

acres. List type of aquatic resource:

Other: (explain, if not covered above):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres.

Other non-wetland waters:

Wetlands: acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet. width (ft). acres.

Lakes/ponds:

Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:

Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked	ed
and requested, appropriately reference sources below):	
Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:	
Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.	
Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.	
Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.	
Data sheets prepared by the Corps:	
Corps navigable waters' study:	
U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:	
USGS NHD data.	
\boxtimes USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.	
U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24,000 maps: Arena, Fleischmanns, Margaretville, and West Kill,	
USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: NCSS Web soil survey for project area	
(http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/).	
National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Fleischmanns, New York.	
State/Local wetland inventory map(s):	
FEMA/FIRM maps:	
100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)	
Photographs: 🕅 Aerial (Name & Date): New York Statewide Digital Orthoimagery Program, 2001 color infrared imagery.	
or \square Other (Name & Date):	
Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:	
Applicable/supporting case law:	
Applicable/supporting scientific literature:	
Other information (please specify):	

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): Α.

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: New York County/parish/borough: Ulster & Delaware City: Shandaken & Middletown Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 42.1417° N, Long. 74.5225° W.

Universal Transverse Mercator: UTM Zone 18, 539461 Easting, 4665620 Northing

Name of nearest waterbody: Emory Brook

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: East Branch Delaware River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): East Branch Delaware River, 02040102

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

 \square Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date:

Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Appear to be no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are and are not "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

 $\overline{\boxtimes}$

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹
 - TNWs, including territorial seas
 - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
 - Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
 - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
- b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: 3,800 linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: 1.64 acres.
- c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
- Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³ 2.
 - Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: There are two isolated wetlands that comprise a total area of 0.59 acre in this watershed.

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

 (i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: 135 acres Drainage area: Pick List Average annual rainfall: 50 inches Average annual snowfall: 141 inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) <u>Relationship with TNW:</u>

 □ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
 □ Tributary flows through 3 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 5-10 river miles from TNW.
Project waters are 7-10 erial (straight) miles from TNW.
Project waters are 7-10 erial (straight) miles from TNW.
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW^5 : "Wildacres Brook" flows into Emory Brook, which flows into Bush Kill, which flows into Dry Brook, which flows into the East Branch of the Delaware River.

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

Tributary stream order, if known: 1.

(b) along one side	General Tributary C Tributary is:	haracteristics (check all that apply Autural Artificial (man-made). Explain tel complex and along one segme Manipulated (man-altered). E	<u>():</u> n: A portion of nt of the access Explain:	f the stream has been routed through a ditch dug s road to the hotel.
	Tributary propertie Average width Average depth: Average side si	es with respect to top of bank (esti : 15 feet : 0.5-1 feet lopes: 2:1.	mate):	
	Primary tributary su Silts Cobbles Bedrock Other. Expl	bstrate composition (check all tha Sands Gravel Uegetation. Type/% ain: boulders.	t apply):	Concrete Muck
	Tributary condition/ Presence of run/riffl Tributary geometry: Tributary gradient (a	stability [e.g., highly eroding, slov e/pool complexes. Explain: few . Relatively straight approximate average slope): 10 %	ughing banks].	Explain: stable.
(c) late summer, e per second.	<u>Flow:</u> Tributary provides f Estimate average nu Describe flow p except during storm r Other information o	for: Seasonal flow mber of flow events in review are regime: Highest discharge during unoff; higher discharge during fal n duration and volume: The mean	a/year: 20 (or ; spring snow-m l and early win annual dischar	greater) elt; decrease to low or zero discharge during mid- to ter. rge of this stream is calculated to be 0.51 cubic foot
discrete flow f	Surface flow is: Dis for the most part.	crete and confined. Characteristi	ics: Overland fl	low is probably by a combination of sheet flow and
lines K and L,	Subsurface flow: Ye most of it has seeper	s. Explain findings: By the time 1 into the ground. There is little to er) test performed:	that flowing wa indicate that t	ater reaches the lower end of the channel bounded by here is frequent flow beyond that point.
	Tributary has (check Bed and back OHWM ⁶ (c) clear, n change shelvin Vegetat leaf litt sedime water s other (l Discontinue	a all that apply): nks heck all indicators that apply): atural line impressed on the bank s in the character of soil g ion matted down, bent, or absent er disturbed or washed away nt deposition taining ist): pus OHWM. ⁷ Explain:	 the prese destructi the prese sediment scour multiple abrupt cl 	ence of litter and debris on of terrestrial vegetation ence of wrack line t sorting observed or predicted flow events hange in plant community
	If factors other than High Tide oil or s fine sho physica tidal ga other (1	the OHWM were used to determi Line indicated by: cum line along shore objects ell or debris deposits (foreshore) al markings/characteristics uges ist):	ne lateral exten Mean High W survey to a physical n vegetation	nt of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): Vater Mark indicated by: available datum; markings; h lines/changes in vegetation types.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁷Ibid.

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Water is clear. Identify specific pollutants, if known: .

- (iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):
 - Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):
 - Wetland fringe. Characteristics:
 - Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

- (a) <u>General Wetland Characteristics:</u> Properties: Wetland size:1.64 acres Wetland type. Explain: hemlock-hardwood swamp. Wetland quality. Explain: Relatively undisturbed with few invasives. Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: .
- (b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is: **Intermittent flow**. Explain: The wetlands are in flat, seepy areas that overflow to the main channel of "Wildacres Brook." Flow from these wetlands is likely to be intermittent, with no or very low flow during mid- to late summer.

Surface flow is: Confined

Characteristics: The wetlands flow to the stream via small channels..

Subsurface flow: **Unknown**. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: .

- (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
 - Directly abutting
 - Not directly abutting

Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Wetland no. 20 may occasionally overflow to the stream channel across an area that is upland.

- Ecological connection. Explain:
 - Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are **5-10** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **5-10** aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: **Wetland to navigable waters.** Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **500-year or greater** floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Water in the stream is clear, appears to have high quality. Identify specific pollutants, if known:

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):

Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: The vegetation is mainly hemlock–hardwood swamp composed of trees, shrubs, and herbs such as Tsuga canadensis, Acer rubrum, Fraxinus pennsylvanica, Betula alleghaniensis, Impatiens sp., Carex spp., and Jucus effusus. Plant coverage is about 90%.

Habitat for:

- Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
- Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
- Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
- Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 2 Approximately (1.64) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis. For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)Size (in acres)Directly abuts? (Y/N)Size (in acres)Wetland 19 - Y0.38Wetland 20 - N1.26

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: The functions of these wetlands include stormwater detention, cycling and export of nutrients and fixed carbon, and wildlife habitat.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
 TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
 Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
- 2. **RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**
 - Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
 - Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: The stream has been observed at times when it had flow for its entire length, as well as when flow did not occur along its entire `.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

acres.

Tributary waters: **4,200** linear feet width (ft).

- Other non-wetland waters:
- Identify type(s) of waters:

3. Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).

- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
 - Identify type(s) of waters:

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Wetland no. 19 flows directly into the stream.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 0.38 acres.

- 5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
 - Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 1.26 acres.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.⁹

As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.

- Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
- Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
- Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
- E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):¹⁰
 - which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
 - from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
 - which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
 - Interstate isolated waters. Explain:
 - Other factors. Explain:

⁸See Footnote # 3.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA *Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos*.

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).

Other non-wetland waters: acres.

- Identify type(s) of waters:
- Wetlands: acres.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
 - Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
- Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Wetlands 17 and 18 are within the Wildacres brook watershed, but lie more than 200 feet from the stream and have no direct surficial connection or apparent sub-surface connection to it.
- Other: (explain, if not covered above):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).

Lakes/ponds: ac	res.			
Other non-wetland wa	ters:	acres. List ty	pe of aquatic resou	rce:

Wetlands: acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).

Lakes/ponds: acres.

Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:

 \boxtimes Wetlands: 0.59 acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

- A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
 - Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: \square
 - Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.

Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.

Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.

- Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
- Corps navigable waters' study:
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
- USGS NHD data.

- USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:1:24,000 maps: Arena, Fleischmanns, Margaretville, and West Kill, .

USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: NCSS Web soil survey for project area

(http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/).

National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Fleischmanns, New York. \boxtimes

 \boxtimes State/Local wetland inventory map(s):

- FEMA/FIRM maps:
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- Photographs: 🖾 Aerial (Name & Date): New York Statewide Digital Orthoimagery Program, 2001 color infrared imagery. or Other (Name & Date):
- Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
- Applicable/supporting case law:
- Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
- Other information (please specify):

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: New YorkCounty/parish/borough: Ulster & DelawareCity: Shandaken & MiddletownCenter coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 42.1417° N, Long. 74.5225° W.

Universal Transverse Mercator: UTM Zone 18, 539461 Easting, 4665620 Northing

Name of nearest waterbody: Emory Brook

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: East Branch Delaware River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): East Branch Delaware River, 02040102

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date:

Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **Are no** *"navigable waters of the U.S."* within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [*Required*]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹
 - TNWs, including territorial seas
 - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
 - Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
 - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
- b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: 3,300 linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: 0.29 acres.
- **c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction** based on: **1987 Delineation Manual** Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
- 2. <u>Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable)</u>:³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

 $^{^{2}}$ For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

 (i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: 287 acres Drainage area: Pick List Average annual rainfall: 50 inches Average annual snowfall: 141 inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) <u>Relationship with TNW:</u>

 ☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
 ☑ Tributary flows through 3 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: "Gunnison Road Brook" flows into Emory Brook, which flows into Bush Kill, which flows into Dry Brook, which flows into the East Branch of the Delaware River.

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

Tributary stream order, if known: 1.

(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Xatural
	Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: 15 feet Average depth: 0.5-1 feet Average side slopes: 2:1.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain: boulders.
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: stable. Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: few . Tributary geometry: Relatively straight Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 15 %
(c) late summer, e	<u>Flow:</u> Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 20 (or greater) Describe flow regime: Highest discharge during spring snow-melt; decrease to low or zero discharge during mid- to except during storm runoff; higher discharge during fall and early winter. Other information on duration and volume: The mean annual discharge of this stream is calculated to be 0.99 cfs.
sheet flow and	Surface flow is: Discrete and confined . Characteristics: Overland flow to the stream is probably by a combination of discrete flow for the most part.
	Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: . Dye (or other) test performed: .
	Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by: oil or scum line along shore objects survey to available datum; fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings/characteristics tidal gauges other (list):
(iii) Che Cha Iden	mical Characteristics: racterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Water is clear. tify specific pollutants, if known:

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁷Ibid.

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Wetland vegetation occurs in the stream channel itself.
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

- (a) <u>General Wetland Characteristics:</u>
 - Properties:
 - Wetland size:0.29 acres
 - Wetland type. Explain: shallow emergent wetland.
 - Wetland quality. Explain: Relatively undisturbed with few invasives.
 - Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
- (b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is: **Intermittent flow**. Explain: a major part of the wetland associated with this stream is in a seepy area connected to the main channel of "Gunnison Road Brook" by a short tributary channel. Flow from this wetland is likely to be intermittent, with no or very low flow during mid- to late summer.

Surface flow is: Confined

Characteristics: The wetlands flow to the stream via a short channel.

Subsurface flow: **Unknown**. Explain findings:

Dye (or other) test performed:

- (c) <u>Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:</u>
 - Directly abutting
 - Not directly abutting

Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: A major part of the wetland associated with this stream is in a seepy area connected to the main channel of "Gunnison Road Brook" by a short tributary channel

- Ecological connection. Explain:
- Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
- (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are **5-10** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **5-10** aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: **Wetland to navigable waters**. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **500-year or greater** floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Water in the stream is clear, appears to have high quality.

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):

Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: The vegetation is mainly shallow emergent marsh composed mainly of herbs such as Glyceria striata, Impatiens sp., Mentha X piperita, and Carex spp. Plant coverage is about 80-95%.

- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **1** Approximately (0.29) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis. For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
part of Wetland 23 - N	0.05		
part of Wetland 23 - Y	0.24		

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: The functions of this wetland include stormwater detention, cycling and export of nutrients and fixed carbon, and wildlife habitat.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: The wetland part that does not directly abut the stream is connected to the main channel by a channel about 70 feet long.

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
 TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
 Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
- 2. <u>RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.</u>
 - Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
 - Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: Given the size of its watershed, 287 acres, and the calculated annual mean discharge of this stream of approximately 1.0 cfs, it is likely that is exhibits continuous flow for at least 3 months each year.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: **3,300** linear feet **15** width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
 - Identify type(s) of waters:

3. Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
 - Identify type(s) of waters:

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
 - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
 - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: The abutting wetlands constitute a riparian fringe with no upland separating the wetland from the stream channel.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 0.24 acres.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 0.05 acres.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.⁹

- As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
 - Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
 - Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
- Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):¹⁰

which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.

from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.

which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.

⁸See Footnote # 3.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA *Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos*.

Gunnison Road Brook watershed

	 Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	 Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: . Wetlands: acres.
F.	 NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Image: Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Image: Lakes/ponds: acres. Image: Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Image: Wetlands: acres.
<u>SE</u>	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
Α.	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. XUSGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24,000 maps: Arena, Fleischmanns, Margaretville, and West Kill, . USG Solutrey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/). Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: NCSS Web soil survey for project area (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/). National wetlands inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): New York Statewide Digital Orthoimagery Program, 2001 color infrared imagery. or Other (Name & Date):
	 Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: . Applicable/supporting case law: . Applicable/supporting scientific literature: . Other information (please specify): .

Other information (please specify):



By ate

Wetland ID # and Line(s)	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	Isolated?
(1) AB/AC	75,240	1.73	no
(2) AD	25,081	0.58	no
(3) AE	13,218	0.30	no
(4) AF/AG/AI/AJ	133,888	3.07	no
(5) AH	519	0.01	no
(6) AK	27,986	0.64	no
(7) AL	77,910	1.79	yes
(8) AM	1,866	0.04	no
(9) AN	1,024	0.02	no
(10) AO	3,285	0.08	yes
(11) AP	1,488	0.03	yes
(12) not on current site			
(13) not on current site			
(14) not on current site			
(15) not on current site			
Map Sheet 1 total	361,505	8.29	
Sheet 1 isolated wetlands	82,683	1.90	
Sheet 1 non-isolated	278,822	6.39	

NOTE:

All wetlands shown on this drawing were survey located by Rettew Engineering and Surveying, P.C. and were confirmed by the Jurisdictional Determination issued to Permit Application Number 2000-00748-1-YS by Crossroads Ventures, LLC on April 27, 2005.

TOTAL AREA OF WATERS OF THE UNITED STATES ON PROJECT SITE: 12.58 ACRES TOTAL AREA OF NON-JURISDICTIONAL (ISOLATED) WETLANDS: 2.49 ACRES 15.07 ACRES TOTAL WETLANDS AREA ON PROJECT SITE: 661.47 ACRES 630.09 ACRES

PROJECT SITE: AREA FOR JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION:

LEGEND

PROPERTY LINE

ISOLATED WETLAND

NON-ISOLATED WETLAND

Wetland boundaries were delineated by Barbara B. Beall, Roger J. Case, and Richard P. Futyma of the LA Group, P.C., between September 14 and November 9, 1999. Additional investigation and delineation occurred on May 18, 2007 (the former Museum outparcel), July 10, 2008 (top of former Highmount Ski Area), July 31, 2009 (off-site water line route), and August 24, 2009 (off-site sewer line route).

SYMBOL





d By: Date:

Wetland ID # and Line(s)	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	Isolated?
(16) M/N	158,490	3.64	no
(17) CA	16,213	0.37	yes
(18) CB/CC	9,787	0.22	yes
(19) H	16,661	0.38	no
(20) I	54,891	1.26	no
(21) K/L	24,276	0.56	no
(22) Y/Z	2,653	0.06	no
(23) not on current site			
(24) HN/O/P	12,517	0.29	no
Map Sheet 2 total	295,488	6.78	
Sheet 2 isolated wetlands	26,000	0.59	
Sheet 2 non-isolated	269,488	6.19	

	~				
	RWA14+00	RWA15+00	RWA16+00	RWA17+00	
	R	₩			RW
		DENSE TREES		NSE_TREES	
SSIT			× 1541.1	× 1542.8	× 1544.5
	1537.1 1538.6	1540.3 ×		545.4 X 1547.3	X
1535.0 × 1537.1	× 1538.5	1541.2 X			
					DENSE TREES

	MATCH LINE PN1 MATCH LINE PN2-A						S ROUTE 28								DWA74+00	₽₩432±00	DIREC RWA33+00	CIONAL DRILL	00 RWA	35+00	15 RWA36+00
WA1	19+00	RWA20+00	RWA21	+00	RWA22+00	RV RW +	A23+00	RWA24+00	RWA25+00	RWA26+00	RWA27+00	RWA28+00 (RWA29+00	RWA30+00	RWA31+00	- RW + RW	/ R		ETOCKP	RW	RW <u>+ </u>
	DENSE TREES	× 1553.7	X 1555.0 1557		24 39.1 × () 1561.2 ×	1563.2 X	1565.0 X	O 1567.2 × DENSE TREES	1569.2 X (1571.2	A 1573.1 X	1575.0 X X	1576.9 × 1578.9 DEBRIS 1595.8	× 1581.1	× 1583.0 1 1585. DENSE TREES	× (587.3 ×	1589.0 ×	1591.1 X 1593.2	2 × 1595.3 ×	C 1596.9 X	1599.0 ×
										A PLA PN2 SCALE CROSS	AN : 1" = 50' 5 REFERENCE: PN1										
	CH LINE PN1 CH LINE PN2-A																				50
	MATCH LINE PN2-A MAT MATCH LINE PN2-B MAT																				
8	RWA38+00	1603.0 RWA39+ 0 8"	00	RWA40+00	1504.9 RWA41+	+00	ROUTE 28 RWA42+00	RWA43-	+00												
		Image: Contract of the second seco	1607.1 × DEBRIS 1		DEBRIS	RW -	1615.D X		ŘWA4	P4+00 RWA RWA 1621.1 X 1623	A45+00 RWA46+	-00 RWA47+0	00 8" RWAd S								
														RWA49+00	DIRECTIONAL DRILL						
																RWA51+00	RWA52+00 + RW				
	MATCH LINE PN2-A MATCH LINE PN2-B																	RWA53+00	RWA54+00		
																				**************************************	RWASE + OC
M 107/CiviliPN2.dwg											\sim							WATERS OF THE US, INCLU BOUNDARIES WERE DELD	UDING WETLANDS, NEATED BY RICHARD P		
Name: K:/Projects/099U											B PN2	PLAN SCALE: 1" = 50' CROSS REFERENCE: NONE						FUTYMA OF THE LA GROU AND AUGUST 24, 2009. FLA ARE AS PER SURVEYED LO RETTEW ENGINEERING & S	JP, P.C. ON JULY 30 , 2009 AG LOCATIONS SHOWN OCATIONS PROVIDED BY SURVEYING, P.C.	50	$\begin{array}{r} \text{BAR SCALE} \\ 0 & 25 & 50 \\ \hline 1 \text{ inch } = 50 \text{ ff} \end{array}$

		MAIN ST			
			20	RWA7	7+00
(S ROUTE 28 RWA72+00 RWA73+00	RWA74+00	RWA75+00	RWA76+00	RW	
			V	WATERS OF THE US	, INCLUDING WET
			E	OUNDARIES WERI	E DELINEATED BY
				ND AUGUST 24, 20	09. FLAG LOCATIONS
			Ĩ	ETTEW ENGINEER	ING & SURVEYIN
				50 (BAR SCALE
				Lange and	
				51	inch = 50 ft.
		- 1991 - Citatoria (m. 1911) - 1994 - Citatoria (m. 1911) - 1994 - Citatoria (m. 1911)			
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~				
	ELECTION -				
	XIIII	444			
			X 1713T	Sat and	

![](_page_167_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_168_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_169_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_169_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_170_Figure_0.jpeg)

Plotted By: ERNST, JOHN Save Date: 10/19/2009 1:17 PM File Name: K:\Projects\099007/Civi

![](_page_171_Figure_0.jpeg)

5/15 KVI By: ate: me:

0 the LA group Landscape Architecture and Engineering, PC 40 Long Alley Saratoga Springs New York 12866 P 518/587-8100 F 518/587-0180 www.thelagroup.com Unauthorized alteration or addition to this document is a violation of Section 7209 of the New York State Education Law © the LA Group 2010 RF/JQE Design Drawn JQE RF Checked CT MALE PROJECT # 09.9007 Engineering Consultant: C.T. Male Associates, P.C. 50 Century Hill Drive Latham, NY 12110 518/786-7400 This drawing is not certified for the purposes of construction, unless it is specifically noted as issued for construction. _____ Submission: FOR REGULATORY APPROVALS ONLY <u>- 60</u> Ö 12457 G Φ Crossroads Ventu PO Box 267 Mt. Tremper, NY 1 Ventu ed for: _____ The Modified Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park Wildacres Resort & The Highmount Spa Resort Town of Shandaken & Town of Middletown, New York Tite WATER AND SEWER PLANS ____ NORTH Revisions 8<u>1</u> MATCH LINE PN11 MATCH LINE PN12 Project: 07074
Date: 01/26/10 -Drawing PN11

25

![](_page_172_Figure_0.jpeg)

ERN 9/23 K:\P ed By: e Date: Name:

0 the LA group Landscape Architecture and Engineering, PC 40 Long Alley Saratoga Springs New York 12866 P 518/587-8100 F 518/587-0180 www.thelagroup.com ·______ Unauthorized alteration or addition to this document is a violation of Section 7209 of the New York State Education Law © the LA Group 2010 RF/JQE Design JQE Drawn RF Checked CT MALE PROJECT # 09.9007 Engineering Consultant: C.T. Male Associates, P.C. 50 Century Hill Drive Latham, NY 12110 518/786-7400 This drawing is not certified for the purposes of construction, unless it is specifically noted as issued for construction. -----Submission: FOR REGULATORY APPROVALS ONLY Ö 12457 S Φ Crossroads Ventu PO Box 267 Mt. Tremper, NY 1 RED FOR: REPAF <u>п</u> _____ The Modified Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park Wildacres Resort & The Highmount Spa Resort Town of Shandaken & Town of Middletown, New York Ttee WATER AND SEWER PLANS NORTH  $\uparrow$ _____ Revisions Project: 07074 Date: 01/26/10 MATCH LINE PN12 MATCH LINE PN13 Drawing PN12

MATCH LINE PN12 MATCH LINE PN13

WATERS OF THE US, INCLUDING WETLANDS, BOUNDARIES WERE DELINEATED BY RICHARD P. FUTYMA OF THE LA GROUP, P.C. ON JULY 30 , 2009 AND AUGUST 24, 2009. FLAG LOCATIONS SHOWN ARE AS PER SURVEYED LOCATIONS PROVIDED BY **RETTEW ENGINEERING & SURVEYING, P.C.** 

BAR SCALE 1 inch = 50 ft.

![](_page_173_Picture_4.jpeg)

Q the LA group Landscape Architecture and Engineering, PC 40 Long Alley Saratoga Springs New York 12866 P 518/587-8100 F 518/587-0180 www.thelagroup.com Unauthorized alteration or addition to this document is a violation of Section 7209 of the New York State Education Law © the LA Group 2010 Design RF/JQE JQE Drawn RF Checked CT MALE PROJECT # 09.9007 Engineering Consultant: C.T. Male Associates, P.C. 50 Century Hill Drive Latham, NY 12110 518/786-7400 This drawing is not certified for the purposes of construction, unless it is specifically noted as issued for construction. -Submission: FOR REGULATORY APPROVALS ONLY Ö PREPARED FOR: Crossroads Ventures, L.I PO Box 267 Mt. Tremper, NY 12457 

 The Modified Belleayre Resort at Catskill Park

 Wildacres Resort & The Highmount Spa Resort

 Town of Shandaken & Town of Middletown, New York

 The Water AND SEWER PLANS

 NORTH  $\mathbf{\uparrow}$ _____ Revisions 07074 Project: Date: 01/26/10 Drawing PN13